

No. 66 / 2011 dated: 10-10-2011

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 11 - 10 - 2011

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	I.A.No.1 of 2011 in D.R.P.No.19 of 2011 and D.R.P.No.19 of 2011	SJLT Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. CE, Commercial, TNEB 3. SE, Namakkal EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Direct the respondents to fix pro-rata demand and energy quota based on the present wind energy generation. For admission and interim relief.
2.	M.P.No.3 of 2011	Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANTRANSCO 3. TANGEDCO	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to clarify that the transmission and wheeling charges of 5% is the normative charges or concessional charges. For arguments.
3.	M.P.No.9 of 2011	Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANTRANSCO 3. TANGEDCO	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to clarify that the transmission and wheeling charges of 5% is the normative charges or concessional charges. For arguments.
4.	M.P.No.11 of 2011	TVS Wind Power Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANTRANSCO 3. TANGEDCO	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to clarify that the transmission and wheeling charges of 5% is the normative charges or concessional charges. For arguments.
5.	M.P.No.12 of 2011	TVS Wind Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANTRANSCO 3. TANGEDCO	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to clarify that the transmission and wheeling charges of 5% is the normative charges or concessional charges. For arguments.
6.	I.A.No.2 of 2011 in D.R.P.No.13 of 2011	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Nagapattinam EDC 3. TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to relax the order dated 21-4-2011 passed in I.A.No.1 of 2011. For arguments.

7.	D.R.P.No.3 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make rules on calculation of deemed demand for power purchased on reliability charges or purchased under inter state open access rules. For arguments.
8.	D.R.P.No.4 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make a rule for grid support to consumers who are having CPP within their premises. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

(S.Gunasekaran)
Secretary