LIST OF CASES PENDING BEFORE THE COMMISSION AS ON 06.06.2024 SI.N Case No. Parties / Petitioners & **Subject Matter / Issue** Remarks ο. Respondents D.R.P.No.20 of 2012 Pioneer Power Ltd., Declare that the respondents To be listed after Versus are not entitled to claim the getting intimation 1) TANGEDCO liquidated damages from the Counsel in 2) CE,PPP regard to the status Rs.12,82,83,441/ 3) CFC, Revenue of the case before Supreme Court M.P.No.25 of 2014 Pioneer Power Ltd., To issue directions on the To be listed after Versus respondents in terms of getting intimation 1) TANGEDCO section 142 of the Electricity from the Counsel in 2) Director (Generation) Act 2003 for non compliance regard to the status of the order dated 30-12- of the case before 3) CE, IPP 2011. Supreme Court 2nd 3 D.R.P.No.14 of 2013 Sri Pathy papers and Board 11.06.2024 for To set aside the (P) Ltd., respondent impugned notice arguments Versus dated 28-9-2012. 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC 2nd D.R.P.No.15 of 2013 Sri Pathy papers and Board 11.06.2024 for set aside the (P) Ltd.. respondent impugned notice arguments Versus dated 11-8-2012. 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC **Suryadev Alloys and Power** D.R.P.No. 32 of 2013 declare that the To be listed after Pvt. Ltd.. withdrawal of deemed getting intimation Versus demand benefit by the 2nd from the Counsel in

respondent is illegal and

Praying to review the order

dated 17-4-2013 made in

Praying to grant Intra-State

Direct the TANGEDCO to

calculate the amount due

and payable to the petitioner

and set-off perpetuated by TANGEDCO on account of LLR and alleged Excess Payment along with interest.

D.R.P.No.2 of 2012.

contrary to law.

arguments.

Trading Licence.

regard to the status

of the case before

Supreme Court

11.06.2024 for

arguments

To be listed

To be listed

1) TANGEDCO

R.P.No.2 of 2013

T.L.A.No.1 of 2019

D.R.P.No.10 of 2020

2) CFC, Revenue

1) CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC

3) SE, Chennai EDC North

Spictex Cotton Mills (P)

Versus

Star Eco Pvt Limited

M/s.GMR Generation

Versus

Assets Limited

TANGEDCO

9		Energy Limited ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Limited Versus i) Chairman/TANGEDCO ii) SLDC iii) TANTRANSCO iv) MNRE	To issue directions treating the loss of generation of Rs.78.73 crores as computed till August, 2020 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation by the petitioners and to make payment for the said deemed generation charges.	Order reserved.
		CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj & Ors.	To approve to the format of "Status Report" to be obtained from the SE/EDCs concerned and to submit by the HT consumers who seeks open access.	finalisation of Regulation
11	M.P.No.3 of 2021	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) CMD / TANGEDCO	To punish the respondents for having continuously violating the Regulatory Obligations and also for having violated the specific directions issued by the Commission in its Lr.No.TNERC/D/E/DDEI/F.OA/D.No.1202/2019 dated 7.11.2019, as required to be passed under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.	To be listed after finalisation of Regulation
12	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.1.28 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest.	20.06.2024 for arguments as a last chance

13	M.P.No.16 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj & Ors.	To approve the proposed changes in the 'NOC consent formats' for the HT consumer as 'Format ST-6' and for the generator as 'Format ST-5' to be issued by the SE/EDCs for the HT consumers / generators who applies for open access.	To be listed after finalisation of Regulation
14	I.A.No.2 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing till the date of realisation.	Order reserved.
15	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.25357 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1109 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged excess drawal of power.	18.06.2024 for filing reply by the petitioner

		T		
16	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton	In the matter of	18.06.2024 for filing
		Limited	W.P.No.25245 of 2010	reply by the
			transferred from the Hon'ble	petitioner
		Versus	High Court of Madras:	
		(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	L	
		(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	To quash the first	
		(iii) Arkay Energy	respondent bill No.1514	
		(Rameswaram) Limited	dated 03.11.2010 and direct	
			the 1st & 2nd respondents to	
			give credit to the supplies of	
			power made by the third	
			respondent to the accounts	
			of the petitioner and consequently forbear them	
			from levying charges	
			imposed under clauses 13(e)	
			and (f) and 15 of the	
			impugned bill on alleged	
			excess drawal of power.	
47	T A N - 0 - £ 0000	M/a Considerana Claustera	la tha mattan af	40.00.0004 for filling
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton	In the matter of	18.06.2024 for filing
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited	W.P.No.25246 of 2010	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Limited	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble	
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Limited Versus	W.P.No.25246 of 2010	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras:	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e)	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the
17	T.A.No.3 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the

18	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited	In the matter of	18.06.2024 for filing
			W.P.No.25247 of 2010	reply by the
		Versus	transferred from the Hon'ble	petitioner
		(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	High Court of Madras:	
		(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West		
		(iii) Arkay Energy	To quash the first	
		(Rameswaram) Limited	respondent bill No.1102	
			dated 01.11.2010 and direct	
			the 1st & 2nd respondents to	
			give credit to the supplies of	
			power made by the third	
			respondent to the accounts	
			of the petitioner and	
			consequently forbear them	
			from levying charges	
			imposed under clauses 13(e)	
			and (f) and 15 of the	
			impugned bill on alleged excess drawal of power.	
			excess drawar or power.	
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners	In the matter of	18.06.2024 for filing
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited	W.P.No.25247 of 2010	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble	_
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	W.P.No.25247 of 2010	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras:	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e)	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the
19	T.A.No.5 of 2022	Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1496 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	reply by the

20	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.475	18.06.2024 for
			of 2021 transferred from the	arguments
		Versus	Hon'ble High Court of	
		(i) Chairman /	Madras:	
		TANTRANSCO	T	
		(ii) MD/TANTRANSCO	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 24.9.2020 and	
		(iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	the Regulations framed by	
		(v) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	the Commission and goes	
		(vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	against the affidavits filed by	
			the State Commission and	
			SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of	
			2019 filed by the petitioner	
			and consequently direct the	
			respondents to redraw the	
			energy accounting without	
			relying upon such	
			instruction and effect	
			necessary changes in the CC bills of the captive	
			consumers of the petitioner	
			for the entire period when	
			such working was effected	
			on the basis of the	
			impugned circular.	
21	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt.	In the matter of	18.06.2024 for
		Limited	W.P.No.11480 of 2021	arguments
		Versus	transferred from the Hon'ble	
		(i) Chairman /	High Court of Madras:	
		TANTRANSCO		
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO	To quash the 3rd respondent	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect necessary changes in the CC	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect necessary changes in the CC bills of the captive	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect necessary changes in the CC bills of the captive	
		TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect necessary changes in the CC bills of the captive	

22	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	In the matter of W.P.No.12062 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission.	18.06.2024 for arguments
23	T.A.No.10 of 2022	(ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	In the matter of W.P.No.12083 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission.	18.06.2024 for arguments
24	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution	In the matter of W.P.No.12584 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission.	18.06.2024 for arguments

25	T.A.No.12 of 2022	Limited Versus	In the matter of W.P.No.15861 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 15.02.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and consequently rework the energy accounting and adjust the lapsed units against the corresponding captive consumers or alternatively pay a value of Rs.27,11,734 with interest @ 18% p.a. from Feb.2021 till date of payment.	18.06.2024 for arguments
26	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	In the matter of: W.P.No.26554 of 2013 which was transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 2nd respondent letter dated 7.9.2013 in respect of billing start-up power under a two part tariff system and quash the same and to forbear the respondents from demanding and collecting any start-up power charges from the petitioner. For payment of court fee by the petitioner and filing of counter affidavit by the respondents and also filing of entire documents/vakalath by the both parties in the above writ petition and for hearing.	To be listed after the outcome of the liquidation proceedings before NCLT and payment of court fee

27	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee by the petitioner and filing of counter affidavit by the respondents and also filing of entire documents/vakalath by both parties in the above writ petition and for hearing.	To be listed after the outcome of the liquidation proceedings before NCLT and payment of court fee
28	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein.	13.06.2024 for respondents side arguments
29	M.P.No.22 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017.	25.06.2024 for further hearing
30	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	25.06.2024 for further hearing
31	M.P.No.30 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015.	25.06.2024 for further hearing

	D.R.P.No.12 of 2022	Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.53.72 crores in favour of the petitioner as the differential amount including interest for wrongfully fixing the rate at 75% of the preferential tariff rates even for the years where the APPC rates did not breach the preferential tariff rates.	Order reserved
	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus SEPC Power Ltd	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure.	Order reserved
34	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security.	arguments
35	M.P.No.6 of 2023	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO Ltd	To approve the actual capital cost incurred by the petitioner as being the "Trued Up Capital Cost" in terms of Article 3.9, Article 12 and Article 14 of the PPA read with Regulations 18 to 20 and 90 of the TNERC - Tariff Regulations 2005 and to approve the revised tariff as per the Trued Up Capital Cost which shall be applicable from 3rd anniversary of the CoD.	11.06.2024 for further hearing

		•		
36	M.P.No.10 of 2023	CFC/Regulatory Cell,	To condone the delay of 121	Order reserved
		TANGEDCO	days in filing of ARR due to	
			AG certification of accounts,	
			the implementation of new	
			tariff order and its related	
			works and to admit the	
			petition seeking True-up of	
			FY 2021-22 as per the	
			provisions and to approve	
			the actual revenue gap /	
			<u> </u>	
			surplus arising on account	
			of truing up for FY 2021-22	
			without creating the	
			regulatory asset for	
			uncovered gap for FY 2021-	
			22 as GoTN has given	
			commitment to take over	
			100% losses as per RDSS	
			Scheme and to pass any	
			other orders.	
27	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Tagros Chemicals	To pass an interim	Order reserved
31		_	<u> </u>	Order reserved
	& M.P.No.12 of 2023	India Pvt. Limited	directions directing the	
	WI.P.NO.12 Of 2023	Versus	Respondents-2 to 5 to effect	
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd	additional demand of 275	
		(ii) CE/Commercial	KVA in the petitioner's HT	
		(iii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	service connection without	
			insisting on payment of the	
			amounts demanded or any	
			other amount in	
			contravention of the	
			Electricity Act, 2003 and the	
			Regulations and to punish	
			the respondents 2 to 5 for	
			demanding a sum of	
			Rs.1,78,66,700/- vide	
			impugned proceedings	
			dt.18.02.2023 for effecting	
			additional demand of 275	
			KVA in the petitioner's HT	
			service connection in	
			contravention of the	
			Electricity Act, 2003 and also	
			direct the respondents to	
			effect additional demand of	
			275 KVA to the petitioner	
			and also direct the	
			respondents to refund the	
<u></u>			amounts collected from the	

38	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited	To set aside the impuged	18.06.2024 for
	B.R.I .140.3 01 2023	Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP	communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential	arguments
		(iii) SE/Mettur EDC	demand of the 3rd	
		(iv) SLDC	respondent letter	
			dt.29.06.2015 demanding a	
			sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders.	
39	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint &	To set aside the impuged	18.06.2024 for
	D.IX.I .IVO.4 OI 2020	Papers Limited	communications dated	arguments
		Versus	13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015	· ·
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	and the consequential	
		(ii) CE/PPP	demand of the 3rd	
		(iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a	
		(IV) SEDC	sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and	
			pass other orders.	
40	D.R.P.No.5 of 2023	Solitaire BTN Solar Private	To issue directions treating	Order reserved.
		Limited	the loss of generation of	
		Versus	1985.52 MU s as computed	
		(i) TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC	from April 2020 till January 2022 on account of	
		(iii) TANTRANSCO	curtailment of power as	
		(,	deemed generation and to	
			direct TANGEDCO to make	
			payments of Rs.2,46,44,455	
			along with carrying cost of	
			Rs.82,38,300.	
41	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies	To stay the impugned	27.06.2024 for
	& M D N = 42 = 5 0002	Limited	demand notices dated	arguments
	M.P.No.13 of 2023	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	14.12.2022 and 02.01.2023 and direct the respondents	
		(ii) SE/CEDC/South	to not take any coercive	
		(iii) Secretary to Govt.,	measures including	
		Energy Department	disconnection of electricity	
			in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-	
			2 of the petitioner and to	
			quash the impugned demand notices dated	
			14.12.2022 & 02.01.2023 as	
			arbitrary and illegal and set	
			aside letters dated	
			31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 &	
			notices and direct the	
			respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and	
			unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for	
			the period prior to 01.08.2020.	

42	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	To stay the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2022 and direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the	27.06.2024 for arguments
43	M.P.No.23 of 2023	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.	Order reserved
44	M.P.No.24 of 2023	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/Comml/EE/T/AEE1/S FMC/CR.No.7887/2002 dt. 27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.	Order reserved

45	M.P.No.16 of 2023		To declare that M/s.Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	25.06.2024 for further hearing
		Ltd (vii) Sri Senthilandavar Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (viii) V.R.Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (ix) Shamugappriya Textiles Pvt Limited (x) C.V.Spinners Pvt Limited (xi) Sri Karpaga Vinayagar Textiles (xii) Velan Spinning Mills India Pvt. Limited (xiii) Annur Sri Ambal Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (xiv) Sri Palani Andavar		
46	M.P.No.17 of 2023	Textiles CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017.	25.06.2024 for further hearing
47	M.P.No.18 of 2023	Pvt Limited	To declare that M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt. Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 to 2016-2017 and 2019-2020 to 2021 -2022.	26.03.2024 for filing counter
48	M.P.No.19 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited	To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017-2018.	25.06.2024 for further hearing
49	M.P.No.20 of 2023		To declare that M/s.MMS Steel and Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 & 2015-2016.	25.06.2024 for further hearing

50	M.P.No.21 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus	To declare that M/s.OPG Energy Pvt Limited has lost	25.06.2024 for further hearing
		M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	captive status for the financial years 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022.	
51	M.P.No.22 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited (ii) M/s.Balavigna Weaving Mills Pvt Limited	To declare that M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2014-2015 & 2015-2016.	
52	M.P.No.26 of 2023	Naveen Cotton Mill Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iii)SE/ TANGEDCO	Direct the Respondents, to revise the Energy Wheeling Agreement, by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the Energy Wheeling Agreement as contained in page No.6 Clause 21 and further direct the Respondents, to execute a fresh Energy Wheeling Agreement in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the Order of the Hon'ble Commission, as contained in Order No.9 of 2020 dated 16.10.2020 and further direct the Respondents to accept the invoices of the petitioner.	27.06.2024 for rejoinder
53	M.P.No.25 of 2023			27.06.2024 for rejoinder

	D.R.P.No.9 of 2023	Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	in law' events in terms of Article 10 of the PPA dated 12.12.2013 and consequently direct the respondents to pay the difference amount totalling to Rs.4,55,83,235/being the amounts disputed by TANGEDCO towards financial impact of change in law events, for the period from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2022.	Order reserved
55	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DFC/AO/WIN D/AS/F.OA Software/D.No.354/23 dated 08.05.2023.	13.06.2024 for arguments
56	D.R.P.No.12 of 2023	M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	To refix the condition of achieving minimum 17% CUF by fixing an appropriate band and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.13,51,82,821 deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.	11.06.2024 for reply arguments of the petitioner

57	D.R.P.No.13 of 2023	M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	To review the working and applicability of Clause-6 of the PPA with regard to CUF and working of such provision, inlcuding revising the CUF band to 12% - 19% to cover variations and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.13,51,82,821 deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.	11.06.2024 for reply arguments of the petitioner
58	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D030/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	02.07.2024 for reporting status
59	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D032/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	02.07.2024 for reporting status
60	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D031/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	02.07.2024 for reporting status

61	M.P.No.28 of 2023	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General	To issue an order of interim stay of the impugned letter No.CFC/REV/FC/REV/AO/H/F. APPC/D.No.291/2022 dt.01.04.2022 capping the APPC for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and all proceedings pursuant and consequent thereto in order that the full APPC price and exercise Regulatory power and call for the records comprised in the impugned Letter dated 01.04.2022 capping the APPC rate for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and quash the same as being illegal and without authority of law and strictly	Order reserved
62	D.R.P.No.17 of 2023	M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited	comply with the regulations and directives fixing the APPC by this Commission. Hold and declare that as per Section 11(2) of the	Order reserved. Written
		Versus TANGEDCO	Electricity Act, 2003, SEPC is entitled to receive actual cost of generation of power supplied under section 11(1) including supply periods and direct the TANGEDCO to compensate a total sum of Rs.122,69,82, 905/- till 12.06.2023 towards actual cost of generation for power supplied including interest of Rs.18,65,27,398/	submissions to be filed by the both parties within 10 days.
63	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Ors.	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders.	11.06.2024 for arguments

		_	_	-
64	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt.	Direct the 3rd respondent	13.06.2024 for
	& D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	Limited Versus	not to take any coercive	arguments
	D.R.P.NO.18 Of 2023		action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till	
		(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	1-	
		(ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			disposed of and also quash	
		(iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for	
			Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the	
			BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal,	
			9 /	
			arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders.	
			Admission on I.A.	
			and Main petitions.	
			ana mam pennons.	
65	I.A.No.1 of 2023 &	M/s.Annamalai University	To condone the delay of 150	11.06.2024 for
"	M.P.No.29 of 2023	Versus	days in preferring this	arguments on the
	WI.F.140.29 OI 2023	(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	petition and to set aside the	•
		(ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	impugned communication	petitioner
		(iii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	dated 24.8.2004 bearing	petitioner
		(iii) or officegulatory cell	Lr.No.SE/CEDC/CUD	
			/AO/R/Audit-03-04/95/A4/2004	
			and to classify the HTSC 95	
			under Tariff-IIA for	
			Educational Institutions	
			instead of Commercial Tariff.	
66	M.P.No.30 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To ratify / approve the power	Order reserved
			dispatched from M/s.SEPC	
		Versus	Power Pvt Limited - 525 MW	
			to the grid on pass through	
		M/s.SEPC Power Pvt.	basis as per the guidelines	
		Limited	issued by MoP under section	
			11 of the Electricity Act and	
			to fix the tariff for the power	
			supplied from 16.04.2023 to	
			30.09.2023 as per the tariff	
			fixed by MoP.	

67	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has correctly accounted for payments made against its	18.06.2024 for arguments
			energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/-including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest	
68	M.P.No.34 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aniruth Green India Pvt. Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the Fys 2017-2018 & 2018-2019.	
69	M.P.No.35 of 2023	-	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2021-2022.	
70	M.P.No.36 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2015-2016.	
71	M.P.No.37 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2018-2019.	
72	M.P.No.38 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2022-2023.	

73	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions.	11.06.2024 for further hearing
74	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003.	Order reserved
75	D.R.P.No.20 of 2023	M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd Versus i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CMD/TANGEDCO		27.06.2024 for arguments
76	M.P.No.43 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents for procurement of 1000 MW power during peak hours (18-24 hrs) from Thermal power generating stations for the period from 01.03.2024 to 28.02.2029.	

	R.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of the banked energy available in the Group Captive Generator's account, maintained in the generation end EDC and to treat the unutilised banked energy for encashment at 75% of the relevant tariff rate as per the Wind Tariff Orders issued by the Commission.	13.06.2024 for arguments
78	M.P.No.3 of 2024	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	To waive the belated payment surcharge for an amount of Rs.137.13 crores as on 31.03.2023 in respect of all local bodies on payment of 50% of the outstanding dues by them.	02.07.2024 for further hearing
79	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India Rep. through Military Engineer Services (MES) Garrison Engineer (AF) Tambaram Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co-Ordination, SLDC	To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemend Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed Distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. I.A. filed by the petitioner for amending the prayer (b) in the main petition.	27.06.2024 for filing counter on I.A.

	T
1 . 1	ne action of the 25.06.2024 for
	in seeking to arguments
	ng and system
	rges vide letter
,,	013 from the
	ent and 4th
(iii) CFC/General, respondent	
I I	herein the 4th
(iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC respondent	had
	y worked out
1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	and system
	arges for the
l l	01.04.2012 to
	vying a total
1 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74,04,862/- on
	for scheduling
and system	•
	consequently
	of charges paid
1 I	uch period of
	nding on
24.05.2023 and	d other orders.
81 M.P.No.5 of 2024 Chief Financial Controller / Declare that	the 1st 25.06.2024 for
Deposits & Documentation, respondent	generator further hearing
	ed has lost the
Versus Captive Gen	_
(1) TCP Limited & 80 others status under	, i
	financial years
	2021-2022 and
	declare that
	ers of the said
I I	not captive
	he meaning of
	ne Electricity
Rules, 2005	
	he said users
	pay the Cross
Subsidy Sur	-
Additional S	<u> </u>
	ricity and other
orders.	

82	M.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	11.06.2024 for filing counter
83	M.P.No.7 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	11.06.2024 for filing counter
84	M.P.No.8 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	11.06.2024 for filing counter
85	M.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the orders of the Commission dated 12.04.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 and R.P.No.5 of 2022 dated 13.07.2023, and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders.	reply to the memo filed by the

_				
86	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallot 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust by petitioner's captive users during 2024-25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801.	18.06.2024 for arguments
87	R.A.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited	Direct the respondents to forthwith pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.80,81,31,929/- plus Rs.14,80,198.65 being the balance due for the period from 16.03.2017 to 07.12.2020 to the petitioner from TANGEDCO for power supplied from the wind generation units.	Order reserved. Written submissions to be filed
88	D.R.P.No.3 of 2024	M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Hold and declare that the petitioner is entitled to fixed cost for non-supply of power in periods mentioned in Para-2 above as this situation of non-supply arose only due to factors beyond SEPC's control and Direct TANGEDCO to pay fixed charges to SEPC for the period of non-supply in FY 2022-2023 i.e., Rs.243,59,11,020 along with pendente lite interest, and extend the term of PPA by 4 months and pass any other orders.	

		T	1	
89	M.P.No.10 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller, TANTRANSCO Ltd	To condone the delay of 544 days in filing true-up petition praying for approval of ARR and to admit the said petition seeking approval for the true-up for FY 2020-2021 as per the provisions of the Tariff Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the Final True-up for FY 2020-21 and to approve the actual revenue Gap / Surplus arising on account of truing-up for the FY 2020-21 and other orders.	Order to be passed
90	M.P.No.11 of 2024	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Ltd (2) MIOT Hospitals Limited (3) Global Calcuim Pvt. Ltd (4) Tab India Granites Pvt. Ltd (5) Ammarun Foundaries (6) Prithiviraj Spinning Mills Pvt Limited (7) Euro Shoe Components Ltd (8) Godrej Agrovert Ltd (9) Rane Brake Lining Ltd (10) Rane Engine Valve Ltd (11) Rane TRW Steering Systems Pvt. Ltd (12) Rane TRW Steering Systems Pvt. Ltd	To declare that the 1st Respondent, M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Limited has lost the captive generating plant status under Rule-3 of Electricity Rules, read with Section (8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the financial years 2014-15 to 2021-2022 and consequently declare that the users of the said generator are not captive users within the meaning of Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 and further declare that the said users are liable to pay the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for adjusted electricity and other orders.	25.06.2024 for further hearing

91	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.12 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	To condone the delay of 106 days in filing of ARR due to AG certification of accounts as per IND AS and to admit the petition seeking True-up of FY 2022-23 as per the provisions of TNERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the actual revenue gap / surplus arising on account of truing up for FY 2022-23 without creating any regulatory asset for uncovered gap of FY 2022-23 and other orders.	affidavit and for
92	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.13 of 2024	Chief Engieer / Grid Operation, TANTRANSCO Ltd	To condone the delay of 526 days in filing of Final True-up of ARR of SLDC for the FY 2020-2021 and to admit the petition seeking approval for the True-up of FY 2020-21 as per the provisions of TNERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the final True-up for FY 2020-21 and other orders.	Tariff Wing. Thereafter, it will be listed for further
93	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2024	M/s.SRG Apparels Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC (iv) SE/Trichy/Metro EDC (v) M/s.Apex Coco and Solar Energy Ltd	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 06.02.2024 and impugned CC bill dated 08.03.2024 and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice bearing letter dated 6.2.2024 and 8.3.2024 insofar as Sl.No.20 "Adjustment Charges' is concerned as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the scheme of OA which is guaranteed under the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass appropriate orders.	•

94	M.P.No.14 of 2024	Thiru.N.Muthukumaar Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC / Revenue (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the orders of the Commission dated 17.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders.	18.06.2024 for filing memo of calculations
95	M.P.No.15 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (iii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the orders of the Commission dated 15.12.2020 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders.	11.06.2024 for rejoinder
96	D.R.P.No.5 of 2024	M/s.ARS Energy Private Ltd Versus (i) SE/Chennai North EDC, TANGEDCO (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO (iii) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (iv) Director (Operations), TANTRANSCO Ltd (v) Director(Distribution), TANGEDCO	To quash the impugned demand letter dated 30.1.2024 seeking to levy a sum of Rs.2,71,02,948/-towards "Grid Availability Charges" as well as the audit slips on the basis of which the said impugned demand was issued, as the letter and claims therein are illegal, barred by limitation and have been issued without authority of law.	18.06.2024 for filing rejoinder
97	M.P.No.16 of 2024	Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance)	To direct the Respondents to forthwith implement the order of the Commission in T.A.No.19 of 2022 & batch, dated 29.12.2023 and to impose penalty upon the Respondents in accordance with Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission in T.A.No.19 of 2022.	Order reserved

00	M.P.No.17 of 2024	CE/DDD TANCEDCO	To approve power	Order reserved.
90	WI.P.NO.17 OI 2024	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO		Order reserved.
		Versus	dispatched from M/s.SEPC	
		Versus	Power Ltd (Tuticorin) - 525	
			MW to the grid on pass	
		M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd.	through basis as per the	
			directive issued by the MoP,	
			Gol in Ref.No.23/13/2021-	
			R&R(pt-1) dt.23.10.2023	
			under section 11 of the	
			Electricity Act, 2003, to meet	
			out the Tamil Nadu growing	
			demand supply gap on an	
			'as and when required basis	
			and to approve/ ratify the	
			tariff for the power supplied	
			from 01.10.2023 to	
			31.03.2024 and to supplied	
			from 01.04.2024 to	
			30.06.2024 as per the tariff	
			fixed by ministry of Power,	
			Government of India stated	
			in Para 17 above by relaxing	
			certain provisions of	
			PPA/Addendum 3 as a one-	
			time measure and to take	
			into consideration the sums	
			already paid by TANGEDCO	
	D.R.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Shri Harikrishna Cotton	as per the MoP direction.	11.06.2024 for filing
99	D.R.P.NO.0 01 2024	Mills Pvt. Limited	<u>-</u>	_
			, , ,	counter
		Versus	to expunge the inconsistent	
		(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	portions of the EWA and	
		(ii) SE/Dindigul EDC	further direct the	
		(iii) SE/Palladam EDC	respondents to execute a	
			fresh EWA in terms of Para	
			5.5.8 of the order of the	
			Commission.	
100	M.P.No.18 of 2024	M/s.Amarjothi Spinning	•	01.08.2024 for
		Mills Ltd	under section 142 of the	reporting
		Versus	Electricity Act, 2003 for non-	compliance
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	compliance of directions	
		(ii) Director / Finance	issued by the Commission in	
		(iii) SE/Theni EDC	its order dated 23.01.2024	
			and 12.03.2024 in	
			D.R.P.No.18 of 2021.	

404	M D No 40 -4 0004	Chief Financial Controller	Cooking comment for	00 07 0004 fac fills
101	M.P.No.19 of 2024		Seeking approval for determination of additional surcharge payable by all open access consumers who purchase power through 3rd party sale and power exchanges viz., IEX, PXI etc for the period from 01.04.2024 to 30.09.2024 and hold that the obligation of the State Distribution Licensee in terms of power purchase agreements has been and continues to be stranded and there is an unavoidable obligation and incidence to bear fixed costs consequent to such agreements and determine the additional surcharge of Re.0.34 per unit payable by open access consumers on adjusted quantum of power purchase through open access	02.07.2024 for filing affidavit and for further hearing
102	M.P.No.20 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / TANTRANSCO Ltd	Seeking approval for the true-up for FY 2021-2022 as per the provisions of the Tariff Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the Final True-up for FY 2021-2022 and to approve the actual revenue Gap / Surplus arising on account of truing-up for the FY 2021-22 and to approve the total recovery of True-up for FY 2021-22 and other related charges along with other claims as proposed by TANTRANSCO and other orders.	09.07.2024 for filing affidavit and for further hearing

103	D.R.P.No.7 of 2024	M/s.Lemon Tree Hotels Ltd	Direct TANGEDCO to adjust the outstanding amount of Rs.26,98,179/- due to SEP Energy against the consumption charges / open access charges payable by petitioners No.2 & 3 respectively, along with interest at the rate of 18% p.a. amounting to Rs.37,72,841/- and other orders.	_
	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.8 of 2024	M/s.Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Pvt. Limited	Direct the respondent to pay an amount of Rs.40,58,54,851/- (Principal amount of Rs.22,00,57,921 and interest at the rate of 1% i.e., Rs.18,57,96,930 as on 10.04.2024 towards the unutilised banked energy for FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17 and also direct respondent to make payment of pendente lite interest and other orders. Allow the present I.A. and direct the respondent to produce and /or place on record the internal memos / letters/circulars which have been isssued by the Respondent inter-alia in context of verification of CGP and payment towards unutilised banked energy by the WEG and other orders. For admission of main petition along with I.A.	27.06.2024 for filing counter in the main petition as well as I.A.No.1 of 2024
105	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in	CE/GO, TANTRANSCO Ltd	To condone the delay of 46 days in filing the review	18.06.2024 for filing counter
	R.P.No.1 of 2024 & R.P.No.1 of 2024	Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	petition and to review the order dated 12.03.2024 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 and other orders.	Counter