

A consumer is the important visitor on our premises.
He is not dependent on us. We are dependent on him.
- Mahatma Gandhi



TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN

4th Floor, SIDCO Corporate Office Building, Thiru-vi-ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 032.

Phone : ++91-044-2953 5806, 044-2953 5816 Fax : ++91-044-2953 5893

Email : tneochennai@gmail.com

Web site : www.tnerc.gov.in

Before The Tamil Nadu Electricity Ombudsman, Chennai

Present :Thiru. S. Devarajan, Electricity Ombudsman

A.P.No. 52 of 2020

M/s. Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust,
Aarogya Kudumbam,
Pathanjali (Post), Mangarai,
Thadagam Road,
Coimbatore – 641 108.

..... Appellant
(Dr. P.C. Raju)

Vs.

1. The Executive Engineer/K. Vadamadurai,
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North,
TANGEDCO,
K.Vadamadurai Post,
Coimbatore-641017.

2. The Assistant Executive Engineer/South/Thudialur,
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North,
TANGEDCO,
Sowdambika Nagar,
Coimbatore-641034.

..... Respondents
(Thiru S.V. Balasubramaniam, EE/K. Vadamadurai
Thiru R. Rajasekaran, AD/South/Thudiyalur)

Date of Hearing: 16-12-2020

Date of order: 16-03-2021

The Appeal Petition received on 19.10.2020 filed by M/s. Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust, Aarogya Kudumbam, Pathanjali (Post), Mangarai, Thadagam Road, Coimbatore – 641 108 was registered as Appeal Petition No. 52 of 2020. The above appeal petition came up for hearing before the Electricity Ombudsman on 16.12.2020. Upon perusing the Appeal Petition,

Counter affidavit, written argument and the oral submission made on the hearing date from both the parties, the Electricity Ombudsman passes the following order.

ORDER

1. Prayer of the Appellant:

The Appellant has prayed to restore the tariff IA from tariff V.

2.0 Brief History of the case:

2.1 The Appellant is running old age homes, a unit of Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust, under tariff IA.

2.2 The Appellant has stated that their service connections (about 38 nos.) of the old age homes have been under tariff IA for many years, but the respondent has changed the same into tariff-V after inspection on 18.03.2020. Hence the appellant has requested the respondent to change the tariff from V to IA for all the service connections stating that there is no commercial activity in the old age home.

2.3 Since the grievance of the Appellant was not settled by the Section Officer, the appellant filed a petition before the CGRF of Coimbatore EDC/North on 19.08.2020. The CGRF of Coimbatore EDC/North has issued an order dated 25.09.2020. Aggrieved over the order, the appellant has preferred this appeal petition before the Electricity Ombudsman.

3.0 Orders of the CGRF :

3.1 The CGRF of Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North have issued its order on 25.09.2020. The relevant portion of the order is extracted below:-

“இம்மனு மீது மனுதாரரின் வாதங்கள் எதிர்மனுதாரர்களின் வாதங்கள், இம்மனு சார்பாக இருதரப்பிலும் வழங்கப்பட்ட ஆவணங்கள் ஆகியவற்றை ஆய்வு செய்து இம்மன்றம் இம்மனு மீது கீழ்க்கண்டவாறு தீர்வு செய்கிறது.

சாரதாம்பாள் கல்வி அறக்கட்டளை மூலம் நடத்தும் “ஆரோக்கிய குடும்பம்” முதியோர் இல்லத்தின் மின் இணைப்பு எண்கள் 130-001-515, 877, (854-871), (1125-1136), (1425-1430) மொத்தம் 38 மின் இணைப்புகள் கொண்ட “ஆரோக்கிய குடும்பம்” முதியோர் இல்லமானது கடந்த 18-3-2020 அன்று கள ஆய்வு செய்யப்பட்டதில் “ஆரோக்கிய குடும்பம்” முதியோர் இல்லத்தில் வசிக்கும் முதியோர்கள் தங்குமிடம் மற்றும் உணவு உட்பட அனைத்து வசதிகளுக்கும் கட்டணம் செலுத்தி வசித்து வருவதால் மேற்கண்ட மின் இணைப்புகளின் வீதப்பட்டியல் IA- லிருந்து V க்கு மாற்றம் செய்யப்பட்டது தெரியவருகிறது.

ஆனால் மனுதாரர் வீதப்பட்டியல் ஆணை 2013 மற்றும் 2017 ஆங்கில பதிப்பில் Old Age Homes, Leprosy Centers and sub Centers என்று முற்றுப்புள்ளி வைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. அதன்பிறகு தான் Orphanages, Homes for destitute run by Government/Local bodies/Charitable Institutions rendering totally free services என்று குறிப்பிடப்பட்டு உள்ளபடியால் Old Age Homes க்கு வீதப்பட்டியல் V லிருந்து IA க்கு மாற்றி கொடுக்கப்பட வேண்டும் என தெரிவித்துள்ளார்.

ஆனால் தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார ஒழுங்குமுறை ஆணையத்தின் மின் உற்பத்தி மற்றும் மின் பகிர்மானத்திற்கான மின்கட்டணம் நிரிணயித்தல், வீதப்பட்டியல் ஆணை எண் 1 நாள் 11-8-2017 ல் உள்ள விதிதொகுப்பு 6.2.2.1 பிரிவு (b) உட்பிரிவு (f) ன் படி கீழ்க்கண்ட அமைப்புகளுக்கு மட்டும் IA வீதப்பட்டியலில் பொருந்தும்.

“அரசு / உள்ளாட்சி அமைப்புகள் / அறநிறுவனங்களால் நடத்தப்படுவதும் மொத்தம் இலவசமாகப் பணி செய்வதுமான முதியோர் இல்லங்கள், தொழு நோய் மையங்கள் அனாதை இல்லங்கள், ஆதரவற்றோர் இல்லங்கள்”

மனுதாரர் நடத்தி வரும் முதியோர் இல்லமானது கட்டண முறையில் இயங்கி வருவதால் மேற்படி மின் இணைப்புகள் IA வீதப்பட்டியலின் கீழ் பொருந்தாது என்பதால் V யிலிருந்து IA க்கு மாற்றம் செய்ய இயலாது என தீர்வு வழங்கப்படுகிறது.”

4.0 Hearing held by the Electricity Ombudsman:

4.1 To enable the Appellant and the Respondents to put forth their arguments a hearing was conducted on 16.12.2020 through Video conferencing.

4.2 The Appellant Dr. P.C. Raju, Managing Trustee has attended the hearing and put forth his arguments.

4.3 The Respondents Thiru S.V. Balasubramaniam, EE/K. Vadamadurai and Thiru R. Rajasekaran, AEE/South/Thudiyalur of Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North have attended the hearing and put forth their arguments.

4.4 As the Electricity Ombudsman is the appellate authority, only the prayers which were submitted before the CGRF are considered for issuing order. Further the prayer which requires relief under the Regulations for CGRF and Electricity Ombudsman, 2004 alone are discussed hereunder.

5.0 Arguments of the Appellant :

5.1 The Appellant has referred the Tariff orders in English 2013, 2014 and 2017 qualifying for 1-A tariff for old age homes. There can be no second opinion or ambiguity in the interpretation of the order. In support of this, the appellant has furnished below the extract of the order no: T.O.P 1-5, Dt. 25-06-2003.

"The commission directs that when old age home, leprosy centre etc are run in the buildings owned by an individual and leased/rented for the above charitable purposes, electricity consumed by such homes may be charged under LT Tariff-Domestic, as the usage are purely domestic in nature, irrespective of the ownership of the building in which the services such as Old age homes are rendered."

5.2 The Appellant has stated that he is disagreeing with the chairman of CGRF who failed to appreciate the, in depth meaning of the two sentences in {f} column English version, and the single sentence in the Tamil version / thus interpreted as if both English and Tamil statement convey the same and only one meaningful message. This is far from acceptance by anyone. Yes the categories in both the sentences are eligible for 1A tariff. The word TOTAL is applicable only to the second sentence and not the first sentence, since the first sentence has been completed with a declared statement. If the English version was in one sentence then chairman is right. The tariff order booklet is in English only. No reason was given as to why English version cannot be taken into consideration.

5.3 The respondent while having understood the authenticity of English version, still stuck to the Tamil version. At the time of inspection by the enforcement squad they showed me only the English version with words totally free underlined and not the Tamil version. Now they wish to follow only Tamil version just to validate their earlier action in implementing Tariff-V. The enforcement squad penalised us as a commercial unit and enforced tariff V, with a false assumption that

“வீடு உபயோக பொருட்கள் எதுவும் இல்லாத காரணத்தால் ஆரோக்கிய குடும்பம் வர்த்தக ரீதியில் பயன்படுத்துவதாக கருதப்பட்டது”

5.4 In fact they inquired whether kitchen is available in the cottages and when my answer was in affirmative, it was not checked by the staff. To brand any organization as commercial, there should be certain amount of financial transactions with the common man, business associates outside the campus. Our home is a totally a residential complex just like any other multitenant residency. All the members after retirement have chosen to stay as tenants at our home. Our home is in no way a resort, or lodge to be branded as commercial. Bachelor students, working single members in a jewellery or LT complex staying as group in a house are considered as residents and eligible for 1A tariff. Why not same analogy is applied for retired, pensioners, whose children are abroad, looking for a way out of "Empty Nest Syndrome" choose to stay in a home wherein good shelter, healthy food and basic medical care are provided in one campus exclusively for them. Any institution committing to take care of senior citizens it is mandatory to provide not just shelter, but also food, basic medical care. Once the trust is formed by the corpus from the trustees, thereafter the trust has to sustain by itself without any contribution from the trustee members. To achieve this full time resident members pay for the accommodation and for food only. The basic medical care is totally free.

5.5 Our senior retirement old age home has been in existence since late 2007. The service connections 854-871, 877 was given in 2007 under 1-A tariff. The service connections 1125-1136 was given in 2012 under 1-A tariff. The service connections 1425-1430 was given in 2017 under 1A tariff. We have been paying the bills from the beginning, without any default as evidenced by the meter cards and the receipts. We have not

received any notice from the department to change the tariff for more than 10 years, until the date of enforcement on 18-3-2020. This speaks for the fact, that we have been authorized by the E.B. department, in accordance with the tariff orders, 2013, 2014 and 2017, to continue with 1-A tariff. We don't have any deceptive intention of abstracting and consuming energy, to defraud the license.

5.6 The enforcement squad has penalised us, with a false unconfirmed assumption that, house hold utilities like kitchens are not available in the residential complex. Hence, arrived at the false conclusion that we are running the retirement old age home on commercial basis. This is totally untrue, since we have provided a small kitchen, with E.13 points for induction stove, mixer, a geyser and a point for washing machine etc at the toilet. Some kitchen photos are attached. There is no commercial activity at our residential retirement home.

5.7 We collect monthly rental from the members staying as tenants, this is in no way different from tenants staying at multiple residential complex where domestic connectivity is given for individual residence. We collect the E.B Bills from the residents and remit them at the office. The tenancy amount collected is utilized for self sustenance, like the up keep of the home, e.g labour welfare, (in house manager, in house electrician cum plumber, resident housekeeping staff, gardeners, full time security) maintenance of garden, solar fencing, generator, water supply from bore wells payment of Panchayat tax, library periodicals, outdoor recreational activities etc. Residents who are unable to cook for themselves due to age related inabilities, dine, at the dining hall which **is exclusively for the resident members only, and not open to the public, and hence this activity should not be considered as commercial.** Whenever a trust or care provider undertakes, to care for the aging senior retired citizens, it is absolutely mandatory to provide, not only good shelter, but also provide healthy nutritious food at nominal charges and basic health care.

5.8 Further, in respect of multi tenements/residential complexes, supply used for common lighting, water supply, lift **and such other facilities provided only to the residents alone**, may be given separate connection and charged under this tariff " as per para {h} in the tariff orders 2014-2017. Thus the service No: 877 for the dining, office section and water supply from bore wells, also, should come under 1-A tariff only. Thus Aarogya kudumbam, a total residential unit to continue with 1-A tariff.

6.0 Arguments the Respondent:

6.1 The respondent has stated that as per the Tariff order 2017, the Tariff for the Old Age Homes is IA if it is rendering totally free services. The TNERC's current Tariff Order under Low Tension Tariff IA reads:

6.2.2.1 "Old age Homes, Leprosy Centers and sub centers, Orphanages, Homes for destitute run by Government / Local Bodies / Charitable institutions rendering totally free services."

And its Tamil Translated Version available in TNERC's Website.

"11.08.2017 முதற் கொண்டு நடைமுறைப்படுத்ததிலில் இருந்தும் தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார ஒழுங்குமுறை ஆணையத்தின் ஆணை நாள் 11.08.2017ன் மின் உற்பத்தி மற்றும் பகிர்மானத்திற்கான மின் கட்டணம் நிர்ணயத்தில்:

தமிழ் ஆணையில்

6.2.2.1 (ஊ)

"அரசு/உள்ளாட்சி அமைப்புகள்/ அற நிறுவனங்களால் நடத்தப்படுவதும் மொத்த இலவசமாகப் பணி செய்வதுமான முதியோர் இல்லங்கள், தொழு நோய் மையங்கள் மற்றும் துணை மையங்கள், அனாதை இல்லங்கள், ஆதரவற்றோர் இல்லங்கள்" எனக் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ளது"

6.2 The Petitioner, who already accepted to run the old age Homes, a unit of Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust by collecting Money for the all

services to the residents at the said Old Age Homes. This clearly confirms / shows that the charitable trust does not render totally free services.

6.3 There is no need to consider whether there is commercial activity or not at the Old Age home. The Ambiguity is adaption of appropriate tariff. As the petitioner appealed himself accepted that they collect monthly rental from the members staying as Tenants.

6.4 And the amount is being collected from the residents for other utilities too. It proves that the home runs not rendering totally free services and hence Tariff IA cannot be adaptable to the said Old Age Home as per TNERC's Current Tariff Order.

6.5 The usage of Tariff IA to the Multi Tenements / residential complexes for common lighting, water supply, lift and such other facilities cannot be comparable and adaptable as the charity runs the said Old age Home entirely on Commercial basis by collecting money for all the activities / purposes to the residents. A photo copy of Deed of Lease and Licensee made between Saradhambal Educational and Charity Trust who run the Old Age Home (Licensor) and a resident (Licensee) is submitted for perusal.

6.6 Further by the charitable Trust (Aarogya Kudumbam), there is a web site named "Aarogya Kudumbam.org" in which they commercially advertising for the Old Age Home mentioning as follows.

"Aarogya Kudumbam is being established for a happy, contented living of senior citizens on a no-profit no-loss basis. Hence for self-sustenance an advance deposit (refundable without interest) and monthly charges for food, accommodation and other facilities are collected."

A photo copy of screen shot is submitted and it confirms that the Old Age home functions commercially.

7.0 Findings of the Electricity Ombudsman:

7.1. I have heard the arguments of both the appellant and respondent. The prayer of the Appellant in this appeal petition is for restoration of tariff from LT tariff-V to LT tariff-I A in respect of service connections 130-001-515, 854, 871, 877, 1125-1136, 1425-1430. The Appellant has claimed this tariff change with reference to para 6.2.2.1 (f) of the prevailing Tariff Order in T.P.1 of 2017 dated 11-08-2017 and also claimed that the same provision is carried forward from the year 2013.

7.2. The aforementioned services are in the name of M/s.Sarathambal Trust functioning in the name of "Aarogya Kudumbam" within the jurisdiction of the AE/O&M/Chinnathadagam of Coimbatore/North circle/TANGEDCO. These service connections' tariff was changed from LT-IA to LT-V based on the inspection of TANGEDCO officials.

7.3. The Appellant in its pleadings stated that the consumption of electricity in the above LT service connections will fall within the classification of Para 6.2.2.1 (f) of the Tariff order dated 11-08-2017 as below:

"6.2.2.1. This tariff is applicable to the following:

xxxx xxxx

(f) Old Age Homes, Leprosy Centres and sub centres. Orphanages, Homes for destitute run by Government / Local bodies / Charitable Institutions rendering totally free services."

Further, the Appellant made one more reference of the TNERC's Order in T.O. 1-5, dated 25-06-2003, as below and argued that the TNERC has fully appreciated the need and eligibility for LT I-A tariff for old age homes and ordered.

"The commission directs that when old age home, leprosy centre etc are run in the buildings owned by an individual and leased/rented for the above charitable purposes, electricity consumed by such homes may be charged

under LT Tariff-Domestic, as the usage are purely domestic in nature, irrespective of the ownership of the building in which the services such as Old age homes are rendered."

7.4. The Respondent has stated that as per Tariff order 2017, the Tariff for the Old Age Homes is IA if it is run rendering totally free services. The TNERC's prevailing Tariff Order under Low Tension Tariff IA reads in its Tamil Translated Version as below.

“11.08.2017 முதல் கொண்டு நடைமுறைப்படுத்துதலில் இருந்தும் தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார ஒழுங்குமுறை ஆணையத்தின் ஆணை நாள் 11.08.2017ன் மின் உற்பத்தி மற்றும் பகிர்மானத்திற்கான மின் கட்டணம் நிர்ணயத்தில்:

தமிழ் ஆணையில்

6.2.2.1 (ஊ)

“அரசு/உள்ளாட்சி அமைப்புகள்/ அற நிறுவனங்களால் நடத்தப்படுவதும் மொத்த இலவசமாகப் பணி செய்வதுமான முதியோர் இல்லங்கள், தொழு நோய் மையங்கள் மற்றும் துணை மையங்கள், அனாதை இல்லங்கள், ஆதரவற்றோர் இல்லங்கள்” எனக் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ளது”

Further Respondent states that the Tariff order's Tamil version is clear that the LT-IA is applicable only for the Old age homes which render totally free service. The Petitioner has accepted in its petition that they run the old age Homes, a unit of Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust by collecting Money for all the services to the residents at the said Old Age Homes. This clearly confirms / shows that the charitable trust does not render totally free services and hence the classification of these services cannot be classified under LT-IA and to be classified under LT-V only since the “Aarogya Kudumbam” is operated on commercial basis.

7.5. Having heard the arguments of both the parties, with reference to the para 6.2.2.1 clause (f) of Tariff order 2017, it would be proper to mention earlier Tariff orders of the Commission in respect of 'Old age homes', -

(i) T.O. 3 of 2010 dated 31.07.2010 –

“9.11.10. Low Tension Tariff I-A :

xxxx xxxx

(5) Old Age Home, Leprosy Centre run by charitable institutions rendering free service.”

TNERC in the above Tariff Order dated 31.07.2010 classified the LT Tariff I-A for the Old Age homes and Leprosy Centre run by the charitable institutions rendering free service; and the same classification continued in Tariff Order 1 of 2012 dated 30.3.2012 for rendering totally free service.

(ii) T.O. dated 20.6.2013 under para 6.10.(i)(f), TNERC ordered that -

“6.10. This tariff is applicable to the following:

(i) xxxx xxxx

(f) Old Age Homes, Leprosy Centres and sub centres. Orphanages, Homes for destitute run by Government / Local bodies / Charitable Institutions rendering totally free services.”

The same provisions in respect of ‘Old age homes’ continues in all the subsequent Tariff orders till date.

7.6. From all the above Tariff Orders since 2010, it could be seen that Hon’ble TNERC has not changed its approach of classifying LT Tariff I-A for ‘Old Age Homes’ run by Government / Local bodies / Charitable institutions which are rendering totally free services. The TNERC’s view on this purpose is well explained in its Tamil version as below:

“6.2.2.1 (ஊ)

“அரசு/உள்ளாட்சி அமைப்புகள்/ அற நிறுவனங்களால் நடத்தப்படுவதும் மொத்த இலவசமாகப் பணி செய்வதுமான முதியோர் இல்லங்கள், தொழு நோய் மையங்கள் மற்றும் துணை மையங்கள், அனாதை இல்லங்கள், ஆதரவற்றோர் இல்லங்கள்” எனக் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ளது”

7.7. Further, in my view, the Appellant’s reference of T.O.P.1-5, 25.06.2003 will

not be appropriate to the petitioner's case, since the cases mentioned in this Order applicable to such homes run under the grant of Government of Tamil Nadu; the TNERC ordered to adopt LT I-A irrespective of ownership of the building in which the services such as Old Age home, etc., are rendered.

“3. Old Age Home run by Anbu Thondu Nilayam, The Old Age Home is run by Anbu Thondu Nilayam with the maintenance grant sanctioned by the Government of Tamil Nadu. The electricity consumed by the Old age Home is charged under LT Tariff V (Commercial) as the Home is housed in a house owned by an individual. The Commission directs that when Old Age Home, Leprosy Centre, etc. are run in the buildings owned by individual and leased / rented for the above charitable purposes, electricity consumed by such Homes may be charged under LT Tariff I A - domestic, as the usages are purely domestic in nature, irrespective of the ownership of the building in which the services such as Old Age Home, etc. are rendered.”

The same has been clearly stated in the subsequent Tariff order dated 31.7.2010 as stated in para 7.5 above.

7.8. The Appellant also stated that these homes are totally residential complex just like any other multi-tenement residency; these homes are not resort or lodge to be branded as commercial. Bachelor students, working single members in a jewellery or I.T. complex staying as group in a house are considered as residents and made eligible for tariff under LT I-A, why not same analogy is applied for these people. Any institution committing to take care of senior citizens it is mandatory to provide not just shelter, but also food, basic medical care. To achieve this, full time members pay for the accommodation and food only, the basic medical care is free. Further the Appellant stated that they have provided a small kitchen with electrical points in its premises, hence it cannot be considered as commercial.

7.9. The Respondent, in this connection stated that the Appellant M/s. Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust, is collecting charges for all the services provided to its members; and this confirms that the appellant is

not providing totally free services which is evident from the agreement executed between the Licensor (Appellant) and Licensee (occupant of the premises) under the title of “Deed of Leave and License”.

7.10. On going through the above Agreement executed by the Appellant, it reveals that the Appellant collects (i) a Security Deposit of Rs.5,00,000 (refundable without interest) (ii) Monthly license fee of Rs.14500/-, and EB charges & Telephone charges as and when applicable, etc., And the Monthly charges collected are towards license fee, for the food, rooms, garden maintenance, housekeeping, meditation, yoga, etc.,

7.11. The activity seems to be exposed in the above para entirely differs from those activities permitted under para 6.2.2.1 of the Tariff order dated 11.08.2017 so as to claim LT Tariff I-A. Therefore, it is understood that almost all the services provided by the appellant “Aarogya kundumbam” run by M/s.Saradhambal Educational and charitable trust is for charges, but not totally free. The purpose for which electricity consumed will differ when such activity is got done by others commonly at a cost of service.

7.12. From the documents furnished by both the parties, it is seen that the Appellant is collecting charges for Shelter, food, Common maintenance, Housekeeping, etc., at a charge of Rs.14500/- per month including Electricity consumption charges duly issuing the ‘Receipt’ from “M/s.Aarogya Kudumbam”. The appellant has not demonstrated beyond doubt that the occupants are self-serving to consider the electricity consumption under LT Tariff I-A.

8.0 Conclusion:

8.1. In view of my findings in para 7.6 and 7.7 and para 7.10 to 7.12 above, it is concluded that the appeal request for restoration of LT Tariff I-A from LT Tariff-V has no merit as per the Tariff Order in vogue. The issue is not considered in favour of the appellant.

8.2. With the above findings the A.P.no.52 of 2020 is finally disposed of by the Electricity Ombudsman. No costs.

(S. Devarajan)
Electricity Ombudsman

“நுகர்வோர் இல்லையேல், நிறுவனம் இல்லை”
“No Consumer, No Utility”

To

1. M/s. Saradhambal Educational and Charitable Trust,
Aarogya Kudumbam,
Pathanjali (Post), Mangarai,
Thadagam Road, Coimbatore – 641 108.

2. The Executive Engineer/K. Vadamadurai,
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North,
TANGEDCO,
K.Vadamadurai Post,
Coimbatore-641017.

3. The Assistant Executive Engineer/South/Thudialur,
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North,
TANGEDCO,
Sowdambika Nagar,
Coimbatore-641034.

4. The Superintending Engineer, – By Email
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle/North,
TANGEDCO,
Tatabad, Coimbatore-641 012.

5. The Chairman & Managing Director, – By Email
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Maaligai,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai -600 002.

6. The Secretary, – By Email
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
4th Floor, SIDCO Corporate Office Building,
Thiru-vi-ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 032.

7. The Assistant Director (Computer) – **For Hosting in the TNERC Website**
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
4th Floor, SIDCO Corporate Office Building,
Thiru-vi-ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 032.