(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Le

.... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2022

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024
DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"6.28. Based on the preceding discussions and aforementioned findings, this Commission has to arrive at the inevitable conclusion that the petitioners claim for payment of a sum of Rs.44,09,95,353/- by the respondent TANGEDCO towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharges and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 02.05.2022 and the Covid Discount amount of Rs.3,44,71,165/- allegedly unilaterally deducted by TANGEDCO has no legal foundation and as such not sustainable under law. The entitlement of the petitioner to the other reliefs claimed in the petition very much depend upon the success of the petitioner in having the disputed notices set out in the petition set aside. As this Commission has rendered a finding that the impugned notices are valid under law, it is imperative that the petitioner is not entitled to any of the reliefs claimed in the petition. Accordingly all the issues are decided against the Petitioner. In the result, the Petition is dismissed. Both the parties are directed to bear their respective costs".

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.7 of 2023

M/s.Saravana Global Energy Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.E.Sathishkumar)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"In the result, the Commission pass the following order:-

- (i) The demand notice in Lr. No. SE/CEDC/CUD/DFC/AO/RCS/AS/A4/F.Audit/D 497-3/2016, dated 13-04-2016 issued by the 2nd Respondent along with the BOAB Audit Slip No. 3 dated 06-02-2016 and the consequent demands of the said Transmission and Distribution loss charges through the High Tension Bill (provisional) No.H4180118042311 dated 01-05-2023 issued by the 3rd Respondent shall stand set aside being arbitrary and not sustainable under law;
- (ii) The amounts remitted by the petitioner or collected from the petitioner in this regard shall be adjusted by the respondents in the forthcoming CC bills.
- (iii) Parties shall bear their respective costs. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Makara

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.No.1 of 2024

M/s.Kamachi Industries Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.M.A.Mudimannan)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024
DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"In fine, following is ordered.

- 1) The demand notice of the 2nd respondent videLetter No.No.SE/CEDC/N/DFC/AO/AAO/HT/F.Kamachi OA/D.1721/20 dated 05-05-2020,levying a sum of Rs.25,30,222/- being the Open Access Charges for the month of April 2020 is set aside.
- 2) Commission further directs that the 2nd respondent shall revise and reissue the invoice issued vide letterNo.SE/CEDC/N/DFC/AO/AAO/HT/F.KamachiOA/D.1721/20 dated 05-05-2020 by deducting the proportionate portion of the charges in terms of the petitioner's e-mail dated 07-05-2020

The petition is disposed of on the above terms. No order as to costs".

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.10 of 2024

Chief Financial Controller, TANTRANSCO Ltd.

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Sridhar, Advocate represented Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO and submitted that no comments have been received and prayed to pass order. Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to process the petition further.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.17 of 2024

CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Respondent (M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Mrs.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner has submitted that no further arguments are required to be put forth on behalf of the petitioner and prayed to reserve the order. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within 10 days. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Adv.Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 30.05.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments of the both side heard. Additional typedset filed by the petitioner. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within 10 days. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//