(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2024

M/s.ARS Energy Private Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) SE/Chennai North EDC, TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Jai Singh, Advocate represented the Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO and filed counter. The counsel for the petitioner prayed to post this matter in June 2024 along with already pending matters i.e., 15-Minute Block (T.A.No.7 to 12 of 2022 and D.R.P.No.3 & 4 of 2023). At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 04.06.2024 for rejoinder.

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	E	S	E	Ν	Т	:-

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2023

M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) SE/Palladam EDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Advocate represented Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate for the petitioner and sought two weeks time for filing rejoinder. Thiru.Jai Singh, Advocate represented the Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for rejoinder.

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.26 of 2023

Naveen Cotton Mill Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

- i) TANGEDCO
- ii) SE/ Tirunelveli EDC
- iii) SE/TANGEDCO

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Advocate represented Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate for the petitioner and sought two weeks time for filing rejoinder. Thiru.Jai Singh, Advocate represented the Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for rejoinder.

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.28 of 2023

M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD /TANGEDCO

ii) CFC / General

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Jai Singh, Advocate represented Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO. Written arguments filed by the petitioner. At the request of the respondents counsel, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for reply arguments.

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Ms.Kalaivani, Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Additional affidavit filed on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner's counsel submitted that as per the earlier directions of the Commission, datas on monthly basis have been furnished to the respondent and the same would be sufficient to decide the merit of the petitioner's claim. The counsel for TANGEDCO submitted that datas on weekly or fortnightly datas shall be furnished by the petitioner for verification. Respondent is directed to file a memo setting out the requirement of weekly or fortnightly datas. At the request of the petitioner's counsel, case adjourned to 07.05.2024.

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//