

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.39 of 2023

National Solar Energy Federation of India Petitioner (Adv.RahulBalaji)
---	---------------------------------------

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & others Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)
--------------------------	--

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the R-1 to R-3. The counsel for the petitioner, Thiru.Rahul Balaji referring to the observation and direction of the APTEL in A.No.197 of 2019 submitted that the Commission has to simply execute the orders of the Hon'ble APTEL as it is a positive direction. Queried by the Commission as to whether passing summary directions on the POSOCO report would not amount to denial of fair opportunity violating of principles of natural justice, the counsel for the petitioner emphatically denied the same and submitted that, if at all, TANGEDCO has any objections to the POSOCO report, the proper forum to raise the issues will be APTEL only and not the Commission. Queried further by the Commission, whether the POSOCO report which is in the nature of expert opinion being not a conclusive evidence under the Evidence Act can be taken on face value for issuing directions, the Counsel for the Petitioner referred to Section 121 of the Electricity Act, 2003 which deals with the powers of the APTEL to issue instructions or directions to any State Commission for performance of its statutory functions and went on to submit that the adjudication was already over before APTEL and there arises no need for further proceedings before the Commission. Asked pointedly, whether an opportunity need to be given to TANGEDCO to controvert the findings of POSOCO, given the possibilities of

error in the POSOCO report, the Counsel for the petitioner stuck to his position that the opposite side need not be given any opportunity. On the other hand, the counsel for the respondent, Thiru.Kumanan submitted that an appeal has been filed before the Supreme Court against the orders of the APTEL and even if the further proceedings are to be initiated in the absence of stay, still TANGEDCO should be atleast permitted to peruse the POSOCO report. After hearing both side, Commission hereby directs that the respondent shall file a memo as to the pendency of the proceedings before Supreme Court giving details of case number. The petition is admitted. Registry is directed to serve copy on the both side. Call on 02-01-2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.40 of 2023

Chief Financial Controller/ Revenue,
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Brief arguments heard. Petition admitted. Order reserved.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.2 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.10 of 2022

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)
Versus	
CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondent (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Ms.Kalaivani, Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondents. Brief arguments heard from the petitioner. I.A. is allowed. Both parties are permitted to submit their written arguments. The case is adjourned to 07.12.2023 for further hearing.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.20 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)
	Versus
M/s.Atithya Clothing Company Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Purushothaman, Junior to Thiru S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent and sought time. Counter filed. Petitioner to be served with a copy of counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 07-12-2023 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.21 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)
Versus	
M/s.KOG –KTV Food Products (India) Pvt Ltd. Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Purushothaman, Junior to Thiru S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent and sought time. Counter filed. Petitioner to be served with a copy of counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 07-12-2023 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(Legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)
---	--

Versus

M/s.KKSK Leather Processors Pvt. Ltd. Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)
---------------------------------------	--

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing rejoinder. Thiru.Purushothaman, Junior to Thiru S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 07-12-2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.19 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Ltd.

..... Respondent
(M/s.Shree Law Services)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023

DAILY ORDER

Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing rejoinder. Ms.Sameetha, Advocate from M/s.Shree Law Services appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 07-12-2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.15 of 2023

M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Ltd.

..... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate who appeared for the petitioner submitted that rejoinder is not necessary and hence, prayed to post this matter for arguments. Tvl.N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 12.12.2023 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.33 of 2023

CE/PPP, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Brief arguments heard. Thiru.Uma Shankar, Executive Engineer from the Office of the Chief Engineer (PPP) of TANGEDCO submitted that Board Note has been sent to Government for approval in view of the higher quantum when Commission queried whether the petitioner wants to buy the excess quantum, he replied in the affirmative. Commission directed TANGEDCO to file revised requirement details before the next hearing. The case is adjourned to 05.12.2023 for further hearing.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.17 of 2023

CFC/ Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)
--	--

Versus

M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt. Ltd. Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)
--------------------------------	--

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023

DAILY ORDER

Tvl.N.Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.Purushothaman, Junior to Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent and sought time for arguments. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 07.12.2023 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.22 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated : 09.11.2023
DAILY ORDER

Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for respondents. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent and submitted that the details in the rejoinder filed by TANGEDCO are not in full shape and prayed the Commission to direct TANGEDCO to provide relevant details in full shape so as to enable him to make his submissions effectively. Commission directed TANGEDCO to provide relevant details in regard to generating station, service connection number, share holdings, consumption details and all other relevant details to the petitioner. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 07.12.2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission