(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.no.12 of 2022

The Tata Power Co. Ltd. Petitioner (SKV Law Offices)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondnets
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced

"5.5. In fine, the following directions are given:

- a) TANGEDCO is directed to make payments to the petitioner at the full APPC rate without applying any cap whenever the APPC rate does not breach the preferential tariff determined by the Commission for the control period in which the RE plant was commissioned.
- b) Whenever the APPC rate breaches the preferential tariff determined by the Commission, the TANGEDCO is directed to make payments to the petitioner at the 75% of the preferential tariff fixed by the Commission for the control period in which the RE plant was commissioned.
- c) For the purpose of determination of the breach of preferential tariff by APPC, the comparison of APPC shall be done only with the preferential tariff applicable to a category of generators prevailing in the control period in which the RE plant was commissioned and no comparison of APPC shall be done with the SECI price or any other market price obtained in the competitive bidding.

d) The respondent is directed to verify the claim of Rs. 53.72 crores made by the petitioner in the present petition with reference to the finding and direction made herein and make payment accordingly.

With these directions, the petition is disposed of. The parties shall bear their respective

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal)

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.28 of 2023

M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Ltd.

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD /TANGEDCO

ii) CFC / General

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced

- "4.15. In fine, the following directions are given:
- e) TANGEDCO is directed to make payments to the petitioner at the full APPC rate without applying any cap whenever the APPC rate does not breach the preferential tariff determined by the Commission for the control period in which the RE plant was commissioned.
- f) Whenever the APPC rate breaches the preferential tariff determined by the Commission, the TANGEDCO is directed to make payments to the petitioner at the 75% of the preferential tariff fixed by the Commission for the control period in which the RE plant was commissioned.
- g) Based on the records available with the Commission, the value of the dispute is quantified at an ad hoc claim of Rs. 44,94,00,000/- which is subject to final confirmation by both side. The respondent is directed to pay the ad hoc sum of Rs.44,94,00,000/-to the petitioner within one month from the date of this order.

h) The present order is subject to payment of ad hoc court fee Rs.44,94,000/- which is subject to confirmation by the both side. The parties shall work out the exact claim and difference, if any, due to the petitioner shall be paid by the respondent without delay. Court fee due on the difference amount so received shall be paid by the petitioner to the Commission within a week from the date of receipt of payment of the difference amount. For this purpose a memo shall be filed within 15 days from the date of this order. It is made clear that this order shall not take effect until such time the ad hoc court fee of Rs.44,94,000/- is paid by the petitioner to the Commission.

With these directions, the petition is disposed of. The parties shall bear their respective cost.

(Sd......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.A.No.3 of 2024

(i) M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited

(ii) M/s.Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Ltd. Petitioners

Versus

TANGEDCORespondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate informed that he has filed vakalath only for the 2nd petitioner Tamil Nadu Newsprint Limited (TNPL) and there is no instruction from the 1st petitioner M/s.Sakthi Sugars and hence notice may be sent to the 1st petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Commission directs the Registry to send notice to the 1st petitioner. The case is adjourned to 20.08.2024.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.20 of 2024

Chief Financial Controller TANTRANSCO

..... Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANTRANSCO appeared and submitted that the petition has been webhosted and sought two weeks time for filing affidavit. The case is adjourned to 25.07.2024 for filing affidavit and for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limted Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 09.07.2024

DAILY ORDER

Mr.Rajesh Jha, Advocates from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought two weeks time for additional counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for filing reply by the respondent as a last chance.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	Р	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	Т	:-
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.Fortune Integrated Assests Finance Ltd. (Fromerly Wind Construction Ltd.)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and submitted that dues have been settled in full and the same may be recorded but sought direction to TANGEDCO to open L.C. Memo filed by TANGEDCO. The case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for reporting compliance on opening of L.C.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No. 15 of 2024

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and submitted that TANGEDCO is ready to make payment after getting approval from the CMD/TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner prayed for directing TANGEDCO to release the dues to the petitioner immediately. TANGEDCO is directed to release the dues to the petitioner immediately. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for reporting compliance as a last chance.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	ĪΤ	:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No. 14 of 2024

Thiru.N.Muthukumaar Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024
DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner submitted on checking the calculation memo filed by both side, there is a discrepancy due to different method adopted by TANGEDCO. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for filing affidavit and for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.No.1 of 2022 to T.A.No.5 of 2022

Versus

M/s.Sundaram Clyton Ltd. & 4 anothers

..... Petitioners

(M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates)

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC for – R1 & R2

Adv.Mahasweta for – R3)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

The Advocate from M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates appeared for the petitioner and filed rejoinder. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Ms.Rupika Srinivasan, Advocate appeared for M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Pvt. Limited (R-3) and sought time for filing reply to the rejoinder. At the request of the Respondent (R-3), the case is adjourned to 25.07.2024 for filing reply by the Respondent (R-3).

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRE	S	E١	٧	Γ:-
-----	---	----	---	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2021

M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Anirudh Krishnan)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru. M.S.Krishnan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.7 of 2022

M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Anirudh Krishnan)

Versus

i) Principal Secretary to Govt. Energy Department GoTN

ii) CMD/ TANGEDCO

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.M.S.Krishnan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and prayed to post this matter on 11.07.2024. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 11.07.2024 for arguments of the respondents.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	IT	·:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.2 of 2023

NLC India Ltd. Petitioner

(HSB Advocates)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Ganesh, Advocate from M/s.HSB Advocates appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2023

M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) SE/Palladam EDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Rejoinder filed by the petitioner. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.26 of 2023

Naveen Cotton Mill Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

- i) TANGEDCO
- ii) SE/ Tirunelveli EDC
- iii) SE/TANGEDCO

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Rejoinder filed by the petitioner. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	Γ:-
----------------	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.6 of 2024

M/s.Shri Harikrishna Cotton Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE / NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P. Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Rejoinder filed by the petitioner. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.07.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

I	<u> </u>	₹	E	<u>S</u>	E	N	ΙT	•	_
---	----------	---	---	----------	---	---	----	---	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.A.No.1 of 2024

M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate for the petitioner. Thiru.Dinesh, Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 11.07.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2023

M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Dinesh, Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for arguments. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 11.07.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	ΕN	IT:-
----	----	----	------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023

M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Dinesh, Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for arguments. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 11.07.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Member Thiru.B.Mohan Member Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.12 of 2023

M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &

TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents. Arguments of the both side heard. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within one week. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.13 of 2023

M/s.NVR Energy Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents. Arguments of the both side heard. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within one week. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024

CE/GO, TANTRANSCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Arguments of both side on the I.A. heard. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	EN	IT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2024

M/s.ARS Energy Private Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) SE/Chennai North EDC, TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner has sought time for filing written submission. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for filing written submission.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.Nos. 7 of 2022 to T.A.No.12 of 2022

M/s.Kamachi Industries Ltd & Ors.

..... Petitioners
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment for arguments. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal) D.R.P.No.3 of 2023 Petitioner

M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 09.07.2024 **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Αt the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Chairman Member(legal) Member

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.4 of 2023

Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.08.2024 for arguments

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.20 of 2023

M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.MSA Partners)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 09.07.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Harsha Rao, Advocate from M/s.MSA Partners appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the both side heard. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within one week. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//