

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.1 of 2021

Natesan Precision Components Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

“5.8. TANGEDCO is directed to verify the claim of the petitioner and make payment towards interest as per our findings in para 5.6. above to the petitioner within one month from the receipt of this order, after deducting payments, if any already made in line with the applicable Tariff Orders of the Commission. In the circumstance, there will be no order as to costs.

This D.R.P. is finally disposed of with the above direction”.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.2 of 2021

Tamil Nadu Gears and Shaft Corpn. Limited

**..... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

**..... Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

“5.7. In the result, TANGEDCO is directed to verify the claim made by the petitioner again and make payment towards interest as per our findings in para 5.6 above to the petitioner within 30 days from the receipt of this order after deducting payments, if any already made in line with the applicable Tariff Orders. In the circumstance, there will be no order as to costs.

This D.R.P. is finally disposed of with the above direction”.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.3 of 2021

Natesan Synchrocones Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

“5.10. In the result, TANGEDCO is directed verify the claim made by the petitioner and make payment towards interest as per our findings in para 5.9 above to the petitioner within one month from the receipt of this order as per applicable Tariff Orders after deducting payments, if any already made. In the circumstances, there will be no order as to costs.

This D.R.P. is finally disposed of with the above direction”.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2021

CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

**.... Petitioner
(Adv. M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

“4.9. The petitioner before signing the PSA is directed to hold negotiations with SECI to reduce the trading margin of Rs.0.07 per kWh and report the result of the negotiation. However, since the quantum approval has been issued and the price is competitive, market aligned due to the payment securities issued by SECI, the petitioner may sign the PSA in accordance to the outcome of the negotiation and furnish a copy to this Commission”.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2021

M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv. Anirudh Krishnan)**

Versus

- i) Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept.,**
- ii) CMD/TANGEDCO & ors.**

**...Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Shiva Krishnamurthy, Junior to Thiru.Anirudh Krishnan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and filed counter. The counsel for the petitioner has sought two weeks time for filing rejoinder. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for filing rejoinder.

**(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman**

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.6 of 2021

M/s.National Energy Trading Services Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv. Vinod Kumar)**

Versus

- i) Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept.,**
- ii) CMD/TANGEDCO & ors.**

**...Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner has filed his rejoinder and prayed to fix the date for arguments. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for arguments.

**(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman**

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

M.P.No.19 of 2021

M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)**

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO

**...Respondent
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Saravana Kumar, Junior to Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted that the change of vakalath is yet to be filed in the place of Thiru.T.Chezhiyan, Advocate and sought 2 weeks time for filing rejoinder. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 28.09.2021 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

M.P.No.27 of 2021

CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought three weeks time for filing affidavit as test report has not been received. The case is adjourned to 05.10.2021 for filing affidavit.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.1 of 2015

Balkrishna Wind Energy

**.... Petitioner
(Adv. Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO

**...Respondent
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted that payment can be released by getting statement directly from the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and submitted that TANGEDCO needs only a claim memo for making payment. The counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the orders may be passed based on the submission of the counsel for the respondent. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

M.P. No.13 of 2021

Thiru.P.Muthusamy

**..... Petitioner
(Party-in-Person)**

Versus

- i) SE/CEDC/South-II, TANGEDCO**
- ii) EE/O&M/Adyar, TANGEDCO**

**.... Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.P.Muthusamy, Party-in-Person appeared. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and submitted that the matter is pending before APTEL and rejoinder is to be filed on 07th October 2021. The petitioner informed that there is no stay and order of the Commission can be implemented and also sought action on the concerned officers for filing appeal before APTEL. Brief arguments heard from both side. Commission directed TANGEDCO to effect the service connection to the petitioner's premises before next week failing which fine / penalty amounting to Rs.1.00 lakh would be imposed. The case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for reporting compliance.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.13 of 2020

M/s.Rajaguru Spinning MillsPrivate Limited

**..... Petitioner
(Adv. S.Venkatesan)**

Versus

- (1) CMD/TANGEDCO
(2) SE/Dindigul, TANGEDCO

**..... Respondents
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.Venkatesan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted that inspite of agreeing to 6% interest, the dues have not been settled in full and Rs.8.00 crores is due. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought 15 days time for compliance as the matter has been referred to REC. The counsel for the petitioner took objection to the same. Orders reserved. In the meantime, if compliance is not made within 15 days and reported before the date of order, the difference in interest for the delayed period shall be recovered from concerned officers of the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.14 of 2020

M/s.Rajaguru Spinning Mills Private Limited

**..... Petitioner
(Adv. S.Venkatesan)**

Versus

(1) CMD/TANGEDCO

(2) SE, Dindigul

**..... Respondents
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.Venkatesan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted that inspite of agreeing to 6% interest, the dues have not been settled in full and Rs.8.00 crores is due. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought 15 days time for compliance as the matter has been referred to REC. The counsel for the petitioner took objection to the same. Orders reserved. In the meantime, if compliance is not made within 15 days and reported before the date of order, the difference in interest for the delayed period shall be recovered from concerned officers of the respondent.

**(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman**

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.15 of 2020

M/s.Rajaguru Spinning Mills Private Limited

**..... Petitioner
(Adv. S.Venkatesan)**

Versus

- (1) CMD/TANGEDCO
(2) SE/Dindigul, TANGEDCO

**..... Respondents
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.Venkatesan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted that inspite of agreeing to 6% interest, the dues have not been settled in full and Rs.8.00 crores is due. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought 15 days time for compliance as the matter has been referred to REC. The counsel for the petitioner took objection to the same. Orders reserved. In the meantime, if compliance is not made within 15 days and reported before the date of order, the difference in interest for the delayed period shall be recovered from concerned officers of the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.58 of 2014

The Ramco Cements Ltd.

**..... Petitioner
(Adv. Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

(1) TANGEDCO and others

**..... Respondents
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for arguments. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for arguments.

**(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman**

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.2 of 2018

M/s.The Ramco Cements Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

**.... Respondents
(Adv. M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard from both side. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within 2 weeks. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman**

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.3 of 2018

M/s.The Ramco Cements Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

**.... Respondents
(Adv. M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07.09.2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard from both side. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within 2 weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.11 of 2016

M/s.Orchid Pharma Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)**

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

**.... Respondents
(Adv. M.Gopinathan)**

Hearing held on 07.09.2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Saravana Kumar, Junior to Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought 2 weeks time for arguments. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 28.09.2021 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.20 of 2020

Intersnack Cashew India Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner
(Thiru Vinod Kumar
Advocate for Petitioner)

Versus

CMD/TANGEDCO

..... Respondent
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard from both side. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties within 2 weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

Interlocutory Application (I.A.) filed
In SR.No.50 of 2021 dt.30.3.2021

M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited

.... Petitioner

(Adv. Anirudh Krishnan)

Versus

- i) Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept.,
- ii) CMD/TANGEDCO & ors.

...Respondent

**(Thiru. M.Gopinathan,
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Shiva Krishnamurthy, Junior to Thiru.Anirudh Krishnan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought two weeks time for arguments. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for arguments on the maintainability of the petition.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2020

- i) M/s.Walwhan Renewable Energy Limited
ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Limited

**.... Petitioner
(SKV Law Offices)**

Versus

- i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

**.. Respondents
(Adv.M.Gopinathan &
Adv.V.Anil Kumar)**

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Venkatesh, Advocate from M/s.SKV Law Offices appeared for the petitioners. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO (R-1). Thiru.V.Anil Kumar, Advocate appeared for SLDC (R-2) and TANTRANSCO (R-3) and sought time until the first week of October 2021 for filing appeal before Supreme Court. Brief arguments heard from the petitioner. Commission directed both parties to argue the I.A. together with the main petition. As agreed by both sides, the case is adjourned to 05.10.2021 for arguments on the main petition.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2016

M/s.Lanco Tanjore Power Co. Limited

**.... Petitioner
(Adv.Vinod Kumar)**

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

**.... Respondents
(Adv. Abdul Saleem)**

Hearing held on 07.09.2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner has prayed to post this matter to next week as he has not gone through the additional affidavit filed by the respondent. Respondent side ready for arguments. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.09.2021 for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

D.R.P.No.22 of 2020

SSMT Power Private Limited

**..... Petitioner
(Adv. Rahul Balaji)**

Versus

- (1) CMD/ TANGEDCO
- (2) CFC/Revenue
- (3) CE/NCES
- (4) SE/OA & Co-ordination, SLDC

**..... Respondents
(Thiru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO)**

Hearing dated : 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments of the petitioner heard. The Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO has sought two weeks time for arguments as the Senior Advocate, Thiru.N.C.Ramesh would be appearing in this matter. Meanwhile, the counsel for the petitioner has prayed the Commission not to allow further adjournment to the respondent side. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru M.Chandrasekar

.... Chairman

and

Thiru. K.Venkatasamy

.... Member (Legal)

M.P.No.20 of 2021

Indian Wind Power Association

.... Petitioner
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO

...Respondent
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

Hearing held on 07-09-2021

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and submitted that the Senior Advocate would be appearing in this matter and sought time. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.09.2021 for arguments as a last chance.

(Sd.....)
(K. Venkatasamy)
Member (Legal)

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission