

No. 27/2022 dated: 28-03-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 29-03-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.11 of 2021	M/s.Hindustan Zinc Ltd Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tiruppur EDC iv) SE/Udumalpet EDC v) SE/Palladam EDC	M/s.Link Legal Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
2	D.R.P.No.9 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General	Adv.Veda Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For admission.
3	M.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Veda Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point. For counter.

4	M.P.No.7 of 2022	<p>Thiru.M.Xavier, Tuticorin</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) Director / Distribution (iv) CE/Distn., Tirunelveli (v) SE/Tuticorin EDC</p>	<p>Represented by Tr.S.Neelakanta Pillai</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar</p>	<p>Direct TANGEDCO to supply LT three phase power supply 24 hrs in a day on regular basis for his industry and to issue suitable and appropriate direction to the licensee to stop such illegal restriction of power supply with immediate effect and others. For filing counter by TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO.</p>
5	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	<p>To approve adoption of tariff at Rs.4.82 per unit for 325 MW RTC power and Rs.4.84 per unit for 150 MW RTC power at TANTRANSCO periphery for the period from 1.3.2022 to 31.3.2022 under Tender No.17 of 2021 and also for approval for the procurement of 353.4 MU for the period from 1.3.2022 to 31.3.2022. For further hearing.</p>
6	I.A.No.1 of 2022 & M.P.No.48 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	<p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p> <p>Adv.Ashwin Ramanathan on behalf of M/s.Manikaran Power Limited and M/s.Sai Wardha Power Generation Pvt. Limited as Intervenors.</p>	<p>To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents in line with Pilot Scheme-II for purchase of 1500 MW RTC power for a period of 5 years appointing PTC India Limited as an Aggregator.</p> <p>I.A. filed by the petitioner for seeking urgent hearing on the main petition.</p>

7	M.P.No.5 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.0.66 per unit payable by all open access consumers on scheduled quantum of power purchase through open access for the period from 01.04.2022 to 30.09.2022. For filing affidavit and for further hearing.
8	D.R.P.No.8 of 2022	M/s.Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking interim relief for directing TANGEDCO to make immediate payment of Rs.13.56 crores to the petitioner from out of Rs.17.41 crores and also pay the entire/remaining amount towards energy supplied to the TANGEDCO for the period from July to October 2021. For counter and for compliance report.
9	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
10	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

11	D.R.P.No.44 of 2014	Mirra and Mirra Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to effect adjustment of the WEG from the petitioner's captive windmills operating under the REC scheme first and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills. For reporting status of the case pending before the Supreme Court.
12	D.R.P.No.55 of 2014	SRF Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to first effect adjustment of WEG under REC scheme and thereafter adjust with banking facility. For reporting status of the case pending before the Supreme Court.
13	D.R.P.No.68 of 2014	Dattatreya Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai EDC 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct that TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.47 and thereafter adjust the energy generated. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
14	D.R.P.No.69 of 2014	Sundaram Textiles Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai/North 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.203 and thereafter adjust the energy generated. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

15	D.R.P.No.9 of 2016	Vijayalakshmi Marketing Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Edumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the impugned notices dated 4-8-2014 and 2-12-2014 issued by the 1 st and 2 nd respondents and direct them to give effect to the EWA. For filing consolidated typedset and arguments.
16	M.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC / TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification, as to whether additional surcharge on wheeling charges are applicable to the OA Consumers having drawal voltage over and above 66 kV, who source electricity from the Third Party Power Generators having injecting voltage over and above 66 kV or not. For arguments.
17	M.P.No.28 of 2021	Thiru.B.Balamurali Pollachi Versus (i) SE/Udumalpet EDC (ii) AEE/O&M, Udumalpet EDC, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the Respondent, TANGEDCO under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for contravening the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.7.2017 and non-compliance of the directions issued by this Hon'ble Commission in its order dated 2.3.2021 in M.P.No.4 of 2021. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.37 of 2021	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii)SE/P&C/TANTRANSC O/Mdu (iv) SE/NCES/Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To declare that the entire 72 MW solar power plant stood commissioned and entitled to the Tariff fixed under "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power" in Order No.4 of 2014 and set aside the CE/NCES communication's dated 30.9.2016 to segregate the 72 MW solar power plant erected as 25 MW and 47 MW separately with separate energy meters and be paid at different tariff rates as illegal. For arguments.

19	R.P.No.4 of 2021 in R.A.No.3 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Ind-Barath Power Gencom Limited (ii) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.	Adv.M.Gopinathan M/s.Shree Law Services for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.02.2021 in the matter of fixing a tariff at the ceiling of Rs.3.79 per unit for the month of June 2011 and Rs.3.81 per unit for the months of July to September 2011. For arguments.
20	M.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to incorporate the above changes. For arguments.
<u>Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power</u>				
21	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For hearing.
22	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For hearing.

23	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For hearing.
24	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For hearing.
25	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For hearing.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission