

No.03/2022 dated: 24-01-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 25-01-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.2 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
2	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing. For counter.
3	D.R.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the Change in law events in terms of Article 10 of the PPA dated 12.12.2013 and to determine the correct rate of CVD and other consequential claims for purposes of Change in Law and confirm the correctness and entitlement to the sum of Rs.8,25,91,046/- being the differential working after applying the correct rate towards CVD, Cess and Carrying cost attributable to Change in Law under the PPA. For counter.
4	M.P.No.42 of 2021	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) AAA Plus Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To exercise its regulatory powers and clarify that no wheeling charges are payable where a captive consumer is being supplied electricity through a dedicated transmission line and is situated within the same premises as the generating plant. For counter.

5	M.P.No.47 of 2021	M/s.T.R.K.Textile India Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to revise the EWA by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the EWA and to execute a fresh EWA and also to accept the invoices of the petitioner whenever raised for the encashment of the unutilised Solar Energy available at the account of the petitioner, for its 75% of the value and to effect the payment. For counter.
6	R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.ITC Limited	AGP. Richardson Wilson M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates	To condone the delay of 84 days in filing the Review Petition against the order dated 17.8.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and to review the order on the ground that the impugned order is against the Electricity Rules, 2005 and Judgment of APTEL in Appeal No.33 of 2012. For filing counter on the maintainability and merits.
7	D.R.P.No.32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 nd respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For arguments.
8	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For further arguments of the petitioner.
9	D.R.P.No.48 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 3 rd respondent letter dated 11-2-2014 and demand of Rs.15.28 lakhs. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.2 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) SE, Perambalur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.3,89,55,341/ towards excess energy pumped and surcharge of Rs.1,68,06,240/-. For arguments on the written submissions filed by TANGEDCO.

11	D.R.P.No.3 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) SE, Perambalur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.1,18,86,792/- towards excess energy pumped and surcharge of Rs.21,79,704/-. For arguments on the written submissions filed by TANGEDCO.
12	M.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC / TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification, as to whether additional surcharge on wheeling charges are applicable to the OA Consumers having drawal voltage over and above 66 kV, who source electricity from the Third Party Power Generators having injecting voltage over and above 66 kV or not. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.8 of 2021	M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct TANGEDCO to modify the JMR statements for the period October 2019 till April 2021 and to refund of Rs.22,96,755/- collected from the petitioner by adopting such methodology including payments against the supplementary bills. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.9 of 2021	M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct TANGEDCO to modify the JMR statements for the period October 2019 till April 2021 and to refund of Rs.27,08,933/- collected from the petitioner by adopting such methodology including payments against the supplementary bills. For arguments.

15	D.R.P.No.11 of 2021	M/s.Hindustan Zinc Ltd Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tiruppur EDC iv) SE/Udumalpet EDC v) SE/Palladam EDC	M/s.Link Legal Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.10,03,05,054/- (upto June 2020) towards Outstanding Late Payment Interest towards delayed payment and unpaid energy bills amounting to Rs.21,71,75,405/- and interest towards late payment till the date of actual realisation. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments.
<u>Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power</u>				
17	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. <i>For payment of court fee by the petitioner and filing of counter affidavit by the respondents and also filing of entire documents/vakalath by both parties in the above writ petition and for hearing.</i>
18	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.

19	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
20	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
21	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
<u>Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units</u>				
22	T.A.No.6 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Association Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) Director /operations (iv) SE/Commercial Divn/TANTRANSCO (v) Director/Distribution	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.15433 of 2020 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.
23	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.

24	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.
25	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.
26	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.
27	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.
28	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units.

<u>Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges</u>				
29	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
30	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
31	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
32	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.26554 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
33	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
34	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

35	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
36	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
37	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
38	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
39	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
40	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

41	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
42	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
43	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
44	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
45	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Sesa Sterlite Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
46	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
47	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

48	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
49	T.A.No.33 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30433 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
50	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
51	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.V.Bharathidasan Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
52	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.V.Bharathidasan Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
53	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Madras Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Kallakurichi EDC (v) Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

54	T.A.No.38 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33026 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
55	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
56	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
57	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
58	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
59	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
60	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

61	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
62	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
63	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
64	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges.
65	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
66	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

67	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
68	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
69	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
70	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
71	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.AL. Ganthimathi Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
72	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
73	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

74	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
75	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
76	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
77	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission