

No.17/2023 dated: 17-03-2023

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 21-03-2023 (Physical Hearing)**

**Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.18 of 2022	Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South	Adv.K.Sasindran  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal. For counter.
2	M.P.No.25 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KKSK Leather Processors Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For counter.
3	M.P.No.26 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.A.V.R.N. Hotels Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.M.Santhanaraman	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For counter.
4	M.P.No.27 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ashok Granites Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-17 and 2017-2018. For counter.
5	M.P.No.28 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sri Vengadeshwara Spinners	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. For counter.

6	M.P.No.29 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.The KTM Jewellery Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017.  For counter.
7	M.P.No.30 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015.  For counter.
8	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For counter.
9	D.R.P.No.9 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General	Adv.Veda  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For counter.

10	M.P.No.6 of 2022	<p>M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO</p> <p>(ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Veda</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
11	D.R.P.No.11 of 2022	<p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO</p> <p>(ii) Director/Finance</p> <p>(iii) SE/Theni EDC</p> <p>(iv) SE/Virudhunagar EDC</p> <p>(v) SE/Tuticorin EDC</p> <p>(vi) SE/Chennai North EDC</p> <p>(vii) Theni Gurukrishna Textile Mills Pvt. Ltd</p> <p>(viii) Sri Jaya Jothi &amp; Co. Ltd</p> <p>(ix) Kayaar Exports Pvt. Ltd</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-6</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-7 to R-9</p>	<p>To set aside the claim pertaining to audit shortfall contained in letter dated 9.6.2021 issued by the 6th Respondent deducting an amount of Rs.6,39,95,942/- from the Compensation Charges payable to the petitioner, allegedly for Audit shortfall for not having STOA for the period from 1.6.2016 to 31.7.2016.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
12	M.P.No.16 of 2022	<p>Aditanar Educational Institution</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO</p> <p>(ii) CFC/Revenue</p> <p>(iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rajesh Vivekananthan</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To set aside the rejection order of the respondents and direct them to revise the excess levy for the period from 2010 to 2013 in tariff charging the sub-usage of electricity for construction within the HTSC of the petitioner and direct the respondents to refund a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- being the collection of excess amount along with interest @ 18% in accordance with law. For rejoinder.</p>

13	M.P.No.35 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To approve and ratify the dispatch of power made from Central Generating Stations viz., NLC & NTPC and approve the power procurement arrangements for the period of five years from the date of commencement of supply for a quantum totalling to 591.67 MW as per the rates fixed by CERC from time to time. For further hearing.
14	P.R.C.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Private Limited	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For reporting compliance on the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2023 directing the Registry to register the petition preferred by the petitioner as "Dispute Resolution Petition (DRP) subject to the condition that the petitioner shall pay the deficit court fee.
15	P.R.C.No.2 of 2022	M/s.NVR Energy Private Limited	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For reporting compliance on the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2023 directing the Registry to register the petition preferred by the petitioner as "Dispute Resolution Petition (DRP) subject to the condition that the petitioner shall pay the deficit court fee.

16	D.R.P.No.1 of 2023	M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of the banked energy available in the Group Captive Generator's account, maintained in the generation end EDC and to treat the unutilised banked energy for encashment at 75% of the relevant tariff rate as per the Wind Tariff Orders issued by the Commission. For arguments.
----	--------------------	---	--	---

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission