

No.16/2023 dated: 15-03-2023

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 16-03-2023 (Physical Hearing)**

**Time : 02-30 PM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.7 of 2023	M/s.Blue Nature Industries  Versus  (i) CMD / TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	M/s.KNS Law Chambers  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To direct the respondents to refund a sum of Rs.66,74,910/- collected vide his impugned demand notice dt.20.11.2021 for effecting HT new domestic Phase service connections for the petitioner's industry which is in contravention of Regulations 3(1)(b) of the Supply Code, Regulation 29(11) of the Distribution Code and Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.No.45 of 2021 and T.A.No.7 of 2021.  For admission.
2	M.P.No.13 of 2022	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited  Versus  (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) Director (Finance) (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (v) SE/Theni EDC	M/s.Rugan & Arya  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 19.1.2022 in D.R.P.No.7 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum of Rs.28,07 crores along with interest @ 12% p.a.  For reporting compliance.

3	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also to direct payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments.  For arguments of the respondents.
4	R.P.No.1 of 2022	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Tmt.Tara Murali (ii) Thiru.V.Gunalan (iii) Tmt.S.Shalini (iv) Tmt.J.Shobha Lalith (v) Tmt.G.Kumari Selva (vi) Thiru.K.Sakthivel (vii) Thiru.Manivasagan	Adv.Richardson Wilson  Adv.Arun Anbumani for R-1, Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 to R-6 & Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-7	To review the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.Nos.33 to 36 of 2021, T.A.No.7 of 2021 & M.P.No.45 of 2021 by considering the cost of DT erected within the consumer premises with respect to extension of LT service connections and also the actual cost of extension with respect to extension of HT service connections.  For arguments.
5	R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) Director (Finance)	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Review the order dated 12.4.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 for inter alia, inadvertently missing to record its finding on prayer (c ) of the petition in terms of grounds stated from para-22 and allow the petition filed by the petitioner.  For arguments.
<b>Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For arguments</b>				
6	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd Versus TANGEDCO Ltd.	Thiru.R.Shanmuggam, Insolvency Professional  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013.  For arguments.

7	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
8	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
9	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
10	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
11	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
12	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
13	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments

14	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenuen & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
15	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
16	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited  Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
17	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
18	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
19	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
20	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments

21	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
22	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
23	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
24	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
25	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
26	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
27	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments

28	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
29	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
30	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
31	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
32	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
33	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Shree Law Services  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
34	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments

35	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
36	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
37	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
38	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments
39	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments.
40	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Rep. by its Finance Director  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For arguments

41	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
42	T.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6560 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
43	T.A.No.2 of 2023	M/s.Servalakshmi Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.G.Vasudevan  Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.(MD)No.18712 of 2013 and M.P.(MD) Nos.1 to 3 of 2013 trd. by the Madurai Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission