

No.06/2023 dated: 10-02-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 14-02-2023 (Physical Hearing)****Time : 02-30 PM**

| Sl. No | Case No. | Name of the Parties | Counsel | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | R.P.No.3 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.9 of 2020 | CE/NCES, TANGEDCO Versus Tirunelveli Solar Project Pvt. Limited | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji | Review the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2020 on various grounds as stated in the petition. For admission. |
| 2 | M.P.No.4 of 2023 | M/s.Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) CFC/Revenue (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) SE/Sivaganga EDC (vi) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (vii) SE/Kanyakumari EDC | Adv.S.Yasar Arafath Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To take necessary action against respondent TANGEDCO under section 142 & 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for deliberate non-compliance of TNERC order dated 02.02.2021 in D.R.P.No.1 of 2020. For admission. |
| 3 | M.P.No.16 of 2022 | Aditanar Educational Institution Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC | Adv.Rajesh Vivekananthan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To set aside the rejection order of the respondents and direct them to revise the excess levy for the period from 2010 to 2013 in tariff charging the sub-usage of electricity for construction within the HTSC of the petitioner and direct the respondents to refund a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- being the collection of excess amount along with interest @ 18% in accordance with law. For filing counter on maintainability. |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 4 | M.P.No.18 of 2022 | <p>Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South</p> | <p>Adv.K.Sasindran</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> | <p>To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal.</p> <p>For filing counter on maintainability.</p> |
| 5 | <p>R.P.No.2 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013</p> | <p>M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO</p> | <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> | <p>To review the order dated 14.9.2021 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s.B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18.</p> <p>For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.</p> |
| 6 | D.R.P.No.7 of 2022 | <p>M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO</p> | <p>Adv.Anirudh Krishnan</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> | <p>To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For counter.</p> |

| | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 7 | R.P.No.5 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 | M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) Director (Finance) | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Review the order dated 12.4.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 for inter alia, inadvertently missing to record its finding on prayer (c) of the petition in terms of grounds stated from para-22 and allow the petition filed by the petitioner. For counter. |
| 8 | R.P.No.6 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022 | M/s.Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Association Versus CMD / TANGEDCO | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Review the order of the Commission in T.P.No.1 of 2022 in the matter of determination of wheeling charges payable by open access. For counter. |
| 9 | M.P.No.25 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KKSK Leather Processors Pvt. Limited | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016- 2017. For counter. |
| 10 | M.P.No.26 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.A.V.R.N. Hotels Pvt. Ltd | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016- 2017. For counter. |
| 11 | M.P.No.27 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ashok Granites Ltd | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015- 2016, 2016-17 and 2017- 2018. For counter. |
| 12 | M.P.No.28 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sri Vengadeshwara Spinners | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2018- 2019 and 2019-2020. For counter. |
| 13 | M.P.No.29 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.The KTM Jewellery Ltd | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016- 2017. For counter. |

| | | | | |
|----|----------------------|--|---|---|
| 14 | M.P.No.30 of 2022 | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015. For counter. |
| 15 | D.R.P.No.18 of 2021 | Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing. For rejoinder. |
| 16 | D.R.P.No.9 of 2022 | M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General | Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For reporting status. |
| 17 | P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2023 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To ratify the procurement of 200 MW RTC power for the period from 10.10.2022 to 31.10.2022 from Intra-State generators and 640 MW RTC power for the period from 15.02.2023 to 20.05.2023 from Inter-State generators under short term tenders and adoption of tariff as per section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For further hearing. |
| 18 | P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2022 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Ratification approval for adoption of tariff at the range of Rs.9.46/KWhr for procurement of RTC power upto 240 MW at Ex-bus for the period of one month from 11.04.2022 under power |

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | <p>offered by M/s.Ratnagiri Gas and Power Pvt. Limited.</p> <p>For further hearing.</p> |
| 19 | P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2022 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | <p>Seeking ratification approval for adoption of tariff for procurement of RTC power upto 240 MW under short term tender for the period from 14.03.2022 to 20.05.2022 floated by TANGEDCO.</p> <p>For further hearing.</p> |
| 20 | P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2022 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | <p>Seeking ratification approval for adoption of tariff for procurement of RTC power upto 600 MW under short term tender for the period from 04.04.2022 to 20.05.2022 floated by TANGEDCO.</p> <p>For further hearing.</p> |
| 21 | P.P.A.P.No.8 of 2022 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | <p>Seeking ratification approval for adoption of tariff for procurement of RTC power upto 225 MW under short term tender for the period from 11.04.2022 to 20.05.2022 from Intra-State generators floated by TANGEDCO.</p> <p>For further hearing.</p> |
| 22 | R.P.No.7 of 2022 in T.O.No.7 of 2022 | CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | <p>To review the Tariff Order No.7 of 2022 dated 9.9.2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022 of the Commission in the matter of Final True-up of FY 2016-2017 to FY 2020-2021 and Approval of APR for the FY 2021-2022 and MYT ARR for FY 2022-23 to FY 2026-27 and determination of MYT for FY 2022-23 to 2026-27 under provisions of section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 & clause 43(1) of TNERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations,</p> |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | 2004. For hearing the counsel for the petitioner with regard to maintainability of the Review Petition. |
| 23 | D.R.P.No.17 of 2020 | i) M/s.Walwhan Renewable Energy Limited ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SLDC iii) TANTRANSCO iv) MNRE | SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To issue directions treating the loss of generation of Rs.78.73 crores as computed till August, 2020 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation by the petitioners and to make payment for the said deemed generation charges. For arguments. |
| 24 | M.P.No.37 of 2021 | M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii)SE/P&C/TANTRANSCO/ Mdu (iv) SE/NCES/Tirunelveli | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the entire 72 MW solar power plant stood commissioned and entitled to the Tariff fixed under "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power" in Order No.4 of 2014 and set aside the CE/NCES communication's dated 30.9.2016 to segregate the 72 MW solar power plant erected as 25 MW and 47 MW separately with separate energy meters and be paid at different tariff rates as illegal. For arguments. |
| 25 | M.P.No.47 of 2021 | M/s.T.R.K.Textile India Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Palladam EDC | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Direct the respondents to revise the EWA by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the EWA and to execute a fresh EWA and also to accept the invoices of the petitioner whenever raised for the encashment of the unutilised Solar Energy available at the account of the petitioner, for its 75% of the value and to effect the payment. For arguments. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 26 | R.P.No.6 of 2021 in M.P.No.14 of 2012 | M/s.The Tata Power Company Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) IWPA (iv) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt. Limited | SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Review / modify the impugned order dated 05.10.2021 passed in M.P.No.14 of 2012 in terms of the submissions made in the present Review Petition and appoint POSOCO to verify the data and clarify the compensatory mechanism in terms of the NSEFI Judgement. For arguments. |
| 27 | R.P.No.7 of 2021 in M.P.No.25 of 2021 | Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell (iii) SE/Chengalpattu EDC | Adv.T.Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To issue an order of Interim Injunction restraining the respondents not to levy demand and collect electricity charges i.r.o. petitioner's electricity service connection and to review the order of the Commission in M.P.No.25 of 2021 dated 16.11.2021. For arguments. |
| 28 | M.P.No.3 of 2022 | M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO | M/s.J.Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson | Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to incorporate the above changes. For arguments. |
| <u>Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power</u> | | | | |
| 29 | T.A.No.1 of 2022 | M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited | M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments. |

| | | | | |
|----|------------------|---|--|---|
| 30 | T.A.No.2 of 2022 | M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited | M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments |
| 31 | T.A.No.3 of 2022 | M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited | M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments |
| 32 | T.A.No.4 of 2022 | M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited | M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments. |
| 33 | T.A.No.5 of 2022 | M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited | M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments |

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission