

No. 29/2022 dated: 11-04-2022

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 12-04-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)**

**Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.2 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) SE, Perambalur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
2	D.R.P.No.3 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) SE, Perambalur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
3	D.R.P.No.16 of 2021	M/s.Wind Construction Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Director (Finance) iii) Director (Generation) iv) SE/NCES/Solar Energy	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
4	R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.ITC Limited	AGP. Richardson Wilson  M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates	For order
5	D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.58,83,92,746/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For admission

6	M.P.No.13 of 2022	<p>M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director (Finance) (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (v) SE/Theni EDC</p>	<p>M/s.Rugan &amp; Arya</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 19.1.2022 in D.R.P.No.7 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum of Rs.28,07 crores along with interest @ 12% p.a. For admission.</p>
7	M.P.No.14 of 2022	<p>CE/Grid Operation, TANTRANSCO Ltd</p>	<p>Adv.V.Anil Kumar</p>	<p>To modify the order in M.P.No.17 of 2019 dated 15.6.2021 in Para-6.9 (h) as "The petitioner may seek equity assistance as required towards this Capital Investment Plan for each financial year from GoTN for the investment made as approved in this order" instead of existing orders in the said para-6.9(h). For admission.</p>
8	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	<p>M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to give adjust of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.</p>
9	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	<p>M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to give adjust of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.</p>

10	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to give adjust of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For counter.
12	D.R.P.No.8 of 2022	M/s.Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking interim relief for directing TANGEDCO to make immediate payment of Rs.13.56 crores to the petitioner from out of Rs.17.41 crores and also pay the entire/remaining amount towards energy supplied to the TANGEDCO for the period from July to October 2021. For counter.
13	R.P.No.2 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To review the order dated 14.9.2021 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s.B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.

14	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing. For rejoinder.
15	D.R.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.1,86,21,704/- for the period from April 2018 to June 2020 being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied. For rejoinder.
16	M.P.No.9 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To approve Capital Investment Plant (CIP) for each year of the Multi Year Tariff control period of FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, FY 2024-25 i.r.o. generation, projects and distribution. For further hearing.
17	M.P.No.12 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for power procurement under Short Term Power procurement upto 1000 MW RTC power and 1000 MW for the evening peak hours for the period from 14.3.2022 to 20.5.2022. For reporting status and for further hearing.
18	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments of the respondent.

19	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
20	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
21	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments of the respondent.
22	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arka Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest. For arguments of the petitioner as a last chance.

23	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For arguments.
24	R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore  Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments of the respondents.
25	R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments.
26	R.P.No.6 of 2021 in M.P.No.14 of 2012	M/s.The Tata Power Company Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) IWPA (iv) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt. Limited	SKV Law Offices  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Review / modify the impugned order dated 05.10.2021 passed in M.P.No.14 of 2012 in terms of the submissions made in the present Review Petition and appoint POSOCO to verify the data and clarify the compensatory mechanism in terms of the NSEFI Judgement. For arguments.

27	R.P.No.7 of 2021 in M.P.No.25 of 2021	Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell (iii) SE/Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue an order of Interim Injunction restraining the respondents not to levy demand and collect electricity charges i.r.o. petitioner's electricity service connection and to review the order of the Commission in M.P.No.25 of 2021 dated 16.11.2021. For arguments.
28	M.P.No.37 of 2021	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii)SE/P&C/TANTRANSC O/Mdu (iv) SE/NCES/Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To declare that the entire 72 MW solar power plant stood commissioned and entitled to the Tariff fixed under "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power" in Order No.4 of 2014 and set aside the CE/NCES communication's dated 30.9.2016 to segregate the 72 MW solar power plant erected as 25 MW and 47 MW separately with separate energy meters and be paid at different tariff rates as illegal. For arguments.
<b>Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For reporting status on the payment of court fee and filing of documents</b>				
29	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For reporting status on the payment of court fee and filing of documents.
30	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-

31	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.K.Seshadri  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
32	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Thiru.Amier Hamsa, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26554 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
33	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Thiru.Amier Hamsa, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  -do-
34	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Rep. by its Plant Head  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
35	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates,  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
36	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  -do-
37	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-



38	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
39	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
40	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
41	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
42	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
<b><u>Batch cases</u></b> <b><u>In the matter of Start up charges - For hearing</u></b>				
43	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar  AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For further arguments of the petitioner.

44	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
45	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
46	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
47	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
48	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
49	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing

50	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing
51	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. <i>For hearing</i>
52	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing
53	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing
54	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
55	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.

56	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
57	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
58	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
59	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
60	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
61	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Kallakurichi EDC (v) Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

62	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
63	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
64	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
65	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
66	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
67	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
68	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

69	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
70	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
71	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
72	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
73	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Shree Law Services  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  For hearing.
74	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
75	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing

76	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
77	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
78	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
79	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Rep. by its General Manager (Power Plant)  Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
80	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/CEDC North (v) AO/Revenue/CEDC North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission