

No.42/ 2024 dated: 10-07-2024

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST for 11-07-2024 (Forenoon)****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	M.P.No.16 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/ Chennai EDC / North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	For order
2	D.R.P.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Southern Energy Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For order
3	M.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For filing affidavit and for further hearing.

4	M.P.No.7 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing affidavit and for further hearing.</p>
5	M.P.No.8 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing affidavit and for further hearing.</p>
6	M.P.No.36 of 2023	<p>CFC/Deposits &amp; Documentation, TANGEDCO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt Limited</p>	<p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p>	<p>To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2015-2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing rejoinder and further hearing.</p>
7	M.P.No.38 of 2023	<p>CFC/Deposits &amp; Documentation, TANGEDCO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP</p>	<p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p> <p>Adv,Rahul Balaji</p>	<p>To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2022-2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For further hearing.</p>

8	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	<p>M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein.</p> <p>For arguments of the respondent.</p>
9	R.A.No.1 of 2024	<p>M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to give adjustment of the banked energy available in the Group Captive Generator's account, maintained in the generation end EDC and to treat the unutilised banked energy for encashment at 75% of the relevant tariff rate as per the Wind Tariff Orders issued by the Commission.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 30.11.2023 in Appeal No.853 of 2023 setting aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.1 of 2023 for</p>

				consideration afresh in accordance with law. For arguments of the respondent.
10	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DFC/AO/WIND/AS/F.OASoftware/ D.No.354/23 dt.08.05.2023. For arguments.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till this matter is finally disposed of and also quash the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders. For arguments.
12	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders.  For arguments of the respondent.
13	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has

				correctly accounted for payments made against its energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/- including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited  Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallocate 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust by petitioner's captive users during 2024-25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission