No.33/ 2024 dated: 06-06-2024

Time: 11.00 AM

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 11-06-2024 (Forenoon)

(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

| SI. | Case No. | Name of the Parties | Counsel / Party | Remarks |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| No | | | | |
| 1 | D.R.P.No.9 of 2024 | M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/IPP/PPP, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/SLDC, TANTRANSCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To declare that the bill dispute Notices issued by the Respondent dated 26.05.2023 & 26.06.2023 are illegal and contrary to the terms of the PPA and direct the Respondents to pay the petitioner an amount of Rs.1,66,501 towards incentive / capacity charges for declared capacity on 16.5.2023 and direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.1,46,77,682 for the power supplied by the petitioner from 19.4.2023 to 16.5.2023 under the pass through mechanism during the operation of MoP directions dated 5.5.2022 and 20.2.2023 and other others. For admission. |
| 2 | I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2023 | Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) Power Engineers' Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) | SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Rep. by its President as Proposed Respondent | To issue directions treating the loss of generation of 1985.52 MUs as computed from April 2020 till January 2022 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation and to direct TANGEDCO to make payments of Rs.2,46,44,455 along with carrying cost of Rs.82,38,300. |

| | 1 | | T | |
|---|--------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | For admission of I.A. filed by the President, PESOT for Impleading as Respondent. |
| 3 | M.P.No.6 of 2024 | M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter. |
| 4 | M.P.No.7 of 2024 | M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter. |
| 5 | M.P.No.8 of 2024 | M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for noncompliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter. |
| 6 | D.R.P.No.6 of 2024 | M/s.Shri Harikrishna Cotton Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & | Direct the respondents to revise the EWA by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the EWA and further |

| | ı | (II) 0.7.51 II 1.7.50 | | 1 |
|---|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | | (ii) SE/Dindigul EDC (iii) SE/Palladam EDC | Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | direct the respondents to execute a fresh EWA in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the order of the Commission. For counter. |
| 7 | D.R.P.No.3 of 2024 | M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO | M/s.J Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson | Hold and declare that the petitioner is entitled to fixed cost for nonsupply of power in periods mentioned in Para-2 above as this situation of non-supply arose only due to factors beyond SEPC's control and Direct TANGEDCO to pay fixed charges to SEPC for the period of non-supply in FY 2022-2023 i.e., Rs.243,59,11,020 along with pendente lite interest, and extend the term of PPA by 4 months and pass any other orders. For counter. |
| 8 | M.P.No.6 of 2023 | M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO Ltd | M/s.J Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson | To approve the actual capital cost incurred by the petitioner as being the "Trued Up Capital Cost" in terms of Article 3.9, Article 12 and Article 14 of the PPA read with Regulations 18 to 20 and 90 of the TNERC - Tariff Regulations 2005 and to approve the revised tariff as per the Trued Up Capital Cost which shall be applicable from 3rd anniversary of the CoD. For further hearing. |
| 9 | M.P.No.15 of 2024 | M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Chairman / | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- |

| | | TANTRANSCO | | compliance of the |
|----|---------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | (iii) SE/Chennai EDC/North | | orders of the Commission dated 15.12.2020 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders. For rejoinder. |
| 10 | I.A.No.2 of 2023 | M/s.Annamalai University | Adv.S.Sithirai Anandam | To set aside the |
| | & M.P.No.29 of 2023 | Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC (iii) CFC/Regulatory Cell | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | impugned letter dated 24.8.2004 bearing Lr.No.SE/CEDC/CUD /AO/R/Audit-03-04/95/A4/2004 and to classify the HTSC 95 under Tariff-IIA for Educational Institutions instead of Commercial Tariff. For arguments on the I.A. filed by the petitioner. |
| 11 | M.P.No.39 of 2023 | National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions. For arguments. |

| 12 | D.R.P.No.2 of 2023 | NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC | HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For filing affidavit and arguments. |
|----|------------------------|---|--|--|
| 13 | D.R.P.No.12 of 2023 | M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To refix the condition of achieving minimum 17% CUF by fixing an appropriate band and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.13,51,82,821 deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. For reply arguments of the petitioner. |
| 14 | D.R.P.No.13 of 2023 | M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO | Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To review the working and applicability of Clause-6 of the PPA with regard to CUF and working of such provision, inlcuding revising the CUF band to 12% - 19% to cover variations and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security |

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

No.34/ 2024 dated: 06-06-2024

Time: 02.30 PM

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 11-06-2024 (Afternoon)

(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

| SI. | Case No. | Name of the Parties | Counsel / Party | Remarks |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| No | | | | |
| 1 | D.R.P.No.14 of 2013 | Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC | Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. Richardson Wilson | To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments as a last chance. |
| 2 | D.R.P.No.15 of 2013 | Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors. | Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson | To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments as a last chance. |
| 3 | R.P.No.2 of 2013 | Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO | Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson | To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments as a last chance. |
| 4 | R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 | M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others. | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson | Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders. For arguments as a last chance. |

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission