

No.01/2022 dated: 07-01-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 11-01-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.46 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
2	P.P.A.P.No. 4 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order
3	M.P.No.1 of 2022	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To pass an order to amend the TNERC (Grid Interactive Solar PV Energy Generating Systems) Regulations, 2021 for extending Net Feed-in methodology to all HT consumers for the establishment of roof top / ground mounted SPV Plants within their premises irrespective of voltage level for all roof top loads of 1 MW and above within sanctioned load duly collecting the networking charges from them. For admission.
4	M.P.No.2 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To approve procurement of RTC Power upto 1000 MW for the supply period from 01.03.2022 to 31.03.2022 and to float Tender through eBidding portal (DEEP), as per the enclosed Tender Specification. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.1,86,21,704/- for the period from April 2018 to June 2020 being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied. For admission.

6	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For counter.
7	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing till the date of realization. For counter.
8	D.R.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the Change in law events in terms of Article 10 of the PPA dated 12.12.2013 and to determine the correct rate of CVD and other consequential claims for purposes of Change in Law and confirm the correctness and entitlement to the sum of Rs.8,25,91,046/- being the differential working after applying the correct rate towards CVD, Cess and Carrying cost attributable to Change in Law under the PPA. For counter.

9	M.P.No.42 of 2021	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) AAA Plus Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To exercise its regulatory powers and clarify that no wheeling charges are payable where a captive consumer is being supplied electricity through a dedicated transmission line and is situated within the same premises as the generating plant. For counter.
10	M.P.No.44 of 2021	M/s.Nagai Power Pvt. Limited Versus i) CE/Grid Oprn./ SLDC ii) SE/C.O/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To exercise its regulatory powers and clarify that Transmission charges are not payable for the month of September 2021 i.r.o Format STOA2 dt.23.08.2021 conditional approval referring to letter bearing No.CE/GO/SE/CO/EE/OA/AEE3/F.NPPL.Cap/RO/21-22/D.92/21-22 dt.03.08.2021. For counter.
11	R.P.No.6 of 2021 in M.P.No.14 of 2012	M/s.The Tata Power Company Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) IWPA (iv) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt. Limited	SKV Law Offices Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Review / modify the impugned order dated 05.10.2021 passed in M.P.No.14 of 2012 in terms of the submissions made in the present Review Petition and appoint POSOCO to verify the data and clarify the compensatory mechanism in terms of the NSEFI Judgement. For filing counter on the maintainability of the petition.
12	R.P.No.7 of 2021 in M.P.No.25 of 2021	Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell (iii) SE/Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue an order of Interim Injunction restraining the respondents not to levy demand and collect electricity charges i.r.o. petitioner's electricity service connection and to review the order of the Commission in M.P.No.25 of 2021 dated 16.11.2021. For filing counter.

13	R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.ITC Limited	AGP. Richardson Wilson M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates	To condone the delay of 84 days in filing the Review Petition against the order dated 17.8.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and to review the order on the ground that the impugned order is against the Electricity Rules, 2005 and Judgment of APTEL in Appeal No.33 of 2012. For filing counter on the maintainability and merits.
14	D.R.P.No.11 of 2016	Orchid Pharma Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 3) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the 1 st respondent demand notice and consequently direct the 2 nd respondent to give adjustment for the unutilized banked energy or encashment of Rs.23.93/- lakhs. For arguments.
15	M.P.No.1 of 2021	M/s.NLC India Limited Versus i) TANGEDCO ii) TANTRANSCO	Adv.K.Harishankar Adv.M.Gopinathan & Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct SLDC to strictly follow and enforce "MUST RUN" status on all solar and wind power plants and direct to forthwith stop issuing backing down / curtailment instructions to Solar and Wind Plants. For arguments.
16	M.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC / TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification, as to whether additional surcharge on wheeling charges are applicable to the OA Consumers having drawal voltage over and above 66 kV, who source electricity from the Third Party Power Generators having injecting voltage over and above 66 kV or not. For arguments.

17	M.P.No.37 of 2021	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii)SE/P&C/TANTRANSCO/Mdu (iv) SE/NCES/Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To declare that the entire 72 MW solar power plant stood commissioned and entitled to the Tariff fixed under "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power" in Order No.4 of 2014 and set aside the CE/NCES communication's dated 30.9.2016 to segregate the 72 MW solar power plant erected as 25 MW and 47 MW separately with separate energy meters and be paid at different tariff rates as illegal. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.43 of 2021	Chief Electrical Traction Engineer, Southern Railway Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) MD/TANTRANSCO iii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.K.Muthamil Raja Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 25.02.2020 passed in M.P.No.19 of 2018 under section 142 of the E.A., 2003 and also to direct TANGEDCO to permit provision of net metering for all the locations listed in NoC application under 4 MW dated 10.03.2021 within a timeline. For arguments.
19	Interlocutory Application (I.A.) filed in SR.No.50 of 2021 dt.30.3.2021	M/s.Arka Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i)The Secretary to Govt., GoTN. (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO (iii) CE/GTS, Ramnad (iv) SE/GTS, Ramnad	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan AGP Richardson Wilson	Prayed to condone delay of 1259 days in representing the petition in SR.No.94 of 2017 in the matter of payment of compensation under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For arguments on the maintainability of the petition.
20	R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments.

21	R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments on the maintainability of the petition.
22	M.P.No.31 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus M/s. A.R.S.Energy Pvt. Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare the M/s.A.R.S. Energy Pvt. Limited is not a captive generating plant and the petitioner may be permitted to levy Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the period from 01.04.2019 onwards from their captive users for captively adjusted energy. For arguments of the petitioner.
23	M.P.No.34 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji	To cancel captive wheeling of energy in respect of M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Limited with effect from 13.6.2018 treated as third party transaction as per law and their captive users are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for such disqualification of Captive status. For arguments of the petitioner.
24	M.P.No.36 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus The Chettinad Cement Corp. Pvt. Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Chettinad Cement Corporation Pvt. Limited is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 and they are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.95,02,09,269/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For arguments of the petitioner.

25	M.P.No.5 of 2021	CFC / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited (HT SC No.069124450083), Nagapattinam EDC is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Year 2019-2020 and their captive users are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.6,88,31,480/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For arguments of the petitioner.
26	M.P.No.6 of 2021	CFC/Deposits and Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited is not a qualified Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Year 2019-2020 and their captive users are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.63,96,64,445/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For arguments of the petitioner.
27	M.P.No.7 of 2021	CFC/Deposits and Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i) M/s.Sai Regency Power Corpn Limited, ii) Brakes India Pvt. Limited iii) Chemplast Sanmar Limited iv) Harshini Textiles Mills Limited v) Jagannath Textile Mills Limited vi) Sri Rameshwar Textiles vii) Lakshmi Mills Co. Ltd. viii) ABI Showatech India Limited ix) MRF Limited x) Orchid Chemicals Pharmaceuticals Limited xi) Precot Meridian Limited xii) Sundaram Clayton Limited xiii) TVS Srichakra Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Anand K.Ganesan for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2, R-4 to R-13 M/s.Shree Law Services for R-3	To declare that the respondents are not a qualified Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Year 2019-2020 and their captive users are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.10,22,41,106/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For arguments.

28	M.P.No.22 of 2021	<p>CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) M/s.Suryadev Alloys & Power Pvt. Limited & 67 others.</p>	<p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1</p> <p>M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates on behalf of M/s.Exide Industries Limited (R-27)</p> <p>M/s.King & Partridge On behalf of M/s.Eicher Motors Limited (R-8, R-13 & R-14)</p>	<p>To declare that M/s.Suryadev Alloys & Power Pvt. Limited is not a Captive Generating Plants for the FY 2019-20 and their Captive Users are liable to pay CSS for an amount of Rs.151,28,20,794/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For arguments.</p>
----	-------------------	--	---	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission