

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST for 09-01-2024****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	M/s.Shree Law Services  Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1  Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003.  For filing of affidavit by the petitioner and for admission.
2	M.P.No.19 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Amaravathi Textiles	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. For counter.
3	M.P.No.23 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO  Versus M/s.Bull Machines Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. For counter.
4	M.P.No.27 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO  Versus M/s.Ashok Granites Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-17 and 2017-2018. For counter.

5	M.P.No.28 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sri Vengadeshwara Spinners	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. For counter.
6	M.P.No.29 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.The KTM Jewellery Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For counter.
7	M.P.No.18 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt. Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 to 2016-2017 and 2019-2020 to 2021 -2022. For counter.
8	M.P.No.20 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that M/s.MMS Steel and Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 & 2015-2016. For counter.
<b>Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power – For filing rejoinder by TANGEDCO</b>				
9	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
10	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.

11	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
12	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
13	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
14	M.P.No.21 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus  M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that M/s.OPG Energy Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022.  For Rejoinder.
15	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders.  For rejoinder.

16	M.P.No.33 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To accord approval and ratification for having floated the short-term tender for procurement of balance RTC and Peak hour power requirement for the period from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024. For further hearing.
17	M.P.No.42 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To accord approval and ratification for having floated and opened the short term tender No.37 of 2023 for procurement of balance RTC and Peak hour power requirement for the period from 01.01.2024 to 31.05.2024 and other orders. For further hearing.
18	I.A.No.2 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For arguments on I.A.No.2 of 2023.
19	M.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Limited Versus i) TANGEDCO Ltd ii) CFC/General iii) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To exercise regulatory powers in furtherance of the judgement of APTEL in APL No.195 of 2018 dt.28.1.2021 with regard to banking and the

				<p>recommendations made by the 3rd respondent vide O.M.F.No.283/25/20 20-GRID Solar dt.16.4.2020 and direct rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Wind Energy Generating plants of the petitioner under Captive Category of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 to FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation and pass such further or other orders.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
20	R.A.No.1 of 2024	<p>M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.853 of 2023 dated 30.11.2023 set-aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.1 of 2023 and remanded back to the Commission for consideration afresh in accordance with law.</p> <p>For further proceedings.</p>
21	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	<p>M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DF C/AO/WIND/AS/F.O ASoftware/ D.No.354/23 dt.08.05.2023.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>

22	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till this matter is finally disposed of and also quash the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders. For arguments.
----	---	---	---	---

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission