

No.04/2023 dated: 03-02-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 07-02-2023 (Physical Hearing)****Time : 02-30 PM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.3 of 2023	The South Indian Sugar Mills Association - Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Exercise its regulatory powers to direct TANGEDCO to purchase power from petitioner's members having subsisting PPAs at full price beyond 55% / 60% PLF as the case may be till such time they reach the 55% / 60% PLF on cumulative basis to compensate for the very low PLF achieved in the earlier years. For admission.
2	R.P.No.3 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO Versus Tirunelveli Solar Project Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	Review the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2020 on various grounds as stated in the petition. For admission.
3	M.P.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the Respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022 in D.R.P.No.20 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payment of the entire sum of Rs.87,08,492 along with interest @ 1% P.M. For counter

4	D.R.P.No.8 of 2022	M/s.Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To issue appropriate directions to respondents for immediate release and payment of Energy bills for the month of July 2021 to October 2021 amounting to Rs.17,41,10,763 and also issue appropriate directions to respondents to discharge its contractual obligation in a time bound manner, especially in relation to establishing payment security mechanism by immediately without any delay in favour of the petitioner herein in terms of the PPA. For verification of compliance of interim orders passed on 22.3.2022 in I.A.No.1 of 2022 and for filing counter in the main petition.
5	D.R.P.No.9 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General	Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For counter.
6	M.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point. For counter.

7	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.58,83,92,746/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For admission of I.A. filed by the petitioner for amending the prayer.
8	D.R.P.No.44 of 2014	Mirra and Mirra Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To direct TANGEDCO to effect adjustment of the WEG from the petitioner's captive windmills operating under the REC scheme first and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.55 of 2014	SRF Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Praying to first effect adjustment of WEG under REC scheme and thereafter adjust with banking facility. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.68 of 2014	Dattatreya Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai EDC 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct that TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.47 and thereafter adjust the energy generated. For arguments.

11	D.R.P.No.69 of 2014	Sundaram Textiles Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai/North 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.203 and thereafter adjust the energy generated. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.9 of 2016	Vijayalakshmi Marketing Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Edumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Quash the impugned notices dated 4-8-2014 and 2-12-2014 issued by the 1 st and 2 nd respondents and direct them to give effect to the EWA. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments.

17	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.8 of 2022	M/s.Evita Constructions Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chengalpet EDC (iii) CE/Distn./Chennai South (iv) CE/Commercial	M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To invoke section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against the distribution officials for non-compliance of the order of Electricity Ombudsman and also to ensure strict compliance of the order passed by the TNEO in A.P.No.58 of 2021 dated 19.1.2022. For arguments.
19	D.R.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.1,86,21,704/- for the period from April 2018 to June 2020 being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied. For arguments.
Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For payment of court fee and filing of documents				
20	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Thiru.Amier Hamsa, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26554 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents

21	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Rep. by its Plant Head Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
22	T.A.No.33 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad	AK Law Chambers Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30433 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
23	T.A.No.38 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	AK Law Chambers Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33026 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
24	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates, Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
25	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
26	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents

27	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
28	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
29	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
30	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Thiru.Amier Hamsa, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
31	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee and filing of documents
Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents				
32	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.

33	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/ChennaiEDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
34	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
35	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
36	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
37	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
38	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.

39	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
40	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
41	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
42	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
43	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
44	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.

45	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
46	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
47	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents.
48	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/CEDC North (v) AO/Revenue/CEDC North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of deficit court fee and filing of documents
Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For arguments				
49	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.
50	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.

51	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
52	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
53	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
54	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
55	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
56	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Kallakurichi EDC (v) Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments

57	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
58	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
59	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
60	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
61	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
62	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
63	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.

64	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
65	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
66	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
67	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
68	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
69	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.
70	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.

71	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
72	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
73	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Rep. by its General Manager (Power Plant) Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments
74	T.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC	Rep. by its Managing Director Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6560 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission