No.31/ 2024 dated: 31-05-2024

Time: 11.00 AM

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION REVISED CAUSE LIST for 04-06-2024 (Forenoon) (Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

SI.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
No			•	
1	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES) Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co- Ordination, SLDC	M/s.P.V.S. Giridhar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemed Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. For admission of I.A. filed by the petitioner and for further hearing.
2	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES,	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan &	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallot 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported
		TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust

			1	by potitionaria contina
				by petitioner's captive users during 2024-25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801. For rejoinder.
3	M.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For reporting compliance.
4	M.P.No.7 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For reporting compliance.
5	M.P.No.8 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed

	T			1 1	
				in the order.	
				For reporting	
				compliance.	
6	M.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.Fortune Integrated	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To impose penalty	
		Assets Finance Limited		upon the respondents	
		(Formerly Wind		in accordance with	
		Construction Ltd)		section 142 of the	
		Versus		Electricity Act, 2003	
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan &	for non-compliance of	
		(ii) Director / Finance	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	the orders of the Commission dated	
		(iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES	AdviAiriveinataonalapatny	12.04.2022 in	
		Lifergy/NOES		D.R.P.No.16 of 2021	
				and R.P.No.5 of 2022	
				dated 13.07.2023, and	
				consequently direct	
				the respondents to	
				make payments of the	
				entire sum as directed	
				in the said orders.	
				For reporting	
				compliance.	
7	M.P.No.14 of 2024	Thiru.N.Muthukumaar	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To impose penalty	
		Versus	A de N. Kanana ana an	upon the respondents	
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv. A. D. Vonkstoch slanethy	in accordance with	
		(ii) CFC / Revenue (iii) CE/NCES	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003	
		(iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC		for non-compliance of	
		(IV) SE/TITUPPUT EDG		the orders of the	
				Commission dated	
				07.12.2021 in	
				D.R.P.No.12 of 2021	
				and consequently	
				direct the respondents	
				to make payments of	
				the entire sum as	
				directed in the said	
				orders.	
				For reporting	
-	Datah a	ococ In the metter o	f adjustment of lenged:	compliance.	
Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units – For filing rejoinder and arguments					
8	D.R.P.No.5 of 2024	M/s.ARS Energy Private	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To quash the	
		Ltd	- I william Dalaji	impugned demand	
		Versus		letter dated 30.1.2024	
		(i) SE/Chennai North		seeking to levy a sum	
		EDC, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan &	of Rs.2,71,02,948/-	
		(ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	towards "Grid	
		(iii) Chairman /		Availability Charges"	
		TANTRANSCO		as well as the audit	
		(iv) Director (Oprns.), TANTRANSCO Ltd		slips on the basis of which the said	
		(v) Director(Distn.),		impugned demand	

		TANGEDCO		was issued, as the letter and claims therein are illegal, barred by limitation and have been issued without authority of law. For rejoinder.
9	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (iChairman/TANTRANS CO (iii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
10	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
11	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i)Chairman / TANGEDC (ii)MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
12	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

13	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
14	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
15	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impuged communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For arguments.

47	D D D No E of 2024	M/o Aukov Engrav	Adv. Animudh Kriahnan	Direct the recognitions
17	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan	Direct the respondents
		(Rameswaram) Limited		to pay a total sum of
		Versus		Rs.128 crores along
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan &	with interest towards
		(ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	illegal deduction,
			7 ta vii ii v oimata oila apatily	, ,
		(iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad		compensation for
		Circle		deviation 15% of the
				contracted value,
				power supplied to the
				captive consumers,
				power supplied 10%
				over and delayed
				payment along with
				interest.
				For arguments as a
				last chance.

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission