

No.18/ 2024 dated: 28-03-2024

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 02-04-2024****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.5 of 2024	M/s.ARS Energy Private Ltd Versus (i) SE/Chennai North EDC, TANGEDCO (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO (iii) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (iv) Director (Oprns.), TANTRANSCO Ltd (v) Director(Distn.), TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the impugned demand letter dated 30.1.2024 seeking to levy a sum of Rs.2,71,02,948/- towards "Grid Availability Charges" as well as the audit slips on the basis of which the said impugned demand was issued, as the letter and claims therein are illegal, barred by limitation and have been issued without authority of law. For admission.
2	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For counter.
3	D.R.P.No.20 of 2023	M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd Versus i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.MSA Partners Adv. N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Hold and direct TANGEDCO to compensate and pay the principal along with interest which totalling to Rs.2,53,97,360 towards the loss caused to the petitioner. For rejoinder.

4	M.P.No.6 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.</p>
5	M.P.No.7 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.</p>
6	M.P.No.8 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.</p>

7	D.R.P.No.1 of 2024	<p>M/s.Southern Energy Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To set aside the action of the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 of the 3rd Respondent and letter dated 13.09.2013 of the 4th respondent whereby the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and other orders. For filing counter as a last chance.</p>
8	M.P.No.30 of 2022	<p>CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola</p>	<p>To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015. For rejoinder.</p>
9	M.P.No.37 of 2023	<p>CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt Limited</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p>	<p>To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2018-2019. For rejoinder.</p>

10	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	<p>NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC</p>	<p>HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For filing additional affidavit by TANGEDCO.</p>
11	I.A.No.1 of 2024 & M.P.No.19 of 2023	<p>Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale, Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 Captive Users</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy M/s.Shree Law Services</p>	<p>To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017-2018. For further hearing.</p>
12	M.P.No.9 of 2024	<p>M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 12.04.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 and R.P.No.5 of 2022 dated 13.07.2023, and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders. For filing of memo and for further hearing.</p>

13	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016- 2017. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.25 of 2023	M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the Respondents, to revise the Energy Wheeling Agreement, by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the Energy Wheeling Agreement as contained in page No.3 and Page No.10 in para 24 IV and further direct the Respondents, to execute a fresh Energy Wheeling Agreement in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the Order of the Hon'ble Commission, as contained in Order No.9 of 2020 dated 16.10.2020 and further direct the Respondents to accept the invoice of the petitioner. For arguments.
15	M.P.No.26 of 2023	Naveen Cotton Mill Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iii)SE/ TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the Respondents, to revise the Energy Wheeling Agreement, by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the Energy Wheeling Agreement as contained in page No.6 Clause 21 and further direct the Respondents, to execute a fresh Energy Wheeling Agreement in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the Order of the

				Hon'ble Commission, as contained in Order No.9 of 2020 dated 16.10.2020 and further direct the Respondents to accept the invoices of the petitioner. For arguments.
16	R.A.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Director (Finance) iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC v) SE/Theni EDC vi) SE/Dindigul EDC	M/s.Rugan & Arya Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.80,81,31,929/- plus Rs.14,80,198.65 being the balance due for the period from 16.03.2017 to 07.12.2020 to the petitioner from TANGEDCO for power supplied from the wind generation units. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 15.02.2024 in Appeal No.155 of 2022 dated 15.02.2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.23 of 2020 for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission