

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2019**

**in**

**M.P.No.27 of 2016**

M/s. SEPC Power Pvt. Limited

...

**Petitioner**

**(Thiru. P. Sathyakumar, Vice-President  
Party-in-Person)**

Versus

TANGEDCO

...

**Respondent**

**(Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate)**

**Hearing dated : 01-04-2019**

**DAILY ORDER**

Orders pronounced on the re-opening of the main petition.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

# **TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao**

**.... Member**

**D.R.P.No.16 of 2012**

M/s.Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Limited

...

**Petitioner**

**(Thiru Rishabh Prasad, Advocate)**

Versus

TANGEDCO

...

**Respondent**

**(Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel)**

**Hearing dated : 01-04-2019**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rishabh Prasad, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted the change of vakalath in the place of Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate. Thiru. T.Mohan, Advocate appeared for the Respondent. The new advocate-on-record for the petitioner prayed three weeks time to respond to the documents filed by TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent vehemently objected for the long adjournment and pointed out that the case which has already been reserved for orders, is now re-opened for argument on the limited issue of admissibility of new documents filed by TANGEDCO. He also pointed out that except one document all other documents are correspondence exchanged between the parties and objected for long adjournment. Case is adjourned and the matter is posted for hearing on 10-04-2019 finally.

(Sd.....)

**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**

**Member**

(Sd.....)

**(S.Akshayakumar)**

**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**

**Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**

# **TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao**

**.... Member**

**D.R.P.No.19 of 2012**

M/s.Madurai Power Corporation Pvt. Limited

...

**Petitioner**

**(Thiru Anand K. Ganesan, Advocate)**

Versus

TANGEDCO

...

**Respondent**

**(Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel)**

**Hearing dated : 01-04-2019**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Anand K Ganesan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and submitted the change of vakalath in the place of Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate. Thiru. T.Mohan, Advocate appeared for the Respondent. The new advocate-on-record for the petitioner prayed three weeks time to respond to the documents filed by TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent vehemently objected for the long adjournment and pointed out that the case which has already been reserved for orders, is now re-opened for argument on the limited issue of admissibility of new documents filed by TANGEDCO. He also pointed out that except one document all other documents are correspondence exchanged between the parties and objected for long adjournment. Case is adjourned and the matter is posted for hearing on 10-04-2019 finally.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/True Copy/**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**