(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-			
Thiru S. Akshayakumar			Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal			Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	D.R.P.No. 79 of		Member
Madras Hardtools Pvt., Ltd. Versus	,	P Thiru Rahul Bala	etitioner ji, Advocate
1) TANGEDCO and anothe		Responde Gopinathan, Standin	
	Hearing dated: 30-	<u>10-2018</u>	
	DAILY ORDE	<u>R</u>	
Thiru Rahul Balaji, <i>i</i>	Advocate appeared fo	r the petitioner. Th	iru M.Gopinathan
Standing Counsel for TAI	NGEDCO appeared.	Arguments heard.	The petition is
admitted. The respondents	were directed to file th	ne counter within fou	ır weeks.
(Sd) (T.Prabhakara Rao) Member	(Sd) (G. Rajagopal) Member	(Sd (S.Aksha) Chair	/akumar)

/ True Copy /

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	E	S	Ε	N	T	:-

Thiru S.	Akshayakumar	Chairmar

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 80 of 2014

VTX Industries Ltd., Petitioner

Thiru Arun Anbumani, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated: 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented for the counsel on record. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. As the same matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras, the counsel for petitioner prayed two weeks time to withdraw the case from the High Court. The time prayed for is granted.

/ True Copy /

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

Chairman
Member
Member .P.No. 81 of 2014
Petitioner Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

PRESENT:-

1) TANGEDCO and three others.

Hearing dated : 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. As the same matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras, the counsel for petitioner prayed two weeks time to withdraw the case from the High Court. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)	(G. Rajagopal)	(S.Akshayakumar)
Member	Member	Chairman
	/ True Copy /	

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	T	:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman
Tilliu 3. Aksilayakullal	Cilalilliali

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 16 of 2012

Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated: 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed two weeks time as senior counsel who is leading the case is engaged in other court. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)	(G. Rajagonal)	(S.Akshayakuma

(T.Prabhakara Rao) (G. Rajagopal) (S.Akshayakumar)

Member Member Chairman

/ True Copy /

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	ΕN	T:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao <u>D.R.P</u>	Member .No. 19 of 2012
Madurai Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus	Petitioner Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
1) TANGEDCO and another.	Respondents Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed two weeks time as senior counsel who is leading the case is engaged in other court. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)	(G. Rajagopal)	(S.Akshayakumar)
Member	Member	Chairman
	/ True Copy /	

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao <u>D.R.P</u>	Member .No. 26 of 2012
Madurai Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus	Petitioner Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
1) TANGEDCO and two others.	Respondents Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed two weeks time as senior counsel who is leading the case is engaged in other court. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)	(G. Rajagopal)	(S.Akshayakumar)
Member	Member	Chairman
	/ True Copy /	

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	T	:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 27 of 2012

Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated: 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed two weeks time as senior counsel who is leading the case is engaged in other court. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....)

(T.Prabhakara Rao) (G. Rajagopal) (S.Akshayakumar)

Member Member Chairman

/ True Copy /

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:

TANGEDCO

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 27 of 2016

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus

Thiru Abdul Sale

Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate

..... Respondent

Hearing dated: 30-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and pleaded that as lenders are following this case, he would like the Commission to finalize the case today itself. However, the counsel for respondent prayed one week time for filing their response to the additional affidavit dated 26-10-2018 filed by the petitioner. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)	(G. Rajagopal)	(S.Akshayakumar)
Member	Member	Chairman

/ True Copy /