

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**D.R.P.No. 5 of 2011**

Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and another ..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. Arguments heard. Both parties are directed to file their written submission on or before 6-12-2018. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**D.R.P.No. 1 of 2013**

OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner  
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

- 1) PTC India Ltd.,
- 2) TANGEDCO
- 3) TANTRANSCO

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for R2 & R3.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R2 & R3. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R1. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal**

**.... Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao**

**.... Member**

**D.R.P.No. 2 of 2013**

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) PTC India Ltd.,

2) TANGEDCO

3) TANTRANSO

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for R2 & R3.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinthan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R2 & R3. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R1. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**D.R.P.No.7 of 2013**

OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner  
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**D.R.P.No.8 of 2013**

OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner  
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**D.R.P.No.9 of 2013**

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**D.R.P.No.10 of 2013**

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**D.R.P.No.11 of 2013**

OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal**

**.... Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao**

**.... Member**

**D.R.P.No.12 of 2013**

OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

**Hearing dated : 29-11-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore, Advocate from RNS Associates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the contract is between the petitioner and PTC. Therefore, the petitioner claim is only against the PTC. The counsel for PTC submitted that the contract is back to back contract and the PTC is only a conduit. Therefore, if TANGEDCO makes payments, the PTC will pay to the petitioner and agreed to file citations in support of his arguments on or before 6-12-2018. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)

**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**

**Member**

(Sd.....)

**(G. Rajagopal)**

**Member**

(Sd.....)

**(S.Akshayakumar)**

**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**