(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-
-----------

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

### D.R.P.No. 4 of 2011

JSW Steel Ltd., ..... Petitioner

Thiru Sundaram, Party in Person

Versus

TANGEDCO ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-09-2018** 

### **DAILY ORDER**

The case was reopened for refreshing the case for Member (I) and Chairman. The party in person appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The Financial Controller, Regulatory Cell of TANGEDCO also presented the case. Both parties are directed to come out with a proposal within two weeks to measure the energy drawn for start up power and for industrial purposes during the relevant period.

(Sd.....)

(G. Rajagopal) (S.Akshayakumar)
Member Chairman

/ True Copy /

## (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	Ε	S	Ε	Ν	T	:-
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

### D.R.P.No. 15 of 2011

- 1) Terra Energy Ltd.,
- 2) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.,

..... Petitioners
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TNEB and three others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 25-09-2018

### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate submitted that the case was reserved for orders by the earlier Commission in which the present Member (I) and Chairman was part of the quorum. Therefore, the case may be heard for refreshing Member (I) and Chairman and prayed time for arguments. The counsel for R4 not present. The junior counsel of Thiru Abdul Saleem representing TANGEDCO also prayed time for arguments. The submission of the counsel for the petitioner was accepted. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member
(Sd......)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

## (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	
Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member
D.R.P.No. 16	of 2011
1) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2) Terra Energy Ltd.,	Petitioners Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus  1) TANGEDCO and three others.	Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-09-2018** 

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate submitted that the case was reserved for orders by the earlier Commission in which the present Member (I) and Chairman was part of the quorum. Therefore, the case may be heard for refreshing Member (I) and Chairman and prayed time for arguments. The counsel for R4 not present. The junior counsel of Thiru Abdul Saleem representing TANGEDCO also prayed time for arguments. The submission of the counsel for the petitioner was accepted. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd......)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member
(Sd......)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

Chairman
Member
2012
ology Petitioner. M/s.Ramachandran & Associates
Respondent
09-2018
case for Member (I) and Chairman is heard. Both parties are directed to Orders reserved.
(Sd) (S.Akshayakumar) Chairman
t

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

P	R	<b>ES</b>	E١	TΓ	٠.
---	---	-----------	----	----	----

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

D.R.P.No. 25 of 2012

PTC India Ltd., ..... Petitioner

Versus

1) Auromira Energy Co., Pvt., Ltd., and another. ..... Respondents

Hearing dated: 25-09-2018

### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate submitted that the case was reserved for orders by the earlier Commission in which the present Member (I) and Chairman was part of the quorum. Therefore, the case may be heard for refreshing Member (I) and Chairman and prayed time for arguments. The counsel for R4 not present. The junior counsel of Thiru Abdul Saleem representing TANGEDCO also prayed time for arguments. The submission of the counsel for the petitioner was accepted. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)

(G. Rajagopal) (S.Akshayakumar)

Member Chairman

/ True Copy /

# (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-						
Thiru S. Akshayakumar		Chairman				
Thiru G.Rajagopal		Member				
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao		Member				
D.R.P.No. 20 of 2012						
Pioneer Power Ltd.,		Petitioner Sathyaseelan, Advocate				
Versus TANGEDCO and two others.		Respondents				
	Hearing dated : 25-09-2018					
DAILY ORDER						
The case was heard	and reserved by the earlier C	ommission. Therefore, the				
case was posted for further	arguments by the Commission.	. The counsel for both side				
were present. The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for further arguments. The						
case is adjourned at the requ	uest of the counsel for the petitic	oner.				
(Sd) (T.Prabhakara Rao) Member	Member	(Sd) (S.Akshayakumar) Chairman				
	/ True Copy /					

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	
Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member
	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012
TANGEDCO Versus 1)Thiru D.R.Subbaian	Petitioner
2)Thiru Syed Tajudeen Madani	Respondents Thiru Sathyamoorthy, Advocate appeared for R1.

Hearing dated: 25-09-2018

### **DAILY ORDER**

The case was reopened for refreshing the case for Member (I) and Chairman. The counsel for R1 Thiru Sathyamoorthy appeared and argued the case and in support of his arguments he filed citations (1) AIR 1984 SC 1 (2) (2005) 7 SCC 283. The counsel for R2 not present. As prayed by the counsel for R1, the further arguments shall be taken up after the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this subject matter.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member
(Sd......)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

# (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-						
Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman					
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member					
D.R.P.No. 28 of 2013						
Sri Venkittalakshmi Textiles  Versus 1)CE,NCES and two others.	Petitioner Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate Respondents					
Hearing dated	<u>: 25-09-2018</u>					
DAILY ORDER						
The counsel for both side were R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate prayed to permit the petitioner is allowed.	present. The junior counsel of Thiru em to withdraw the case. The prayer of					
(Sd)	(Sd)					

/ True Copy /

(G. Rajagopal)

Member

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

(S.Akshayakumar)

Chairman

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-					
Thiru S. Akshayakumar	Chairman				
Thiru G.Rajagopal	Member				
I.A.No.1 of 2014 & D.R.P.No. 17 of 2013					
Kaveri Gas Power Ltd.,	Petitioner Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate				
Versus Sri Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd.,and three others.	Respondents Thiru K.Seshadri, Advocate for R1.				
Hearing dated : 2	<u>25-09-2018</u>				
<u>DAILY ORDER</u>					
The counsel for both side were present.	The counsels for the interim application				
and main petition prayed to permit them to with	ndraw the interim application and main				
petition respectively. The prayer of the petitione	ers is allowed.				

/ True Copy /

(Sd....)

(G. Rajagopal)

Member

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

(Sd.....)

(S.Akshayakumar)

Chairman