

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**I.A.No.1 of 2018 & M.P.No. 16 of 2018**

TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. The Superintending Engineer, SLDC appeared. Arguments heard. Member (II) observed that the petition has been filed now for approval and ratification for dispatching energy from unapproved sources from 1-4-2016 to 31-3-2017 and from 1-4-2017 to 31-3-2018 after 2 years and why such petitions have not been filed in time on quarterly basis as directed by the Commission. Member (I) pointed out that nearly 80% of the TANGEDCO's revenue goes to own generation of power and purchase of power from others. Therefore, there shall be strict control on the power purchase and that is why there is Merit Order Despatch (MoD) of power purchase prescribed by the Commission.

Commission has directed TANGEDCO to submit the MoD stack of actual power purchased from various sources during the month, on a monthly basis and file quarterly

petition for ratification in case of variations from the MoD stack approved by the Commission with proper justification. There is not only delay in filing the petitions but also the details not submitted as required. However, the petition is admitted. The petitioner was directed to file an affidavit within 2 weeks giving detailed data month-wise to support the claim that merit order ranking had been scrupulously followed.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 17 of 2018**

CE,NCES of TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer, NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard. The petitioner is directed to proceed further and detailed order will be issued.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 18 of 2018**

CE,NCES of TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer, NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard. The petitioner is directed to proceed further and detailed order will be issued.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 15 of 2018**

Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The Financial Controller, Regulatory Cell of TANGEDCO appeared and stated that the existing Regulations do not provide for giving single point supply as required by the petitioner. The petitioner agreed to file an affidavit specifying the procedure that may be followed.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 14 of 2018**

CE,NCES of TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
Nil ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing additional documents relating to revised policy of the Government. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 12 of 2018**

NLC India Ltd.,

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner

Thiru Harishankar, Advocate

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Harishankar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard on the question of admissibility. The Commission directed both parties to file the written submission within 15 days. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**M.P.No. 10 of 2018**

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
1) EID Parry (India) Ltd., and 13 others. .... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the 1,2,5,6,7,8, and 12<sup>th</sup> respondents and filed counter for R1. Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared for 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent. The junior counsel of Thiru K.Seshadri, Advocate appeared for 4<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> respondents. Thiru T.Balaji and M.Praveen Kumar, Advocates appeared for 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> respondents. The petitioner was directed to serve the copy of the petition to all the respondents immediately and respondents are directed to file the counter within 2 weeks thereafter. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 6 of 2018**

Regen Powertech Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

M/s.Fox & Mandal Associates

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Kannan, Advocate represented for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. As prayer in M.P.No.5 of 2018 is same, the counsel for the petitioner prayed to permit to adopt the arguments of the counsel for the petitioner in M.P.No.5 of 2018 and prayed time for their additional submission. This case is clubbed with M.P.No.5 of 2018. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**M.P.No. 5 of 2018**

M/s.Corolla Green Power Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. As this case is clubbed with M.P.No.6 of 2018, the case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**M.P.No. 20 of 2018**

TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Superintending Engineer, PPP of TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Member (I) has pointed out the following:

- 1) What is the financial commitment of the proposal and how this will be managed?
- 2) The month-wise projected demand and availability of power from October 2018 to May 2019 compared with the same period of last 2 years.
- 3) The availability of power from CGS, Long term power purchase, Medium term power purchase to the extent of 3000 MW was not considered in the availability and why?
- 4) During 2016-17 and 2017-18 short term power has been purchased for about 650 MW and 500 MW respectively for about 3 months from February to May 15<sup>th</sup>. Now TANGEDCO is intending to purchase 1000 MW RTC power and 500 MW peak power for 8 months from 1-10-2018 to 31-5-2019 and why such a huge quantum of power and for such a long period.
- 5) During the last 2 years the short term power purchase was up to 15-5-2017 and 15-5-2018 and why this time it is proposed up to 31-5-2019.

- 6) For the issue not connected with TANGEDCO, PGCIL has restricted Transmission capacity of 200 MW cheaper power under long term contracts for one of the power supplier under long term agreement. The action taken to get this power may be submitted.
- 7) During the last 2 years the petition for purchase of short term power filed in November for purchase of power in March following year. This time the petition was filed on 11-9-2018 for supply from 1-10-2018. Why advance action was not taken?
- 8) During the course of hearing, the SE/PPP has filed demand and supply statement from 10/18 to 5/19 and on perusal of the said document it reveals that the demand and supply of power for the said period has to be reassessed. The petitioner was directed to come before the Commission with detailed justification for the quantum as well as the rate before award.
- 9) If the above factors are not taken into consideration in determining the quantum of short term power purchase required, the extra expenditure will not be allowed by the Commission in ARR.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2018**

Tamil Nadu Energy Development Agency ..... Petitioner

Versus

Nil ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

The General Manager of Tamil Nadu Energy Development Agency appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The petitioner is directed to implead TANGEDCO .

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**I.A.No.1 of 2017 & M.P.No. 14 of 2017**

M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1)CE,NCES of TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the written submission filed on the question of jurisdiction of the Commission. The withdrawal sought for by the petitioner is allowed. The respondent was directed to file the counter within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2015 & M.P.No. 34 of 2015**

Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Ravi, Advocate

Versus

1)TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Ravi, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru S. Akshayakumar** .... **Chairman**

**Thiru G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao** .... **Member**

**I.A.No.1 of 2015 & M.P.No. 27 of 2016**

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented for the petitioner. The junior counsel of Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared and presented the case. The counsel for the petitioner submitted documents indicating certain concessions to the respondents. The case is adjourned for further arguments.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar

.... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal

.... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao

.... Member

**R.A.No. 1 of 2017**

Wind Power Producers Association

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 18-09-2018**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission