

aTAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 46 of 2014

Simran Wind Projects Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed two weeks time for filing the counter. The time prayed for is granted. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 59 of 2014

Simran Wind Projects Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel representing the petitioner prayed to club this matter along with the identical cases. The case is clubbed with similar cases as prayed by the counsel for the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar

.... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal

.... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao

.... Member

D.R.P.No. 64 of 2014

Tamil Nadu Newsprint and papers Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and two others

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel representing the petitioner prayed to club this matter along with the identical cases. The case is clubbed with similar cases as prayed by the counsel for the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 67 of 2014

Arulmozhi Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru M.Tharmaraj, Party-in-person

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and two others Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. No one represented for the petitioner. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 & D.R.P.No. 68 of 2014

M/s.Dattatreya Textiles Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and four others

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji stated that the similar case is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and stay has been granted in that case and prayed that this case may be kept pending till the disposal of similar case by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The prayer of the petitioner is allowed.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 & D.R.P.No. 69 of 2014

Sundaram Textiles Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and four others Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji stated that the similar case is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and stay has been granted in that case and prayed that this case may be kept pending till the disposal of similar case by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The prayer of the petitioner is allowed.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2018 & M.P.No. 20 of 2018

TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Arvind Pandian, Additional Advocate General appeared for the counsel on record for the petitioner and stated that the petitioner has initially filed the short term power purchase approval petition and subsequently filed an amendment of the prayer seeking the approval for short term as well as medium term power purchase. Arguments heard. The Commission has pointed out the following:

1) The projected demand for 2018-19 and the subsequent years up to 2026-27 is based on the maximum demand of 15440 MW reached on 27-04-2018. Whether the demand and energy projections can be made on the maximum demand or the sustained demand in the grid ?

2) The demand and energy projections and the availability from 2018-19 to 2026-27 do not consider the renewable energy i.e. the wind and solar. In the open access – Third party sale and captive wheeling also only 500 MW has been considered against

the availability of about 1000 MW under this category. During wind season, about 5 months from May to September, TANGEDCO is power surplus and also during north-east monsoon and the following months due to climatic conditions there is a decline in demand from November to February.

3) Under long term case 1 bidding, out of the availability of 2830 MW, only 2000 MW is considered. In the case 1 bidding 3 generators for 1172 MW are using imported coal. Therefore, they should not have any coal problem.

The Commission directed the petitioner that considering the above points 1,2 and 3 the demand and availability may be reassessed.

The Additional Advocate General responded that the additional affidavit will be filed in this regard and pleaded that they may be permitted to go ahead with the MTOA quantum up to 1000 MW only for the present and the short term purchase may be decided based on the submission by TANGEDCO on the above issues raised by the Commission. The petitioner is permitted to proceed with MTOA, as prayed by the petitioner. The petitioner is further advised to negotiate with PTC for having annual and monthly PLF for the purpose of incentives and penalties.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 5 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another Respondents
Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Vinod Kumar appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Ravi Kishore and Thiru Guntur Pramod Kumar, Advocates appeared for R2. The counsel for the petitioner prayed short adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 20 of 2011

MMS Steel & Power Pvt.Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another

..... Respondents
Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji prayed time for filing the rejoinder. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 24 of 2011

Terra Energy Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for both side prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 25 of 2011

Terra Energy Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for both side prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 26 of 2011

Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for both side prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 27 of 2011

Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for both side prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar

.... Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal

.... Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao

.... Member

M.P.No. 27 of 2016

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate

Hearing dated : 16-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Arvind Pandian, Additional Advocate General appeared for the counsel on record for the respondent and submitted that the respondent stood by its earlier counter filed by the respondent and PPA had no validity since the petitioner has not attained financial closing date as specified by the Commission. The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Sathya Kumar, Vice-President of the petitioner/company argued and prayed time for filing additional affidavit after reworking the variable cost so that the same will be minimal. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission