

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 1 of 2013

OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

- 1) PTC India Ltd.,
- 2) TANGEDCO
- 3) TANTRANSO

..... Respondents

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R1.

Thiru Abdul Saleem & Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for R2 & R3.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R1. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R2 & R3. The counsel for R1 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R1.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 2 of 2013

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

- 1) PTC India Ltd.,
- 2) TANGEDCO
- 3) TANTRANSCO

..... Respondents

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R1.
Thiru Abdul Saleem & Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for R2 & R3.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R1. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R2 & R3. The counsel for R1 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R1.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

D.R.P.No.7 of 2013

OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No.8 of 2013

OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

D.R.P.No.9 of 2013

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

D.R.P.No.10 of 2013

OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No.11 of 2013

OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

D.R.P.No.12 of 2013

OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) PTC India Ltd.,

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel represented for R1.

Thiru Pramod Kumar represented for R2.

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Pramod Kumar, Advocate represented for R2. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate & Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared for R1. The counsel for R2 prayed two weeks time for further arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of R2.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

D.R.P.No. 31 of 2013

K.S.R.Textiles (P) Ltd.,

Versus

TANGEDCO and another

..... Petitioner
Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

..... Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that R&C measures were not in force and therefore not pressed for the prayer.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.23 of 2013

Auromira Biopower India Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and filed the copy of the Order passed by the National Company Law Tribunal, Division Bench, Chennai which ordered for liquidation of the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner further stated that the petitioner/company has been wound up and therefore, the prayer of the petitioner has become infructuous and may be treated as closed.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru G.Rajagopal Member

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No. 3 of 2013

Yogalakshmi Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another

..... Respondents

Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 09-10-2018

DAILY ORDER

The case was heard and reserved by the earlier Commission consisting of two members in which one of the member Thiru Nagalsamy demitted office before pronouncement of the order. Therefore, the case was posted for further arguments today. Thiru Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and prayed to await the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission