

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2017 & M.P.No. 14 of 2017

M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., Petitioner
Versus	
CE,NCES of TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Saravanan, the junior counsel of Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Arguments heard. The impleading petition filed by the petitioner praying to implead the 2nd respondent i.e The Chief Financial Controller, Revenue of TANGEDCO is allowed. The respondent is directed to file the counter within four weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 15 of 2017

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

P.P.A.P.No. 6 of 2017

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The Chief Engineer, NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. Petitioner's request to issue LOI to the successful contestant in the bid is allowed. Detailed orders will be issued.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 38 of 2014

T.T. Industries Petitioner
Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and four others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Saravanan, the junior counsel of Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner filed the Photostat copy of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to payment of interest. The standing counsel for respondents stated that they have filed the Review Petition. Arguments heard. The respondents were directed to file the status report of the case pending before the Supreme Court. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 39 of 2014

T.T. Ltd., Petitioner
Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and four others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Saravanan, the junior counsel of Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner filed the Photostat copy of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to payment of interest. The standing counsel for respondents stated that they have filed the Review Petition. Arguments heard. The respondents were directed to file the status report of the case pending before the Supreme Court. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

In the matter of direction issued by Hon'ble HC in W.P.No. 5196 of 2017

Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Sakhya Choudhary, Advocate appeared for the counsel on record.
Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments heard on the interim application. Both parties directed to file their written submission within one week. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 12 of 2012

Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner called absent. No one represented for the petitioner. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**

Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

M.P.No. 25 of 2014

Pioneer Power Ltd., **Petitioner**

Versus

TANGEDCO and two other. **Respondents**

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Sathyaseelan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 43 of 2012

Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Association Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Narasimhan, Advocate appeared and prayed for adjournment of the case as his senior counsel is out of station. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 44 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for petitioner appeared and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 and M.P.No. 7 of 2013

Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Assn., Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Saravanan, the junior counsel of Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 5 of 2016

Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., and another. Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Benjamin George. Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for 2nd respondent. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for respondents prayed time for filing counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner. Thiru Selvaraj, a consumer of Electricity filed an intervening application. The Petitioner / Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., and Respondents were directed to file their reply on the intervening application within two weeks. The petitioner pressed that their arguments may be heard and were permitted to argue. The counsel for respondents directed to file their counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 6 of 2016

Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., and another. Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Benjamin George. Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for 2nd respondent. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for respondents prayed time for filing counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner. Thiru Selvaraj, a consumer of Electricity filed an intervening application. The Petitioner / Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., and Respondents were directed to file their reply on the intervening application within two weeks. The petitioner pressed that their arguments may be heard and were permitted to argue. The counsel for respondents directed to file their counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 9 of 2016

Ind-Barath Powergencom Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., and another. Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Benjamin George. Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for 2nd respondent. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for respondents prayed time for filing counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner. Thiru Selvaraj, a consumer of Electricity filed an intervening application. The Petitioner / Ind-Barath Powergencom Ltd., and Respondents were directed to file their reply on the intervening application within two weeks. The petitioner pressed that their arguments may be heard and were permitted to argue. The counsel for respondents directed to file their counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 17 of 2016

M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru K.Seshadri, Advocate
Versus	
1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Benjamin George. Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for 2nd respondent. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for respondents prayed time for filing counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner. Thiru Selvaraj, a consumer of Electricity filed an intervening application. The Petitioner / Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., and Respondents were directed to file their reply on the intervening application within two weeks. The petitioner pressed that their arguments may be heard and were permitted to argue. The counsel for respondents directed to file their counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 18 of 2016

M/s.Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru K.Seshadri, Advocate
Versus	
1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Benjamin George. Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Abdul Saleem, Advocate appeared for 2nd respondent. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for respondents prayed time for filing counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner. Thiru Selvaraj, a consumer of Electricity filed an intervening application. The Petitioner / Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., and Respondents were directed to file their reply on the intervening application within two weeks. The petitioner pressed that their arguments may be heard and were permitted to argue. The counsel for respondents directed to file their counter on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner within 2 weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 27 of 2016

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 19-9-2017

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission