

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2016 & R.P.No. 2 of 2016**

TANGEDCO	Versus	..... Petitioner
Chennai Metro Rail Ltd.,		..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. The counsel for petitioner prayed time. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 38 of 2014**

T.T. Industries ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and four others. .... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The standing counsel for respondent prayed two weeks time to file the status report of the case pending before the Supreme Court. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 39 of 2014**

T.T. Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and four others.	..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The standing counsel for respondent prayed two weeks time to file the status report of the case pending before the Supreme Court. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 12 of 2012**

Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal	..... Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for petitioner prayed two weeks time to furnish the status report of the case pending before the Supreme Court. The time prayed for is granted.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 25 of 2014**

Pioneer Power Ltd.,	Versus	..... Petitioner
TANGEDCO and two other.		..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for petitioner called absent. No one represented for the petitioner. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 43 of 2012**

Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Association	..... Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Narasimhan, the junior counsel of Thiru N.LRajah, Advocate appeared and presented the case. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The respondent promised to file a fresh protocol that would be followed in case of resorting to load shedding within a week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 44 of 2012**

TANGEDCO	..... Petitioner
Versus	
Nil	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petitioner promised to file a fresh protocol that would be followed in case of resorting to load shedding within a week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 and M.P.No. 7 of 2013**

Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Assn., ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
TANGEDCO ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru R.S.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The respondent promised to file a fresh protocol that would be followed in case of resorting to load shedding within a week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 82 of 2013**

SESA Sterlite Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, the counsel for petitioner appeared and presented the case.  
Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 34 of 2015**

Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO and another.	..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. The respondents are directed to file the additional affidavit within two weeks clarifying whether any similar petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. The respondent/TANGEDCO is directed to file the procedure being followed by them presently in respect of levy of harmonics surcharge.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 25 of 2015**

Southern Railway	..... Petitioner
Versus	
TANGEDCO and another.	..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. Both parties are directed to file their written submission within two weeks specifically responding to the question whether the pending case before the High Court in the subject matter would have impact in this case and whether the Commission could proceed with this case.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 21 of 2016**

Palladam Hi-Tech Weaving Park	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Both side arguments heard. The counsel for both side directed to file their written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 20 of 2016**

SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt., Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Both side arguments heard. The counsel for both side directed to file their written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 19 of 2016**

Open Access Users Association	..... Petitioner
	M/s.MSA Partners
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate represented for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Both side arguments heard. The counsel for both side directed to file their written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 27 of 2016**

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 25-10-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Both side arguments heard. The petitioner promised to submit the finalized proposal to TANGEDCO before 31-10-2017 and seek their concurrence on the same. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**