

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2017 and R.P.No. 3 of 2017**

The Chief Financial Controller / Regulatory Cell  
TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Nil Versus  
Nil ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Financial Controller/Regulatory Cell of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. None of the grounds for reviewing the order passed by the Commission in M.P.No.39 of 2012 has been made out. Therefore the review petition is not admitted.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**M.P.No. 4 of 2017**

CE,PPP  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Superintending Engineer/PPP of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petitioner was directed to file affidavit within two weeks clarifying that the option proposed is economically the most optimum one.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**M.P.No. 5 of 2017**

CE,PPP  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Superintending Engineer/PPP of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petitioner was directed to file affidavit within two weeks clarifying that the option proposed is economically the most optimum one.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**M.P.No. 7 of 2017**

CE,PPP  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Muthu Kumar, the junior counsel of Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for TANGEDCO and prayed three weeks time. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 9 of 2017**

CE,NCES  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer/NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The petitioner was directed to host the petition on the website of the TANGEDCO for obtaining the stakeholder's comments / suggestions by giving one month time.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 10 of 2017**

CE,NCES  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Nil                      Versus

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer/NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The petitioner may proceed with the tender process taking into account the observation made by the Commission in respect of charging the developers for improvement in the sub-station. Detailed order will be passed.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar ..... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal ..... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao ..... Member

**M.P.No. 11 of 2017**

CE,GTS  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer/GTS of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The case shall be posted for arguments in the next sitting.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**P.P.A.P.No. 1 of 2017**

CE,PPP  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Nil                      Versus

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Superintending Engineer/PPP of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Arguments heard. The petitioner was directed to file affidavit with regard to principles followed while giving backing down instructions, within two weeks. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**P.P.A.P.No. 4 of 2017**

Pallavapuram Tambaram MSW Private Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and four others.	..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The petitioner appeared in person. The petitioner prayed for specific tariff for their project. Arguments heard. The petition is not admitted.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**R.P.No. 3 of 2016**

Cuddalore Powergen Corporation Ltd.,	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO	..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Muthu Kumar, the junior counsel of Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for TANGEDCO. Arguments heard. TANGEDCO is directed to file an affidavit, specifically answering the issue raised by the petitioner in this petition that the oral submission made by the Chief Engineer during the hearing held on 28-4-2016 is not that of the TANGEDCO but that of the Chief Engineer on her personal capacity.

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman  
Thiru G.Rajagopal .... Member  
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao .... Member

**M.P.No. 8 of 2017**

CE,NCES  
TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner  
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Nil Versus

..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Engineer/NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and informed that based on the direction of the Commission the tender has been floated. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
(T.Prabhakara Rao)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(G. Rajagopal)  
Member

(Sd.....)  
(S.Akshayakumar)  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal	.... Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao	.... Member

**M.P.No. 16 of 2016**

National Solar Energy Federation of India	..... Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and three others.	..... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 2-6-2017**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Muthu Kumar, the junior counsel of Thiru M.Gopinathan represented for TANGEDCO and prayed time as his senior counsel is out of station. The counsel for the petitioner prayed to direct the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to file statement on daily basis for at least two weeks stating reasons whenever instruction for backing down of solar power is issued. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent was directed to submit daily reports detailing the total power absorbed from solar plants, instructions issued for backing down with specific mention on the reason/s for such backing down instructions etc.,

(Sd.....)  
**(T.Prabhakara Rao)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**