

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

P.R.C.No. 1 of 2016

SEI Kathiravan Power Private Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO and another. Respondents
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and in support of his argument he filed APTEL order dated 11-5-2016. Argument heard on the maintainability of the petition. Orders reserved on the maintainability of the petition.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 8 of 2016

Ind-Barath Power Infra Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared and filed counter affidavit. Arguments on both sides heard. Orders reserved on the maintainability of the petition.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 12 of 2016

TANGEDCO Petitioner
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel
Nil Respondent

Versus

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Gas Turbine Scheme of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Argument heard. The petition is admitted. The petitioner was directed to file additional affidavit detailing the reasons for such abnormal number of instances of emergency situations necessitating operation of the plant. Steps taken to avoid such instances shall also be indicated. In addition, the quantum of fuel stock kept at the plant with reasons shall also be filed. The case will be taken up upon filing of the additional affidavit.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

I.A.No.1 of 2016 & R.P.No. 1 of 2016

Indian Wind Power Association Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondents appeared. Arguments on both sides heard. Orders reserved on the admissibility of the petition.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 13 of 2016

CE,NCES of TANGEDCO Petitioner
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel
Nil	Versus
 Respondent

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The Superintending Engineer, NCES of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case. Argument heard. The petitioner was directed to file the additional affidavit with regard to details as sought for by the Commission within one week. The case shall be listed for arguments thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 39 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the petitioner appeared. The Chief Financial Controller, Regulatory Cell of TANGEDCO filed the additional affidavit along with Booklet I, II and annexures. The case shall be listed after scrutinizing the CIP of Distribution, Generation and projects for the Financial year 2014-15 & 2015-16 by the staff of the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**
Thiru G.Rajagopal **Member**
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao **Member**

R.A.No. 1 of 2013

1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt.,Ltd.,
2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd.,
..... Petitioners
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another.
..... Respondents
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The standing counsel for the petitioner prayed further time for filing the due drawn statement. The Commission expressed its concern over the attitude of TANTRANSCO in seeking adjournment repeatedly for filing the due drawn statement despite knowing fully well that the case is dragging on for years. In the absence of the respondent/TANTRANSCO filing the statement, the Commission directed the petitioner to file the same with the details available with them for proceeding further in the matter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

R.A.No. 1 of 2014

OPG Renewable Energy Private Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Arun Anbumani, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru N.L.Rajah, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. The case is clubbed with R.A.No. 4 of 2014. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared and filed the compliance report. The petitioner was directed to file the rejoinder within one week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

R.A.No. 2 of 2014

Tamil Nadu Power Producers Association Petitioner
	Thiru Arun Anbumani, Advocate
Versus	
TANTRANSCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru N.L.Rajah, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

R.A.No. 4 of 2014

The South India Mills Association Petitioner
	Thiru Arun Anbumani, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru N.L.Rajah, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. The case is clubbed with R.A.No. 1 of 2014. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. The petitioner was directed to file the rejoinder within one week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 26 of 2015

CE,Mechanical Thermal Station Petitioner
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel
Nil Respondent

Versus

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Mechanical Thermal Station of TANGEDCO appeared and presented the case and filed the additional affidavit. Argument heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 4 of 2016

Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Seshadri Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. The case is clubbed with M.P.No.7 of 2016. Arguments on both sides heard. The petitioner was directed to implead the Secretary, Energy Department as a party and serve the copy of the petition. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 5 of 2016

Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Seshadri Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. The case is clubbed with M.P.Nos. 6 & 9 of 2016. Arguments on both sides heard. The petitioner was directed to implead the Secretary, Energy Department as a party and serve the copy of the petition. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 6 of 2016

Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Seshadri Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. The case is clubbed with M.P.Nos. 5 & 9 of 2016. Arguments on both sides heard. The petitioner was directed to implead the Secretary, Energy Department as a party and serve the copy of the petition. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 7 of 2016

Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Seshadri Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. The case is clubbed with M.P.No. 4 of 2016. Arguments on both sides heard. The petitioner was directed to implead the Secretary, Energy Department as a party and serve the copy of the petition. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 9 of 2016

Ind-Barath Powergencom Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Seshadri Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the respondent appeared. Thiru A.R.L.Sundaresan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent. The case is clubbed with M.P.Nos. 5 & 6 of 2016. Arguments on both sides heard. The petitioner was directed to implead the Secretary, Energy Department as a party and serve the copy of the petition. The case shall be taken up thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru G.Rajagopal Member
Thiru T.Prabhakara Rao Member

M.P.No. 10 of 2016

TANTRANSCO Petitioner
	Thiru M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel
	Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 2-6-2016

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, TANTRANSCO appeared. Thiru M.Gopinathan, the standing counsel for the petitioner appeared and prayed three weeks time. The time prayed for is granted. The case shall be taken up after three weeks.

(Sd.....)
(T.Prabhakara Rao)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission