

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 29 of 2015

Essel Infraprojects Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi Revathi M.Kannan, the junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji prayed time as her senior is not in station. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 38 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus

1) TASMA
2) IWPA
3) SIMA Respondents

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. R3 has to file the counter. Thirumathi Varalakshmi, the standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed time as the senior counsel leading the case is not available. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 39 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi Varalakshmi, the Standing counsel for the petitioner appeared for the petitioner. The Commission directs the staff of the Commission to scrutinize the petition and submit the report within three weeks. The petitioner shall furnish such details required in such formats as required by the staff of the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 44 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru P.H. Arvind Pandian, the Additional Advocate General appeared for TANGEDCO and prayed for short adjournment of the case in view of his pressing engagement in the High Court. The Learned counsel Thiru N.L.Rajah appearing for the petitioner insisted that the case to be heard early in case the argument of the petitioner's counsel is conceded. The case will be taken up on 20-4-2015.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 43 of 2012

Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Association Petitioner
	Thiru N.L.Rajah, Advocate
Versus	
CMD, TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru P.H. Arvind Pandian, the Additional Advocate General appeared for TANGEDCO and prayed for short adjournment of the case in view of his pressing engagement in the High Court. The Learned counsel Thiru N.L.Rajah appearing for the petitioner insisted that the case to be heard early in case the argument of the petitioner's counsel is conceded. The case will be taken up on 20-4-2015.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.Nos.1&2 of 2013 in M.P.No. 7 of 2013

Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Petitioner
	Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate
Versus	
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru P.H. Arvind Pandian, the Additional Advocate General appeared for TANGEDCO and prayed for short adjournment of the case in view of his pressing engagement in the High Court. The Learned counsel Thiru N.L.Rajah appearing for the petitioner insisted that the case to be heard early in case the argument of the petitioner's counsel is conceded. The case will be taken up on 20-4-2015.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 12 of 2012

Gangadhar Narsingdas Agarwal Petitioner
	Thiru Srinath Sridevan, Advocate
	Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thirumathi Varalakshmi, the Standing counsel for the respondent mentioned that the issue is pending in the Supreme Court and prayed to adjourn the case. The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties and will be listed after the order on the issue is pronounced by the Supreme Court.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 25 of 2014

Pioneer Power Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Satyaseelan, Advocate
Versus
1)TANGEDCO
2) M (G)
3) CE, IPP Respondents

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the issue is pending in the Supreme Court and prayed to defer the case. The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties and will be listed after the order on the issue is pronounced by the Supreme Court.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 15 of 2013

Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate
	Versus
1) TANGEDCO	
2)SE, Nagapattinam EDC Respondents

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner filed the copy of the reconciliation meeting details held on 28-1-2015. The case is disposed of with the direction to the respondent to settle the payment of arrears as agreed to by both the parties within three weeks and file compliance report.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 32 of 2014

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Nil	Versus
 Respondent

Hearing dated : 17-4-2015

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Gas Turbine Scheme appeared and presented the case. The petitioner was directed to file the additional affidavit giving details of date and time of operation of this plant whether the IPPs were generating power at that time etc.,

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission