

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 36 of 2015

M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

Ms. Nayanthika the junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji argued the case. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondent was directed to file the counter within 2 weeks. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 38 of 2015

Indus Towers Ltd., Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

The Legal consultant of the petitioner / company prayed for short adjournment of the case as their energy consultant is out of station. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012

TANGEDCO	 Petitioner
	Versus	
Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd.,	 Respondent
		Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
	and	
Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd.,	 Petitioner
		Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

TANGEDCO
Versus

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.A.No. 1 of 2013

1) Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt.,Ltd.,
2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Petitioners

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.A.No. 6 of 2013

1) Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., and 8 others. Petitioners

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru Rahul Balaji prayed time for arguments. Thiru Parthasarathy the counsel for Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association filed an interim application. The counsel for the respondents prayed 2 weeks time for filing the reply. The time prayed for is granted. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 19 of 2014

Union of India, Southern Railway Petitioner
Thirumathi R.Sathyabama, Advocate
Versus
1) TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi R.Sathyabama, Advocate prayed time as the senior counsel Thiru Radhakrishnan who is leading the case is held up in the first bench of the Madras High Court. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner. No further adjournment will be granted.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 28 of 2014

Union of India, Southern Railway Petitioner
Thiru Siddharth Bahety, Advocate
Versus
1) TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi R.Sathyabama, Advocate represented for the counsel on record Thiru Siddharth Bahety and prayed time as the senior counsel Thiru Radhakrishnan who is leading the case is held up in the first bench of the Madras High Court. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner. No further adjournment will be granted.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 37 of 2015

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Sharadha Terry Products Ltd., Respondent

Hearing dated : 28-10-2015

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi R.Sathyabama, Advocate represented for the respondent and prayed time as the senior counsel Thiru Radhakrishnan, who is leading the case is held up in the Madras High Court. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission