

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy	.... Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal	.... Member

**M.P.No. 15 of 2014**

CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO	.....	Petitioner
Nil	Versus	Respondent

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Chief Financial Controller, Revenue, TANGEDCO represented for the petitioner. He filed additional affidavit as directed by the Commission in the earlier hearing. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	.... Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy	.... Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal	.... Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 48 of 2014**

M/s.Super Sales India Ltd.,	.....	Petitioner
	Versus	
TANGEDCO and three others.	.....	Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The petitioner called absent and no one represented for the petitioner. The counsel for the respondents stated that he filed the counter on 24-6-2014 and prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	....	Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy	....	Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal	....	Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 50 of 2014**

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd.,	.....	Petitioner
	Versus	
TANGEDCO and four others.	.....	Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for respondents prayed 2 weeks time for filing the counter. The time prayed for is granted. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	....	Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy	....	Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal	....	Member

**I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 44 of 2014**

M/s.Mirra and Mirra Industries	.....	Petitioner
Versus		
TANGEDCO and four others.	.....	Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the arguments of the similar case i.e D.R.P.No.19 of 2013 filed by Century Floor Mills Ltd., are completed and orders are reserved. Therefore, he prayed to adjourn the case till the pronouncement of the order in D.R.P.No. 19 of 2013. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy .... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal .... Member

**S.M.P.No. 1 of 2014**

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

Versus

1) Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd.,and 55 others. .... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru. Saravanan, Advocate prayed time for filing vakalatnama for the respondents. The counsel for the respondents also prayed two weeks time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the both parties.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy .... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal .... Member

**M.P.No. 19 of 2014**

Southern Railway ..... Petitioner

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner prayed to adjourn the case as their senior is out of station and also prayed to club with M.P.No.28 of 2014. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy .... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal .... Member

**M.P.No. 25 of 2014**

M/s.Pioneer Power Ltd., ..... Petitioner

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent / TANGEDCO has preferred an appeal before the Supreme Court and the same has been listed for hearing on 7-7-2014. Therefore, this case may be posted after 7-7-2014. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar .... Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy .... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal .... Member

**M.A.P.No. 3 of 2007**

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

Versus

Madurai Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd., ..... Respondent

**Hearing dated : 30-6-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the respondent prayed three months time for completion of the negotiation but agreed to complete the same in 2 months. Two months time is granted. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(G. Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Nagalsamy)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S.Akshayakumar)**  
Chairman

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**