

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

M.P.No. 31 of 2013

A.R.S.Metals Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 25-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner argued that the petition had been filed under section 10(1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking approval for erecting dedicated transmission line for specific purpose. Heard the arguments. The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file their counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No. 32 of 2013

Suryadev Alloys and Power Private Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others. Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 25-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The petition is clubbed along with D.R.P.No.33 of 2013 and D.R.P.No.34 of 2013. The counsel for the petitioner argued on the maintainability of the petition stating that the generator was also an aggrieved party and he had a legal grievance. He cited the order passed by the Commission in M.P.No.3 of 2008 and relied upon the following citations also : (1) 2011 ELR (APTEL) 799. (2) Appeal No.222 of 2012. (3) 2011 ELR (APTEL) 0303. (4) 2010 ELR (APTEL) 1238. The counsel for the respondent in support of his argument relied on the following citations and document: 1) Orders passed in W.P.Nos.26395 & 26396 of 2013 by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. (2) High Tension Bill for the month of May 2010 relating to Spictex Cotton Mills and (3) D.R.P.No.29 of 2012. Heard the arguments. The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file their counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No. 33 of 2013

A.R.S. Metals Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 25-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The petition is clubbed with D.R.P.No.32 of 2013 and D.R.P.No.34 of 2013. The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file their counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No. 34 of 2013

Tulsyan NEC Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 25-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The petition is clubbed with D.R.P.No.32 of 2013 and D.R.P.No.33 of 2013. The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file their counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P.No. 9 of 2013

Kamachi Sponge and Power Corporation Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

The Chief Engineer, PPP
TANGEDCO, 6th Floor, Eastern Wing
144, Anna Salai, Chennai.

..... Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 25-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner presented the case. The petition is admitted. The respondent shall file their counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission