TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-			
Thiru. S. Akshayakumar		c	Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy			Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal			Member
<u>!</u>	M.P.No. 24 of 2012	2	
Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Pape \ Nil	Thir ersus	Petitioner u Rahul Balaji, Advoo Responde	
<u>Hea</u>	ring dated : 22-9-		
Counsel for the petitione pronounced later on.	er was present.	Orders not ready	and will be
(Sd) (G. Rajagopal) Member / Tru	(Sd) (S.Nagalsamy) Member ue Copy /) ayakumar) rman

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-			
Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	•		Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy			Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal			Member
	R.P.No. 4 of	2012	
TANGEDCO	Vorous		Petitioner
Nil	Versus		Respondent
	Hearing dated :	<u>22-9-2014</u>	
	DAILY O	RDER	
Orders pronounced	d in the open court.		
(Sd) (G. Rajagopal) Member	(Sd (S.Nagalsa Member		(Sd) (S.Akshayakumar) Chairman
	/ True Copy /		

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	Central Act 36	<u>of 2003)</u>	
Thiru. S. Akshayakuma	r		Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy			Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal			Member
<u>M.A.P</u>	.No.2 of 2007 and M	I.A.P.No. 1 of 20	<u>12</u>
TANGEDCO	Maraus		Petitioner
Samalpatti Power Compa	Versus any Pvt. Ltd., and	Respondent Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate	
Samalpatti Power Compa	any Pvt. Ltd., Versus		Petitioner alaji, Advocate espondent
TANGEDCO	Haaring data		espondent
	Hearing date DAILY O		
Counsel for both	sides were present.	Both parties pra	ayed two weeks time
for settling the issues.	Both the parties we	ere directed to ha	ave fresh look at the
disputes to come to a co	nsensus as the case	is delayed too lo	ng. The time prayed
for is granted.			
(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)

/ True Copy /

(S.Nagalsamy)

Member

(G. Rajagopal)

Member

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

(S.Akshayakumar)

Chairman

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	Central Act 36 of 2003	1		
Thiru. S. Akshayakumar		Chairman		
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy		Member		
Thiru. G.Rajagopal		Member		
	M.P.No. 34 of 2012			
Brakes India Ltd.,	 T Versus	Petitioner hiru N.L.Rajah, Advocate		
TANGEDCO	versus	Respondent		
	Hearing dated : 22-9-20	<u>114</u>		
	DAILY ORDER			
Thiru Narasimhan th	he junior counsel of Thiru	N.L.Rajah represented for the		
petitioner and prayed short	t adjournment of the case.	The case is adjourned at the		
request of the petitioner.				
(Sd) (G. Rajagopal) Member	(Sd) (S.Nagalsamy) Member	(Sd) (S.Akshayakumar) Chairman		

/ True Copy /

\	Central Act 36 of 2003)
PRESENT:-	Gential Act 30 of 2003)
Thiru. S. Akshayakumar	Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 4 of 2012

Indian Wind Power Association	Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	•
Nil	Respondent

Hearing dated : 22-9-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. The counsel for the petitioner prayed short adjournment of the case as the affidavit filed by the SLDC was received by him only on today. The Commission directed that the case can be taken up for further hearing only after the petition filed before the High Court by some other interested parties is disposed of.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(G. Rajagopal)	(S.Nagalsamy)	(S.Akshayakumar)
Member	Member	Chairman

/ True Copy /

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-				
Thiru. S. Akshayakumar			C	hairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy				Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal				Member
	S.M.P.No. 1 of	f 2014		
TANGEDCO			Petitioner	
1) Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., a	Versus and 55 others.		Responder	nts
<u>!</u>	Hearing dated : 2	<u>22-9-2014</u>		
	<u>DAILY OI</u>	RDER		
The counsel for both	n sides were pre	esent. Th	ne standing	counsel for
TANGEDCO prayed short ac	djournment of the	case. The	case is adjou	urned at the
request of the petitioner.				
(Sd) (G. Rajagopal) Member	(Sd (S.Nagalsar Member	,	(S.Aksha) ıyakumar) rman
I	True Copy /			

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	·:-
-----------	-----

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 30 of 2014

Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 22-9-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The Commission asked the petitioner whether they had examined the possibility of establishing the project within the land stated to have been already acquired and in possession as promised during the last hearing. Petitioner prayed time for filing additional affidavit in this regard. Two weeks time was granted.

/ True Copy /