

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 35 of 2014

TANGEDCO	Petitioner
	Versus	
Nil	Respondent

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The standing counsel for the TANGEDCO represented for the petitioner. Commission has asked whether the solar policy issued by Government is amended to accommodate the classes of consumers. The standing counsel prayed time for furnishing further details. The incomplete / defective petition cannot be admitted unless petition is admitted, the case cannot be adjourned. The petition can be kept pending and let the petitioner come back with amendment.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 60 of 2014

Techno Electric & Engineering Company Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Both parties submitted that they propose to negotiate the issue for finding a possible settlement and prayed that the matter may be adjourned to enable them to negotiate. The petition is admitted. Considering the submission of both parties, the Commission grants 60 days time. Settlement, if any, reached by the parties in the negotiation shall be reported to the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 65 of 2014

Madurai Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd.,	Petitioner
		Thiru Rahul Balaji,Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another.	Respondents
--------------------------	-------	-------------

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 66 of 2014

Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.A.P.No. 1 of 2013

TANGEDCO	Petitioner
	Versus	
Nil	Respondent

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, projects presented the case for the petitioner. He was directed to file the separate petition for each project. The petition is closed.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.A.P.No. 2 of 2013

TANGEDCO	Petitioner
	Versus	
Nil	Respondent

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, civil design presented the case for the petitioner. He was directed to file the separate petition for each project. The petition is closed.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 19 of 2012

Madurai Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Both parties were directed to reconcile their claim and submit the memo within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 27 of 2013

Simran Wind Project Pvt.Ltd.,	Petitioner
		Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
	Versus	
1) TANGEDCO and another.	Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner argued the case. The standing counsel for the respondents prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 25 of 2013

Sun Paper Mill Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate
Versus
1) TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru Saravanan, the junior counsel of Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj prayed time for arguments. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2014

TANGEDCO	Petitioner
Versus		
1) M/s.D.B.Power Ltd., and 10 others.	Respondents

Hearing dated : 30- 7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Superintending Engineer, PPP of TANGEDCO presented the case. While seeking clarification, counsel for TANGEDCO prayed time. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commissions