

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 49 of 2014

Coimbatore Pioneer Fertilizer Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

Ms.R.Nayanthika, the Junior counsel of Thiru.Rahul Balaji represented for the petitioner. Heard the arguments. The petition is admitted. Both parties submitted that they propose to negotiate the issue for finding the possible settlement and prayed that the matter may be adjourned to enable them to negotiate. Considering the submission of both parties, the Commission grants 60 days time. The conclusion, if any, reached by the parties in the negotiation shall be reported to the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 50 of 2014

OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus
1) TANGEDCO and four others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. R.Parthasarathy, the Junior counsel of Thiru.Rahul Balaji represented for the petitioner. He argued that the open access permission was granted by the Director Operation and also the company has raised debit note for interest due from the TANGEDCO amounting Rs.12 crores and prayed for interim relief. The counsel for the respondents argued that the petitioner has not followed the procedure in replying to the demand notice issued by the respondents and opposed for interim relief. The petition is admitted. Interim relief is not granted. The case shall be listed on priority basis. The respondents shall file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 51 of 2014

Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 52 of 2014

M/s.Designco

..... Petitioner
M/s.Sri & Shankar, Associates

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

Both parties submitted that they propose to negotiate the issue for finding the possible settlement and prayed that the matter may be adjourned to enable them to negotiate. Considering the submission of both parties, the Commission grants 60 days time. The conclusion, if any, reached by the parties in the negotiation shall be reported to the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2014

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus
M/s.D.B.Power Ltd., and 10 others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The standing counsel for the petitioner presented the case. The petition was admitted. The petitioner was directed to submit the following details:

- 1) The comparative rates of similar power purchased by the other States.
- 2) The cost of power generation in TANGEDCO's own Thermal Power Station with details of fixed and variable cost.
- 3) Detailed justification for the cost of power purchase.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 25 of 2014

Pioneer Power Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Sathyaseelan, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner argued that the order was issued two years back and had not been executed or complied with. The senior counsel for the respondent replied that the appeal has been filed in the Supreme Court. However, the petition is admitted and the respondent to file the counter within 4 weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

M.P.No. 26 of 2014

Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. K.Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner mentioned that the similar cases have already been argued before the Commission and orders are reserved. The petition is admitted and the outcome of the similar petitions shall be applicable to this petition also.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 27 of 2014

Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. K.Seshadri, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner mentioned that the similar cases have already been argued before the Commission and orders are reserved. The petition is admitted and the outcome of the similar petitions shall be applicable to this petition also.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P.No. 1 of 2011

1) Sakthi Sugars Ltd.,
2)Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Ltd., Petitioners
Thiru. Shivakumar, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner filed the rejoinder today. The counsel for the respondents prayed for time to study and argue the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.P.No. 4 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner introduced the case and mentioned about the interim order issued by the Commission on 19-10-2012. The Review Petition was hosted in the website and the comments were received and comments would be considered by the Commission. The counsel for the Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association also made a point on the Review Petition. The Commission would consider the suggestions received from the public. Arguments concluded. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 12 of 2012

Gangadhar Narsingdas Agarwal Petitioner
Thiru. Srinath Sridevan, Advocate

Versus

TNEB Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The standing counsel for the respondent submitted the copy of the stay order granted by the Supreme Court on this issue. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

M.P.No. 49 of 2012

DCW Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Seethapathy, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner argued the case and he sought relief from the CGRF, Udumalpet Electricity Distribution Circle and also appealed to the Ombudsman, but could not get the relief. In the similar cases, the Commission directed the petitioner and the respondent to go for negotiation and complete the process within 60 days and come back. In this case also, the Commission directs both the petitioner and the respondent to follow the same method and report to the Commission after 60 days.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 20 of 2012

Pioneer Power Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Sathyaseelan, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. Muthukumarasamy, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner.

Thiru. Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 13 of 2012

Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 22 of 2012

M/s. International Institute of Bio Technology and
Toxicology Petitioner
Versus

SE, Chengalpattu EDC, Chengalpattu. Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Junior counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment as the senior counsel was not present due to his personal inconvenience. The case is adjourned and no more adjournment shall be permitted.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2014

The Chief Engineer, PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus
M/s.GMR Power Corporation Ltd., Respondent

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The standing counsel for the petitioner sought for adjournment of the case as the Additional Advocate General who is appearing for the petitioner was pre-occupied with other work. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 31 of 2013

K.S.R.Textiles Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru.R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 29-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner presented the case. The counsel for the respondent and the CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO argued the case. Arguments concluded. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission