

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 3 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Anu Cashews
Archana Aradhana Theatre Building
No.XVI/463, Parameshwar Nagar
Quilon – 691 001.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 4 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Binaguri Tea Company Pvt.Ltd
238A, A.J.C. Bose Road, 4th Floor,
Kolkata.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 5 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

India Fashions Ltd.
A/2, 369, Shah & Nahar Indl Estate
Lower Parel, Mumbai – 13.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 6 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Savita Oil Technologies Limited
66/67, nariman Bhavan,
Nariman Point,
Mumbai- 400 021.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 7 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M.Babanna
No.129. 7th Main,
5th Block, Jayanagar,
Banglore – 560 041.

..... **Petitioner**
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... **Respondents**
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 8 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

P.M.Granite Export Private Limited
No.129. 7th Main,
5th Block, Jayanagar,
Banglore – 560 041.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 9 of 2013

D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s.Spero Power Private Limited
12/1, Bashyam Basheer Ahmeed Street,
Alwarpet, Chennai- 600 018.

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 10 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Scientific Mes-Technik Pvt. Ltd.
B-14, Industrial Estate,
Pologround, Indore- 452 015
Madhya Pradesh, India.

..... **Petitioner**
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... **Respondents**
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 11 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s.E;veety Industrial Pvt. Ltd.
M-16, Industrial Estate,
Gokul Nagar,
Hubli- 580 030.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 12 of 2013

in

D.R.P.No. of 2014

Sri Kumarswamy Mineral export Pvt.ltd.

35,2nd Floor, Park Enclave,

Tuskar Town, Shivajinagar,

Banglore- 560 051.

.....Petitioner

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 13 of 2013

in

D.R.P.No. of 2014

Orange County Resorts and Hotals Ltd.
II Floor, St.Patricks Business Complex,
21 Museum Road, Bangalore – 560 025.
Versus

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 14 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Zuri hotals & Resorts Private Limited
The Zuri varca, goa White Sands
Resorts & Casino,
Varca beach , varca, Salcete,
Goa- 403 721.s

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 15 of 2013

in

D.R.P.No. of 2014

Om Sakthi Wind Power Private Limited
31/11, Rajaji Street, West Saidapet,
Chennai- 600 015.

.....Petitioner.
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 16 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Sree Rayalaseema Hi Strength Hypo Ltd.
Gondiparla – 518 004.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 17 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Dr. S. Krishnakumar
Krishna Kumar Orthopaedic Hospital and
Institute of Orthopaedics Pvt. Ltd.,
15/82E, Near Overbridge
Parvathipuram, Nagercoil.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 18 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Maris Spinners Ltd.,
11, Cathedral Road, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 19 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Texonic Instruments
No.9, Athipattan Street
Mount Road, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 20 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Maris Hotels and Theatres Pvt. Ltd.,
11, Cathedral Road, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 21 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Ltd.,
A-30/B1, Mohan Cooperative
Industrial Area, New Delhi.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 22 of 2013

in

D.R.P.No. of 2014

Mr. R. Madan Mohan Rao
Executive Director
6-2-1012, TGV Mansion
5th Floor, Khairathabad
Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 23 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Powerica Ltd.,
9th Floor, Bakhtawar
Nariman Point, Mumbai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 24 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Devi Sea Foods Ltd.,
D.No.9-14-8/1, C.B.M. Compound
Visakapattanam.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 25 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

VVD and Sons Pvt. Ltd.,
182, Palayamkottai Road
Tuticorin.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 26 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Nalco Metal Products Ltd.,
89, Maravankulam, Tirumangalam
Madurai District.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 27 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

TCP Ltd.,
TCP Sapthagiri Bhawan
No.4, Karpagambal Nagar\
Mylapore, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 28 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd.,
C-22, TTC Industrial Area
Pawane, Navi Mumbai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 29 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

The Metal Powder Company Ltd.,
No.89, Maravankulam, Tirumangalam.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 30 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s. Spike Power Protection
System and Technologies
No.66, New Avadi Road
Kilpauk, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 31 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s. Natesan Synchrocones pvt. Ltd.,
54/4, Paulwels Road
Sripuram Colony
St. Thomas Mount, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 32 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

TCP Ltd.,
TCP Sapthagiri Bhawan
No.4, Karpagambal Nagar,
Mylapore, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 33 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Mangalam Fashions Ltd.,
22, Camac Street, Kolkata.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 34 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Woodside Fashions Ltd.,
22, Camac Street, Kolkata.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 35 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s. Natesan Precision Components
Private Ltd.,

Triveni Apartments
No.6, First Cross Road
Kasturba Nagar, Adyar, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**
Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.R.C.No. 36 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

M/s. Tamilnadu Gears and Shafts
Corporation
Triveni Apartments
No.6, First Cross Road
Kasturba Nagar, Adyar, Chennai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 37 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2014

Nupower Renewables Ltd.,
618, Maker Chambers V
Nariman point, Mumbai.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014 & 30-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Hearing on 29-1-2014

The counsel for the petitioner was present. At the request of the petitioner, the case is adjourned to 30-1-2014.

Hearing on 30-1-2014

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioners. He argued that the case is posted for maintainability of the petition with regard to the fees for further prayer. Argument heard. The Commission directed the registry to number the cases and list the cases for admission and the fees for further prayer may be determined during the course of hearing.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.R.C.No. 38 of 2013
in
D.R.P.No. of 2013

Rajapalayam Mills Ltd.,
Post Box No.1, P.A.C.Ramasamy Raja Salai
Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District.

.....Petitioner
Thiru. N.L. Rajah, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai.

..... Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Delay is condoned and the petition is admitted. Two weeks for filing counter by the respondent.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 19 of 2013

Century Flour Mills Ltd.,

.....Petitioner

Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and three others.

.....Respondents

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Counter filed on 27-1-2014. The case may be posted for arguments.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 11 of 2013

Subhashri Bio Energies Pvt. Ltd.,

.....Petitioner

Thiru. S. Durairaju

Versus

1) The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others.

.....Respondents

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 29-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for respondents prayed short adjournment for filing the counter.

Counter to be filed before 10th February 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2011

The Chief Engineer / NCES
TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai. Petitioner
Vs.

1) M.R.K. Co-Op Sugar Mills, Sethiathope.
and 15 others. Respondents

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 29-1-2014

Counsel for both side were present. Respondent has been asked for the data on the cost of generation. Three week time is given for both the Petitioner and the Respondent. Counter for Respondent No.5 is taken together and would be argued separately since he has a biogas generator.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2011

The Chief Engineer / NCES
TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai. Petitioner
Vs.
1) Arashi Hi-Tech Bio-Power Ltd., and 4 others. Respondents

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 29-1-2014

Counsel for both side were present. Counter for Respondent No.5 is taken together and would be argued separately since he has a biogas generator.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission