

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 53 of 2014

M/s.SRM Civil Works Ltd., Petitioner
	M/s. Gupta and Ravi, Advocates
Versus	
TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the respondents submitted that the Audit Report of the respondents which gave rise to the present petition has since been withdrawn and therefore, the petition has become infructuous. Heard both sides. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 54 of 2014

National Energy Trading and Services Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 56 of 2014

Simran Wind Project Ltd., Petitioner
Versus	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Both parties submitted that they propose to negotiate the issue for finding a possible settlement and prayed that the matter may be adjourned to enable them to negotiate. The petition is admitted. Considering the submission of both parties, the Commission grants 60 days time. Settlement, if any, reached by the parties in the negotiation shall be reported to the Commission.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 57 of 2014

EID Parry (India) Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	

TANGEDCO Respondent
----------	------------------

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 58 of 2014

Ramco Cements Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus	

TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents
--------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 61 of 2014

M/s.Hi Tech Arai Private Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate
Versus

TANGEDCO and four others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondents were directed to file the counter within two weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 15 of 2013

Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Due to some personal inconvenience of the counsel for the TANTRANSCO, the counsel for the TANGEDCO represented him and prayed for short adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 17 of 2012

PTC India Ltd., Petitioner
	M/s.RnR Associates
Versus	
TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate for the respondent represented that the first respondent has changed the name of the company and therefore prayed for adjournment of the case for filing the fresh vakalatnama for the first respondent. The case is adjourned at the request of the first respondent.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 25 of 2012

PTC India Ltd., Petitioner
	M/s.RnR Associates
Versus	
TANGEDCO and another Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Both sides arguments heard. Parties were directed to file the written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 15 of 2011

1) Terra Energy Ltd.,
2) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.,

..... Petitioners
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru R.Thiagarajan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Both sides arguments heard. Parties were directed to file the written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 16 of 2011

1) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.,
2) Terra Energy Ltd.,

..... Petitioners
Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru R.Thiagarajan, Senior Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Both sides arguments heard. Parties were directed to file the written submission within two weeks. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 31 of 2013

A.R.S.Metals Ltd., Petitioner Thiru Seshadri, Advocate
--------------------	--

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondents
-----------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the respondents prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 5 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... Respondent

Hearing dated : 28-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the respondents prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the respondents.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission