

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 31 of 2013

K.S.R. Textiles (P) Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO
2)SE, Mettur EDC

..... Respondents

Hearing date : 27-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner filed an Interim Application. Arguments heard. The Commission is not inclined to give interim relief as it involves detailed examination. The respondents were directed to file the counter within two weeks. The case will be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 27 of 2013

Simran Wind Project Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate
Versus

1) TANGEDCO
2) TANTRANSCO Respondents

Hearing date : 27-1-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner presented the case. The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal

.... **Member**

M.A.P. No. 1 of 2013

TANGEDCO

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

Nil

..... **Respondent**

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Projects presented the case. The petition for fixing the capital cost and tariff determination for the new thermal power plants is provisionally admitted. The petition is incomplete with respect to certain factual particulars. The Chief Engineer, Projects was directed to file a detailed additional affidavit for each plant separately.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.A.P. No. 2 of 2013

TANGEDCO		Petitioner
	Versus		
Nil		Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Civil Designs presented the case. The petition is incomplete with respect to certain factual particulars. He was directed to file a separate petition for each project with all details including the date of COD, total power generated in these projects month wise after COD, justification for the capital cost, etc. The petition is provisionally admitted.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 15 of 2013

Kaveri Gas Power Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) SE, Nagapattinam EDC

..... Respondents

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Learned counsel for the petitioner presented the case. The counsel for the respondent prayed two weeks time for submitting an additional affidavit detailing the payments made and the present position of the petition. He also stated that Rs.73,54,000/- had already been paid on 25th January as the full and final payment. However, the petition is admitted and petitioner is permitted to submit an additional affidavit within two weeks. A copy of the affidavit can be served on the respondents direct and the respondents to file the counter within four weeks.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 52 of 2013

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Gas Turbine Scheme appeared for the petitioner. He prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 73 of 2013

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed for adjournment of the case for two weeks. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 82 of 2013

SESA Sterlite Ltd., Petitioner
Advocate Thiru. Rahul Balaji,
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner presented the case. Arguments heard.
The petition is admitted.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P. No. 6 of 2012

CE, PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

OPG Power Gen. Pvt. Ltd., Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO not pressed for Interim Application i.e reopening of the case and prayed to treat the interim application as additional submission of the TANGEDCO. Thiru. Rahul Balaji, counsel for OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., has no objection. Orders reserved on the main petition.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2012

CE, PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Sterlite Industries (I)Ltd., Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO not pressed for Interim Application i.e reopening of the case and prayed to treat the interim application as additional submission of the TANGEDCO. Thiru. Rahul Balaji, counsel for Sterlite Industries (I) Ltd., has no objection. Orders reserved on the main petition.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

S.M.P. No. 1 of 2013

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Commercial presented the case. He was directed to submit the details about the present situation of the replacement of the defective meters and extending the service connection within the stipulated time frame and also action they proposed to take for the delay during the past period in effecting service connection and replacing defective meters.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

S.M.P. No. 2 of 2013

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 27-01-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Commercial presented the case. He was directed to submit the details about the present situation of the replacement of the defective meters and extending the service connection within the stipulated time frame and also action they proposed to take for the delay during the past period in effecting service connection and replacing defective meters.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission