

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 5 of 2013

TCP Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondents

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner continued his argument and in support of his argument he filed the following citations: (1) (1989) 1 SCC 452.

(2) 2002 (2) CTC 453.

(3) 2013 (1) CTC 500. Arguments of both side heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 12 of 2012

Gangadhar Narsingdas Agarwasl Petitioner

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Thiru.Yasodh Vardhan, Senior counsel who represented the respondent informed that the Special Leave Petition has been filed in the Supreme Court and sought three weeks time to find out the outcome of the admission. Time prayed for is granted. The case shall be listed after three weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2011

The Chief Engineer, NCES Petitioner

Versus

1) Arashi Hi Tech Bio Power Ltd., and 4 others. Respondents

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment of the case. No further adjournment shall be given to the petitioner. The case shall be listed on 7th April 2014. The 5th Respondent was directed to argue his case separately on 7th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2011

The Chief Engineer, NCES Petitioner

Versus

1) M.R.K.Cooperative Sugar Mills and 15 others. Respondents

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment of the case. No further adjournment shall be given to the petitioner. The case shall be listed on 7th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.P.A.P. No. 1 of 2011

1) Sakthi Sugars Ltd.,
2)TNPL

..... **Petitioners**
Thiru. Shivakumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO

..... **Respondent**

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the respondent filed the counter today duly serving it on the counsel for the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner prayed for time for filing a rejoinder. The case shall be listed after three weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 20 of 2011

MMS Steel and Power Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

TANGEDCO Versus Respondent

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The standing counsel for the respondent prayed time for argument. The Commission directed the petitioner to implead the PTC as first respondent. The case shall be listed on 7th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 4 of 2011

JSW Steel Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Iyer & Thomas, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The petitioner argued to provide grid support to consumers having their own CPP within the premises. A general policy has to be framed for which TANGEDCO was directed to submit the feasibility report taking into account the technical parameters, financial aspects etc., TANGEDCO shall submit the report within four weeks. The case shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 3 of 2011

JSW Steel Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Iyer & Thomas, Advocate
Versus
TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing date : 25-3-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. Order reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission