

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 6 of 2012**

OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2012**

Sterlite Industries (I) Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2013**

Kamachi Sponge and Power Corp. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. N.L.Rajah, Advocate

TANGEDCO Versus ..... Respondent

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

The counsel for the petitioner called absent. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner prayed for adjournment. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2012**

Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 1 of 2013**

M/s.Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt.Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the petitioner specifically submitted that apart from fixing tariff for infirm power, the Commission may be pleased to direct the respondents to pay for the infirm power injected into the grid from 17-10-2012 to 25-10-2012 also. Heard the arguments. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 2 of 2013**

M/s.Tulsyan NEC Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 3 of 2013**

Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 6 of 2013**

ARS Metals Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 7 of 2013**

OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member  
Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2013**

Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus  
1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the arguments. The Commission has already decided the issue of fixation of tariff for infirm power in P.P.A.P.Nos. 6 and 8 of 2012. Since the present petition is also for fixation of tariff for infirm power, similar orders shall be hosted in the website of the Commission.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**D.R.P. No. 1 of 2013**

OPG Renewable Energy pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the 1st Respondent prayed time for filing the counter. He was directed to file the counter within two weeks. The case shall be listed on 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**D.R.P. No. 2 of 2013**

OPG Renewable Energy pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and two others. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the 1st Respondent prayed time for filing the counter. He was directed to file the counter within two weeks. The case shall be listed on 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**D.R.P. No. 3 of 2013**

Yogalakshmi Spinning Mills Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru.R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Both the parties prayed for adjournment. The case is adjourned for two weeks.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru.S.Nagalsamy** .... **Member**

**Thiru. G.Rajagopal** .... **Member**

**D.R.P. No. 4 of 2013**

Rajaguru Spinning Mills (P) Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru.R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. When the case was taken up for hearing the counsel for the respondent submitted that the Audit objection and notice thereon dated 29-12-2012 which resulted in the filing of the above D.R.P. by the petitioner have been withdrawn by the respondent and therefore nothing survives in this case. Considering the above factual position, the D.R.P. is closed.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

**D.R.P. No. 5 of 2013**

TCP Ltd., ..... Petitioner  
Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO and another. .... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Counsel for both side were present. Arguments part heard. The case shall be listed on 25th March 2014 for final arguments.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
**Member**

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal ..... Member

TANGEDCO ..... **S.M.P. No. 2 of 2012** Petitioner

Versus

1) Thiru. D.R.Subbaian  
2)Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani ..... Respondents

**Hearing date : 19-3-2014**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru. Rahul Balaji represented that the counsel for the respondent is not well and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case shall be listed on 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)  
**(G.Rajagopal)**  
Member

(Sd.....)  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
Member

/ True Copy /

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**