

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 39 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 9-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Financial Controller, General, TANGEDCO has already submitted the capitalization details for the year 2010-11 to December 2013. He was further directed to submit the capitalization details of distribution for the year 2013-14 upto December 2013 before 30th April 2014 and the rest of the information by 31st May 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

M.P. No. 38 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 9-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The petitioner filed the impleading petition and prayed one week time for argument. As the impleading petition was served only on today, the counsel for respondents prayed time for filing the vakalatnama and the counter. They were directed to file the counter within four weeks after serving a copy on the other side. The case shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 20 of 2014

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 9-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Mechanical Thermal Station, TANGEDCO presented the case. The petition is admitted. The Chief Engineer was directed to submit further details on the fixed costs, manpower etc of ETPS and also a comparative statement with other Thermal Power Stations within two weeks.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P. No. 46 of 2014

Simran Wind Projects Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

Hearing dated : 9-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. The petition is admitted. The respondent was directed to file the counter within four weeks. The case shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

S.M.P. No. 4 of 2013

Electro Automotive (Madras) Pvt. Ltd., **Petitioner**

Versus

1) Thiru.N.Azhagarsami and three others. **Respondents**

Hearing dated : 9-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. All the four respondents appeared in person. The counsel for the petitioner argued that the respondents have not followed the normal procedure in making the assessment. The respondents have not submitted the reports and other records as directed in the last hearing. They were directed to submit the details within a week and serve a copy to the petitioner. The case shall be listed in the first week of May 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission