

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012

Karmic Business Specialities Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. P.Subba Reddy, Advocate
Versus
The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others. Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner called absent and no one represented for the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner was also not present on the previous hearing on 12-2-2013 and another opportunity was given today. He failed to utilize the opportunity. The petitioner has not shown interest in his case. The petition is dismissed.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.P.No. 1 of 2012

Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and two others.

..... Respondents

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The counsel for the review petitioner argued that there is a mistake of fact in the Commission's order dated 3-11-2011. He further argued that he could comply with provisions of section 2.8 and section 9 of the Act but not able to fulfill the rule 3 of the Electricity rules prescribing the minimum consumption of 51% by the captive user. This is only an interpretation and not a mistake of fact in the Order. The review petition is dismissed.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 5 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and standing counsel for TANGEDCO were present. Thiru. Mahesh, Advocate representing R2 prayed for adjournment to 4th April 2014 as the senior counsel appearing for R2 is from Delhi. Counsels for petitioner and R1 have no objection for adjournment. The case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 3 of 2011

JSW Steel Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Iyer & Thomas, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Junior counsel from M/s. Iyer & Thomas, Advocates prayed four weeks time for presenting the case by the technical member of the petitioner company. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed to club the petitioner's another case ie. M.P.No. 25 of 2012 along with the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the both side.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 4 of 2011

JSW Steel Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Iyer & Thomas, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Junior counsel from M/s. Iyer & Thomas, Advocates prayed four weeks time for presenting the case by the technical member of the petitioner company. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed to club the petitioner's another case ie. M.P.No. 25 of 2012 along with the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the both side.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 16 of 2012

Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Ms. Revathy M. Kannan, the Junior counsel of Thiru. Rahul Balaji prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 7 of 2013

M/s. OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 8 of 2013

M/s. OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate
Versus
The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another. Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 9 of 2013

M/s. OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another. Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013

M/s. OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013

M/s. OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013

M/s. OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru. Vinod kumar, Advocate

Versus

The CMD, TANGEDCO

No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai and another.

..... Respondent

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian,
Standing Counsel

Hearing dated : 5-2-2014

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the petitioner and respondents are present. Heard Arguments and the petition is admitted. The respondents are directed to file their counter within 4 weeks. At the request of the counsels on record the case is adjourned to 4th April 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G.Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission