

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 17 of 2013

Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru Seshadri, Advocate

Versus

Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., and three others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru K.Seshadri appeared for the applicant in the Interim Application. He prayed to implead the applicant in the DRP filed by Kaveri Gas Power Limited. Thiru Vinod Kumar appeared for the petitioner in the DRP. Arguments heard on the interim application and orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 55 of 2014

SRF Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and four others. Respondents
---------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner represented that the present petition is similar to D.R.P.No.19 of 2013 namely, Century Floor Mill case and D.R.P.No.44 of 2014 namely, Mirra and Mirra case. Therefore, the petitioner prayed that the present petition may be tagged with the said cases. Conceding the prayer, the above petition is admitted and will be considered along with the said petition.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 38 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate represented for the 1st respondent and filed the counter on behalf of R1. Thiru Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for R2 and prayed time for filing the counter on behalf of R2. No representation for R3. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.No. 39 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Versus	
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Financial Controller, General, TANGEDCO presented the case. After hearing the petitioner, the Commission directed to furnish details for the year 2010-11 to 2012-13 separately for verification by the Commission. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 5 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
----------	------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Thiru Vinod Kumar appeared for the petitioner. Thiru Rajiv Bharadwaj, Advocate appeared for R2. The counsel for R1 prayed for adjournment stating that the senior counsel is not well. The petitioner's counsel stated that the counsel for R2 is coming from New Delhi to appear in another case before the Commission on 28-7-2014 and therefore this case may also be listed for that date. The case may be posted for 28-7-2014.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

1) Thiru D.R.Subbaian
2)Thiru Syed Tajudeen Madani Respondents

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The case is adjourned at the request of the 2nd respondent.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 13 of 2012

Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The case is adjourned at the request of both parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.A.No. 1 of 2013

1) Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd., 2)Beta Wind Farm Pvt., Ltd., Petitioners
---	-------------------

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondents
-----------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 18 of 2013

ITC Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Krishna Srinivasan, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents
----------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 22 of 2013

Shambu Vengu Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
	Thiru Sivanandam, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents
----------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is not pressing the petition and filing the memo for withdrawal of the petition. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 28 of 2013

Sri Venkitalakshmi Textiles Petitioner
	Thiru R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents
--------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. Arguments heard. Both the parties were directed to submit written submission within a week time. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 29 of 2013

M/s.Angalakshmi Spinning Mills Petitioner
Thiru Sivanandam, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present case is similar to a number of cases already referred for negotiation between parties and prayed that the present case may also be referred for negotiation. Therefore, the case is referred for negotiation and for submitting the report.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar Chairman
Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 30 of 2013

Shakthi Murugan Textiles Petitioner
	Thiru Sivanandam, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents
----------------------------	-------------------

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both sides were present. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present case is similar to a number of cases already referred for negotiation between parties and prayed that the present case may also be referred for negotiation. Therefore, the case is referred for negotiation and for submitting the report.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S. Akshayakumar **Chairman**

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2014

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

1) M/s.D.B.Power Ltd., and 10 others. Respondents

Hearing dated : 14-7-2014

DAILY ORDER

Executive Engineer/PPP explained the basis of evaluation adopted for arriving at L1 and their quoted levellised tariff and that they have scrupulously followed the CERC guideline issued in this regard. The Executive Engineer also explained that 10 other bidders have agreed to match the levellised tariff arrived at for L1 and requested Hon'ble Commission for adoption of the rate. When asked as to when the contract should commence the Executive Engineer/PPP indicated various dates committed by individual suppliers starting from February 2014 to June 2014. From the details furnished by the Executive Engineer/PPP all the bidders should have commenced their supply of power by now, but however it was indicated by the Executive Engineer that M/s. OPG and M/s. Jindal Power Ltd., only have commenced supply and others are not able to commence the same because of transmission corridor constraints. The petitioner was asked to file a written submission indicating the facts explained during the arguments and also provide the likely tariff of all the suppliers, should they commence their supply now, within a weeks time.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Akshayakumar)
Chairman

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission