

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P.No. 25 of 2013

Sun Paper Mill Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru.R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 25-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Junior counsel for the petitioner was present. He prayed for adjournment as the counsel is out of station. The case is adjourned at the request of the petitioner.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P.No. 24 of 2013

M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries (P) Ltd., Petitioner

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and four others.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 25-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Junior counsel for the petitioner mentioned that the counter submitted by the respondents were received on the date of hearing and sought for adjournment. The case is adjourned to the end of May 2014.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P.Nos. 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 28, 53, 72, 81 of 2013 and M.P.Nos. 9, 18 of 2014

The Chief Engineer, PPP
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Ltd.,
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai. Petitioner
Thiru.Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing dated : 25-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The Standing counsel for the petitioner and the Chief Engineer, PPP, TANGEDCO presented the case. The details of the cost of power purchase from different sources are given in the Annexure to the Affidavit. It ranges from Rs.1.50 per unit to Rs.13.44 per unit. The cost of power from the high cost IPPs viz., GMR, PPN, MPCL and SPCL ranges from Rs.10.84 to Rs.13.44 per unit. For a question that how the high cost of this power is going to be recovered from the consumers, the Chief Engineer, PPP has mentioned that it is going to be included in the ARR. Considering the extraordinary high cost of the IPP power and the submission of TANGEDCO in its ARR of 2013 that they would not buy power from these IPPs, the purchase of power from these IPPs viz., GMR, PPN, MPCL and SPCL from the month of April 2013 to February 2014 are not approved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru. S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 23 of 2013

M/s.Auromira Biopower India Pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner

Thiru.Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another.

..... Respondents

Hearing dated : 25-4-2014

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner argued the case and the Senior counsel for the respondent replied to the arguments. Counsel for the respondent prayed 2 days time to file the written submission. The time prayed for is granted. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission