

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 1 of 2013

OPG Power Generation pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

PTC and two others. Respondents
RnR Associates for R1.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

Heard the arguments of both side. The counsel for the petitioner filed the APTEL decision in Appeal No.140 of 2012. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 2 of 2013

OPG Power Generation pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
PTC and two others. Respondents
RnR Associates for R1.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

Heard the arguments. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 7 of 2013

OPG Power Generation pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1.
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal

.... Member

D.R.P. No. 8 of 2013

OPG Power Generation pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC

..... Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1.
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No.9 of 2013

OPG Renewable Energy pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1.
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 10 of 2013

OPG Renewable Energy pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal

.... Member

D.R.P. No. 11 of 2013

OPG Energy pvt. Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC

..... Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal

.... **Member**

D.R.P. No. 12 of 2013

OPG Energy pvt. Ltd.,

..... **Petitioner**
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC

..... **Respondents**
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1.
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for argument as the counter of R2 was received by the petitioner only today. The case is adjourned to 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 5 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and PTC Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1
RnR Associates for R2.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

Heard the argument of the petitioner and in support of his argument he filed the following citations: 1) D.R.P.No.5 of 2010 (2) APTEL 2006 Appeal No.150 SCC online. (3) Manu/ET/0018/2013 – Appeal No.170/2012. The senior counsel for the respondent No.1 prayed time for argument. The case is posted on 22-4-2014 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 15 of 2011

1) Terra Energy Ltd.,
2) Shree Ambika Sugar Ltd., Petitioners
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1.
RnR Associates for PTC.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the respondent No.4 prayed time for filing the reply to the supplementary affidavit filed by the petitioner. He was directed to file the reply within one week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 16 of 2011

1) Shree Ambika Sugar Ltd., Petitioners
2) Terra Energy Ltd., Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and three others. Respondents
Thiru.P.H.Vinod Pandian for R1
RnR Associates for PTC.

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 11am

DAILY ORDER

Heard the arguments of the petitioners and the TANGEDCO. Thiru. Yasodh Vardhan, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents No.1 to 3 and he filed the following citations : (1) AIR 1970 SC 504. (2) (2011) 9 SCC 541 and he also filed the copy of the Civil Procedure Code, Order VIII A provision. The counsel for the respondent No.4 prayed time for filing the reply to the supplementary affidavit. He was directed to file the reply within one week. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission