

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P. No. 6 of 2012

Karmic Business Specialities Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. P.Subba Reddy, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and two others. Respondents

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The junior counsel of Thiru. P. Subba Reddy appeared for the petitioner. He argued that one of the junior counsel of Thiru. P.Subba Reddy failed to follow up the case and their absence in the earlier hearing was neither wilful nor wanton. He prayed to set aside the dismissal order dated 5-2-2014 and also assured their presence in future hearings. The Interim Application to set aside the dismissal order is allowed.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

P.P.A.P. No. 3 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. Thirunavukkarasu, Superintending Engineer, power purchase, TANGEDCO presented the case. He argued that the total demand for the year 2012-13 is 12000 MW and shortfall is 4000 MW and proposed to purchase 800 MW for a period of one year from June 2012 to May 2013. Arguments concluded. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 24 of 2012

Tamil Nadu Newsprint and papers Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Nil Versus Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Heard the argument. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 25 of 2012

JSW Steel Ltd.,

..... Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Nil Versus Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Heard the argument. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

D.R.P. No. 20 of 2011

MMS Steel and Power Pvt. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for both side were present. As directed by the Commission, the petitioner impleaded the PTC India Ltd., as 2nd respondent. The petitioner was directed to serve the copy of the petition to the 2nd respondent i.e impleaded petitioner and the 2nd respondent was directed to file the counter within four weeks. The case shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.P.A.P. No. 8 of 2011

CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

1) M.R.K.Co-Operative Sugar Mills and 15 others. Respondents

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the respondent submitted the details called for in the last hearing. Thiru. Devarajan, CE, NCES argued that the tariff should be two part tariff and the fixed cost can be arrived at by calculating the capital cost of the comparable plant. The counsel for the respondents argued that the tariff can be single part tariff. Arguments concluded. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

Thiru. G.Rajagopal **Member**

P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2011

CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Petitioner

Versus

1) Arashi Hi-Tech Bio Power Ltd., and 4 others. Respondents

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. Devarajan, Chief Engineer, NCES, TANGEDCO presented the case. It was submitted to the Commission that the respondents No.1 to 3 are still supplying the power at the rate fixed by the Commission. The respondent No.5 is the Biogas power plant and in the absence of a separate tariff for the biogas, the agreement has been signed between the TANGEDCO and the 5th respondent treating it as biomass plant. The 5th respondent has now prayed for separate tariff for their biogas plant and they have filed the details about the capital cost and other information. The Chief Engineer, NCES, TANGEDCO submitted the rejoinder to the counter. The tariff for the biomass power plants of the respondents No.1 to 3 are to be fixed for the period from 1-4-2010 onwards. The tariff for the biogas power plant of the 5th respondent ie. Subhashri Bio Energies Pvt. Ltd., has to be fixed taking into account the parameters submitted by both the parties. Heard the arguments. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 24 of 2011

Terra Energy Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 25 of 2011

Terra Energy Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 26 of 2011

Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 27 of 2011

Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The case is adjourned at the request of both the parties.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

R.P. No. 2 of 2013

Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. R.S.Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondents have gone on appeal in the similar case and orders are reserved. Both the parties prayed for adjournment of the case. The case shall be taken up after the pronouncement of the order by the APTEL.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 20 of 2013

KEC Industries Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner informed that the similar issues are pending in the Supreme Court and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

D.R.P. No. 21 of 2013

Century Floor Mills Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus
TANGEDCO and another. Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner informed that the similar issues are pending in the Supreme Court and prayed for adjournment of the case. The case is adjourned.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member
Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 12 of 2013

TANFAC Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Nil Versus Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Heard the argument. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

Thiru. G.Rajagopal Member

M.P. No. 82 of 2013

SESA Sterlite Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Nil Versus Respondent

Hearing date : 21-4-2014 at 2.30pm

DAILY ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner was present. Heard the argument. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(G. Rajagopal)
Member

(Sd.....)
(S.Nagalsamy)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission